

LIST OF PETITION ACTION BY TRADE ADJUSTMENT ASSISTANCE FOR PERIOD 09/24/96-10/25/96—Continued

Firm name	Address	Date petition accepted	Product
Purethane, Inc .....	One Purethane Place, West Branch, IA 52358.	10/23/96	Urethane arm and wrist rests for furniture, appliance handles and urethane and vinyl automotive components.
Bassett Woodworks .....	11905 Golden Gate Road, El Paso, TX 79936.	10/23/96	Cabinets of wood for permanent installation.
Manufacturing Group of America, Inc.	2841 Pierce Street, Dallas, TX 75233 .....	10/25/96	Wood cabinets.
United States Forgecraft Corporation.	P.O. Box 387, Fort Smith, AR 72902 .....	10/25/96	Forged and electro-plated safety clasps, made of high quality metals.

The petitions were submitted pursuant to Section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2341). Consequently, the United States Department of Commerce has initiated separate investigations to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by each firm contributed importantly to total or partial separation of the firm's workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of each petitioning firm.

Any party having a substantial interest in the proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A request for a hearing must be received by the Trade Adjustment Assistance Division, Room 7023, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, no later than the close of business of the tenth calendar day following the publication of this notice.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance official program number and title of the program under which these petitions are submitted is 11.313, Trade Adjustment Assistance.

Dated: October 25, 1996.

Lewis R. Podolske,  
 Director, Trade Adjustment Assistant  
 Division.  
 [FR Doc. 96-28591 Filed 11-6-96; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 3510-24-M

**International Trade Administration**  
**[A-583-009]**

**Color Television Receivers, Except for Video Monitors, From Taiwan; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review Pursuant to Court Remand**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.  
**ACTION:** Notice of amendment to final results of antidumping duty

administrative review pursuant to Court remand.

**SUMMARY:** On September 19, 1996, in the case of *Zenith Electronics Corporation v. United States, AOC International, Inc. et al.*, Consolidated Court No. 87 F.3d 426 (Fed. Cir. 1996) (*Zenith*), the United States Court of International Trade (CIT) affirmed the Department of Commerce's (the Department) results of redetermination on remand demand September 3, 1996.

On February 12, 1996, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) upheld the Department's methodology for determining direct and indirect warranty expenses for purposes of making a circumstance-of-sale (COS) adjustment in calculating AOC International Inc.'s (AOC) final margin for the first administrative review of color television receivers, except for video monitors, from Taiwan, for the period October 19, 1983 through March 31, 1985 (see *Color Television Receivers, Except for Video Monitors, from Taiwan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, (CTVs from Taiwan) 51 FR 46895 (1986)). Subsequently, the CAFC remanded the case to the CIT for recalculation of dumping margins in a manner consistent with the CAFC's affirmation in *Zenith* of the Department's definition of "direct" as those expenses that vary with the quantity sold and "indirect" as those expenses that do not vary with the quantity sold. This CAFC decision reversed the CIT's first remand of September 11, 1989, wherein it ordered the Department to make reasonable allowances for differences between warranty expenses in the U.S. and home markets. In accordance with that order, which was subsequently reversed by the February 12, 1996 CAFC decision, the Department treated all home market warranty expenses as direct expenses.

On July 18, 1996, the CIT remanded the case to the Department to recalculate AOC's dumping margin in accordance with the CAFC's February 12, 1996

ruling in *Zenith*. In response to the CIT's remand, the Department recalculated AOC's dumping margin in accordance with *Zenith* and filed the remand determination with the CIT on September 3, 1996. The CIT subsequently affirmed the remand determination on September 19, 1996.

These amended final results for AOC and the subsequent liquidation instructions to the U.S. Customs Service (Customs Service) mark the conclusion of the first administrative review of CTVs from Taiwan.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 7, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Maureen McPhillips or John Kugelman, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-3019 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**  
 Background

On December 29, 1986, the Department published in the Federal Register the final results of the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CTVs from Taiwan (51 FR 46895) for the period of review (POR) October 19, 1983 through March 31, 1985, and announced its intent to instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.

Subsequent to the Department's final results, four of the reviewed companies and a domestic producer, Zenith, filed lawsuits with the CIT challenging these results. Thereafter, on September 11, 1989, the CIT issued an order and opinion remanding the Department's determination so that the Department could, among other issues, make reasonable allowances for "bona fide differences in warranty expenses between the United States and the home market." On January 31, 1991, the Department filed its first remand results

with the CIT (see *Zenith Electronics Corporation v. United States*, 770 F.Supp. 648 (CIT 1991)).

On January 17, 1995, the Department, consistent with the decision of the CAFC in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990), published a notice in the Federal Register stating that it would not order the liquidation of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption prior to a "final and conclusive" decision in this case. On June 20, 1996, the Department published amended final results of the first administrative review for those respondents not affected by the direct/indirect warranty issue (61 FR 31507).

On February 12, 1996, in *Zenith*, the CAFC upheld the Department's methodology for determining direct and indirect warranty expenses for purposes of making a COS adjustment in calculating AOC's final margin. The CAFC upheld the Department's practice of limiting adjustments to expenses that were reasonable identifiable, quantifiable, and directly related to the sales under consideration. It affirmed the Department's definition of "direct" as those expenses that vary with the quantity sold and "indirect" as those expenses that do not vary with the quantity sold. *Id.* (Citing *Koyo Seiko Co. v. United States*, 36 F.3d 1565, 1569 n.4 (Fed. Cir. 1994); *Torrington Co. v. United States*, 44 F.3d 1572, 1579 (Fed. Cir. 1995); *Consumer Prods. Div., SCM Corp. v. Silver Reed America, Inc.*, 753 F.2d 1033, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1995)). In this instance, the CAFC concluded that evidence in the record failed to demonstrate that AOC's in-house warranty labor expenses varied with the quantity of CTVs sold. On July 18, 1996, the CIT remanded the case to the Department to recalculate AOC's dumping margin in accordance with the CAFC's February 12, 1996 opinion. The Department recalculated AOC's warranty expenses in response to the CIT's remand and in accordance with the CAFC's February 12, 1996 ruling, and filed the redetermination with the CIT on September 3, 1996.

As a result of the Department's recalculation of AOC's warranty expenses, designating in-house labor expenses incurred in the home market as indirect and the cost of parts as direct, the Department has determined the weighted-average dumping margin for CTVs from Taiwan, manufactured/exported by AOC, during the period October 19, 1983 through March 31, 1995, to be 0.17%. The CIT affirmed the Department's remand determination on September 19, 1996.

Accordingly, the Department will determine, and the Customs Service will assess, appropriate antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise made by AOC during the period October 19, 1983 through March 31, 1985. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service.

This amendment of final results of review and notice are in accordance with section 751(f) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675 (f)) and 19 CFR § 353.28(c).

Dated: October 31, 1996.  
Robert S. LaRussa,  
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.  
[FR Doc. 96-28678 Filed 11-6-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

#### [A-588-054 and A-588-604]

#### **Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From Japan and Tapered Roller Bearings, Four Inches or Less in Outside Diameter, and Components Thereof, From Japan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Revocation in Part of an Antidumping Finding**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative reviews and revocation in part of an antidumping finding.

**SUMMARY:** On May 5, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its 1992-93 administrative reviews of the antidumping finding on tapered roller bearing (TRBs), four inches or less in outside diameter, and components thereof, from Japan (A-588-054 finding) and the antidumping duty order on TRBs and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from Japan (A-588-604 order). The review of the A-588-054 finding covers four manufacturers/exporters and ten resellers/exporters of the subject merchandise during the period October 1, 1992, through September 30, 1993. The review of the A-588-604 order covers five manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise, ten resellers/exporters of the subject merchandise, and 18 alleged forging producers for the period October 1, 1992, through September 30, 1993.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 7, 1996.  
**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Valerie Turoscy or John Kugelman, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-5253.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### Background

On May 5, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register the preliminary results (60 FR 22349) of the 1992-93 administrative reviews of the antidumping finding on TRBs, four inches or less in outside diameter, and components thereof, from Japan (41 FR 34974, August 18, 1976), and the antidumping duty order on TRBs and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from Japan (52 FR 37352, October 6, 1987).

##### Applicable Statute and Regulations

In accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (1988) (the Tariff Act), the Department has now completed these reviews for all firms except Koyo Seiko Company, Ltd. (Koyo). We will publish our preliminary and final results for Koyo at later dates. Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

##### Scope of the Reviews

Imports covered by the A-588-054 finding are sales and entries of TRBs, four inches or less in outside diameter when assembled, including inner race or cone assemblies and outer races or cups, sold either as a unit or separately. This merchandise is classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item numbers 8482.20.00 and 8482.99.30. Imports covered by the A-588-604 order include TRBs and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, which are flange, take-up cartridge, and hanger units incorporating TRBs, and tapered roller housings (except pillow blocks) incorporating tapered rollers, with or without spindles, whether or not for automotive use. Products subject to the A-588-054 finding are not included within the scope of the A-588-604 order, except for those manufactured by NTN Corporation (NTN). This merchandise is currently classifiable under HTS item numbers 8482.99.30, 8483.20.40, 8482.20.20, 8483.20.80, 8482.91.00, 8484.30.80, 8483.90.20, 8483.90.30, and 8483.90.60. These HTS item numbers and those for the A-588-054 finding are provided for convenience and Customs purposes.