established to protect and interpret rock carvings and their setting.

Public input has identified issues and concerns which include management responsibilities, cultural and natural resource protection, protection of sites and values of culturally affiliated groups, and location and function of visitor and administrative facilities such as visitor center, parking areas and trail heads, a heritage education center, and a petroglyph research center. Other issues addressed in the Final GMP/DCP/EIS include interpretation, education, visitor circulation and access, public use of the monument, and boundary adjustments. There are four alternatives for the development, resource management, and visitor use of the monument. The alternatives describe different visitor experiences and different kinds and locations for facilities under a common resource management and protection approach. All alternatives have a common resource management approach because of resource management laws and policies that apply to various aspects of all National Park System areas, including cultural landscape and archaeological site values, natural resources and various other aspects of monument management. These alternatives are summarized below:

Alternative 1: The overall approach of alternative 1, the proposed action and the National Park Service's preferred alternative, would be to provide various ways for visitors of different ages and abilities to see and appreciate many of the monument's significant resources. Visitors would be directed to a visitor center/heritage education center at Boca Negra Canyon. Horseback and bicycle riding would be permitted only on elected designated mesa-top trails and at three crossing points. No horses or bicycles would be allowed in petroglyph viewing areas or archeological sites anywhere in the monument. Mesa top resources and visitor experiences would be monitored to identify adverse impacts. Impacts on cultural and natural resources, the regional economy, visitors and values held by culturally affiliated groups would be minimal or, in some cases, beneficial. New structures would impact the cultural landscape. There could be adverse impacts on values held by culturally affiliated groups from the intrusion of bicycles and horses.

Alternative 2: This alternative would preserve the greatest portion of the monument and adjacent lands in as natural a condition as possible, with the fewest intrusions from development and fewer opportunities for public access and use. Visitors would be directed to a visitor center at Lava Shadows where they would have access to selected petroglyphs. A heritage education center would be built at Boca Negra Canyon. Visitors would have more opportunities to see the petroglyphs with a greater sense of solitude than in alternative 1. More areas of the monument would be reserved for research, traditional and cultural use, and occasional guided tours than in the other alternatives. Horse and bicycle use would not be permitted in this alternative except at two escarpment crossings. Overall impacts on cultural and natural resources and values held by culturally affiliated groups would be similar to and in some cases slightly less under this alternative than under alternative 1.

Alternative 3: The overall approach would be to have easy access to the mesa-top views and the volcanoes as well as petroglyph concentrations below the escarpment. Visitors would be directed to a visitor/heritage education center at Rinconada Canyon. From the visitor center, many visitors would drive to a new 10-mile mesa-top loop road that would provide easy access to the mesa-top views and the volcanoes. Parking and trails would be developed at the volcanoes and geologic windows areas. Horse and bicycle use would be provided at three escarpment crossings. This alternative would have the greatest impact on natural resources, cultural resources and values held by culturally affiliated groups.

Alternative 4: The ''no-action'' alternative, describes the conditions that would exist at the monument without a change in current management direction or an approved management plan—providing a baseline for evaluating the changes and impacts that would occur under the three action alternatives. There would be parking areas and minor trail improvements in some areas. There would be no new visitor center. This alternative would have the fewest facilities. Horseback and bicycle riding would be permitted within the monument only where currently allowed. The interim visitor center at Las Imagines would become the primary visitor center, accommodating only a limited number of visitors. Archeological sites, petroglyphs, and the cultural landscape would continue to be adversely impacted by vandalism.

DATES: The no action period will end 30 days after the Environmental Protection Agency publishes notice that the Final GMP/DCP/EIS has been filed with the Environmental Protection Agency. After this period a Record of Decision can be issued by the National Park Service. A Record of Decision will not be issued prior to February 6, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Questions about this document should be addressed to Superintendent, Petroglyph National Monument, 6001 Unser Blvd. NW, Albuquerque, NM 87120 phone# (505) 899–0205.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Public reading copies of the Final GMP/DCP/EIS will be available for review at the following locations: Office of Public Affairs, National Park Service 1849 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20240; Department of Interior Natural Resources Library, 1849 C Street NW, Washington, DC 20240; Petroglyph National Monument Las Imagines Visitor Center, 4732 Unser Blvd.,NW., Albuquerque, New Mexico; and local public libraries in Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Dated: November 25, 1996.

Vickie E. White,

Acting Superintendent, Petroglyph National Monument.

[FR Doc. 96–30655 Filed 12–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

Maine Acadian Culture Preservation Commission; Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (PL 92–463) that the Maine Acadian Culture Preservation Commission will meet on Friday, December 20, 1996. The meeting will convene at 7:00 p.m. at *le musee et centre culturel du Mont-Carmel* on U.S. Route 1 in Lille, Aroostook County, Maine.

The Maine Acadian Culture Preservation Commission was appointed by the Secretary of the Interior pursuant to the Maine Acadian Culture Preservation Act (PL 101–543). The purpose of the Commission is to advise the National Park Service with respect to:

- The development and implementation of an interpretive program of Acadian culture in the state of Maine; and
- The selection of sites for interpretation and preservation by means of cooperative agreements.

The Agenda for this meeting is as follows:

1. Review and approval of the summary report of the meeting held October 17, 1996.
2. A talk by Dr. Barry Ancelet on the history of Acadian French in Louisiana.
3. Reports of Maine Acadian Culture Preservation Commission working groups.
4. Report of the National Park Service project staff.

5. Opportunity for public comment.

6. Proposed agenda, place, and date of the next Commission meeting.

The meeting is open to the public. Further information concerning Commission meetings may be obtained from the Superintendent, Acadia National Park. Interested persons may make oral/written presentations to the Commission or file written statements. Such requests should be made at least seven days prior to the meeting to: Superintendent, Acadia National Park, P.O. Box 177, Bar Harbor, ME 04609–0177; telephone (207) 288–5472.

Dated: November 25, 1996.

Len Bobinchock,

Acting Superintendent, Acadia National Park.

[FR Doc. 96–30654 Filed 12–02–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before November 23, 1996. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Washington, D.C. 20013–7127. Written comments should be submitted by December 18, 1996.

Marilyn Harper,

Acting Keeper of the National Register.

ARIZONA

Maricopa County

Willo Historic District (Boundary Increase), (Historic Residential Subdivisions and Architecture in Central Phoenix MPS), Roughly bounded by Edgemont and Cambridge Rds. and 7th and 3rd Aves., Phoenix, 96001497

ARKANSAS

Pulaski County

Little Rock National Cemetery, (Civil War Era National Cemeteries MPS), 2523 Confederate Blvd., Little Rock, 96001496

CONNECTICUT

New London County

Mill Brook Bridge, Blissville Rd., jct. of Mill Brook, Lisbon, 96001498

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

District of Columbia State Equivalent

Woodlawn Cemetery, 4611 Benning Rd., SE, Washington, 96001499

GEORGIA

Fulton County

National NuGrape Company, 794 Ralph McGill Blvd., Atlanta, 96001502

Richmond County

Bethlehem Historic District, Roughly bounded by Wrightsboro Rd., M.L.K. Jr. Blvd., Railroad, Poplar, and Clay Sts., Augusta, 96001501

Shiloh Orphanage, 1635 15th St., Augusta, 96001500

HAWAII

Kauai County

Civilian Conservation Corps Camp in Kok'e State Park, HI 550 at Kok'e State Park Headquarters, Koke'e, 96001504

Maui County

Kalepolepo Fishpond, S. Kihei Rd., S of jct. with HI 31, Kalepolepo County Park, Kihei, 96001503

IDAHO

Ada County

Tolleth House, 134 E. State Ave., Meridian, 96001506

Fremont County

Island Park Land and Cattle Company Home Ranch, US 20, approximately 1 mi. SW of Island Park, Island Park vicinity, 96001508

Kootenai County

Harrison Commercial Historic District, Roughly bounded by N. Lake Ave., W. Harrison St., N. Coeur d'Alene., and Pine St., Harrison, 96001505

Washington Water Power Bridges, .5 mi. W of jct. of Spokane and 4th Sts., Post Falls, 96001507

NEW YORK

Monroe County

Curtis—Crumb Farm, 307 Curtis Rd., Hilton vicinity, 96001509

OHIO

Summit County

Kendall, Virginia, State Park Historic District, (Recreation and Conservation Resources of the Cuyahoga Valley) 701, 801, 1000 Truxell Rd. and 434 W. Streetsboro, Peninsula vicinity, 96001515

Butler, H. Karl, Memorial, (Recreation and Conservation Resources of the Cuyahoga Valley), Truxell Rd., SE of jct. with Peninsula Rd., Camp Manatoc, Peninsula vicinity, 96001510

Camp Manatoc Concord Lodge and Adirondacks Historic District, (Recreation and Conservation Resources of the Cuyahoga Valley), Truxell Rd., SE of jct. with Peninsula Rd., Camp Manatoc, Peninsula vicinity, 96001513

Camp Manatoc Dining Hall, (Recreation and Conservation Resources of the Cuyahoga Valley), Truxell Rd., SE of jct. with Peninsula Rd., Camp Manatoc, Peninsula vicinity, 96001511

Camp Manatoc Foresters Lodge and Kit Carson—Dan Boone Cabins Historic District, (Recreation and Conservation Resources of the Cuyahoga Valley), Truxell Rd., SE of jct. with Peninsula Rd., Camp Manatoc, Peninsula vicinity, 96001514

Camp Manatoc Legion Lodge, (Recreation and Conservation Resources of the Cuyahoga Valley), Truxell Rd., SE of jct. with Peninsula Rd., Camp Manatoc, Peninsula vicinity, 96001512

TENNESSEE

Davidson County

Nashville National Cemetery, (Civil War Era National Cemeteries), 1420 Gallatin Rd., S, Nashville, 96001516

TEXAS

Clay County

State Highway 79 Bridge at the Red River, (Historic Bridges of Texas MPS), OK 79 across the Red River at the OK-TX state line, Byers vicinity, 96001518

Fannin County

State Highway 78 Bridge at the Red River, (Historic Bridges of Texas MPS), OK 78, across the Red River at the OK-TX state line, Ravenna vicinity, 96001517

VERMONT

Addison County

Chipman's Point, Jct. of VT 73A and Chipman Point Rd., Orwell, 96001519

[FR Doc. 96–30719 Filed 12–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation 332–373]

Advice on Providing Temporary Duty-Free Entry for Certain Suits and Suit-Type Jackets From Mexico

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation and request for written submissions.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 26, 1996.

SUMMARY: Following receipt on November 21, 1996, of a letter from the United States Trade Representative (USTR), the Commission instituted investigation No. 332–373, Advice on Providing Temporary Duty-Free Entry for Certain Suits and Suit-Type Jackets from Mexico, under section 332 of the Tariff Act of 1930. USTR asked that the Commission provide advice as to the probable effect of providing temporary duty-free entry under criteria similar to those of Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) heading 9802.00.90 for the suits and suit-type jackets from Mexico classifiable in the HTS subheadings listed in the annex, but only where such garments contain interlining fabrics that are cut but not formed in the United States and that