

solutions for the problems affecting the Bay-Delta system has been chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) as the Bay-Delta Advisory Council (BDAC) to advise CALFED on the program mission, problems to be addressed, and objectives for the CALFED Bay-Delta Program. BDAC provides a forum to help ensure public participation, and will review reports and other materials prepared by CALFED staff. BDAC has established a subcommittee called the Ecosystem Roundtable to provide input on annual workplans to implement ecosystem restoration projects and programs.

Minutes of the meeting will be maintained by the CALFED Bay-Delta Program, Suite 1155, 1416 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, and will be available for public inspection during regular business hours, Monday through Friday within 30 days following the meeting.

Dated: November 19, 1996.

Roger Patterson,

*Regional Director, Mid-Pacific Region.*

[FR Doc. 96-30203 Filed 11-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94P-M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-387]

### Certain Self-Powered Fiber Optic Modems; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's (ALJ's) initial determination (ID) in the above-captioned investigation terminating the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cynthia P. Johnson, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3098.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This patent-based section 337 investigation was instituted by the Commission on April 25, 1996, on behalf of Patton Electronics Co. (Patton) of Gaithersburg, Maryland. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within

the United States after importation of certain self-powered fiber optic modems that allegedly infringe claims 1, 2, 3, 7, and 8 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,161,650, (the '650 patent) and that there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The notice of investigation named RAD Data Communications, Ltd., of Tel Aviv, Israel and RAD Data Communications, Inc. (collectively "RAD") of Mahwah, New Jersey as respondents.

On October 11, 1996, Patton and RAD filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. On October 23, 1996, the Commission investigative attorney (IA) filed a response in support of the joint motion to terminate the investigation. On October 24, 1996, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 16) granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 C.F.R. 210.42.

Copies of the ALJ's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

Issued: November 21, 1996.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-30321 Filed 11-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

[Investigation No. 337-TA-391]

### Certain Toothbrushes and the Packaging Thereof; Notice of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on October 25, 1996, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Procter & Gamble Company, One Procter &

Gamble Plaza, Cincinnati, OH 45202. An amended complaint was filed on November 14, 1996, and supplementary letters were filed on November 18 and 19, 1996. The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain toothbrushes and packaging thereof by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent Des. 328,392 and U.S. Copyright Registration No. TX 4-103-537. The complaint further alleges that there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a hearing, issue permanent exclusion orders and permanent cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW, Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-2571.

Authority

The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (1996).

Scope of Investigation

Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on November 21, 1996, *Ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine—

(a) Whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain toothbrushes by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent Des. 328,392;

(b) Whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the