Union at 1–800–248–5100 (in Missouri 1–800–342–6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Mr. Charles J. Haughney, Acting Director, Spent Fuel Project Office, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards; petitioner's name and telephone number; date petition was mailed; and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, as well as the applicant's legal counsel, Robin A. Henderson, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, GC–52, Washington, DC 20585; and Simon S. Martin, U.S. Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office, 850 Energy Drive, MS–1209, Idaho Falls, ID 83401.

Non-timely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions, and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer, or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1) (i)–(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application dated December 17, 1996, as supplemented February 4, February 5, and February 18, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555, and at the Local Public Document Room at the Weld Library District, Lincoln Park Branch, 919 7th Street, Greeley, Colorado 80631.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of February 1997.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Charles J. Haughney,

*Acting Director, Spent Fuel Project Office, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 97–5659 Filed 3–6–97; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

## POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**NAME OF AGENCY:** Postal Rate Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** 10:30 a.m., April 2, 1997.

**PLACE:** Conference Room, 1333 H Street, NW, Suite 300, Washington, DC 20268.

**STATUS:** Closed.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** Docket No. MC97–1, Experimental Fees for Nonletter-Size Business Reply Mail, 1996.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Margaret P. Crenshaw, Secretary, Postal Rate Commission, Suite 300, 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20268–0001, Telephone (202) 789–6840.

Margaret P. Crenshaw,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97–5769 Filed 3–4–97; 4:56 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Request for Public Comment

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

| Extension | SEC File No. | OMB Control No. |
|---|---|---|
| Rule 6a–1 and Form 1 | 270–18 | 3235–0017 |
| Rule 6a–2 and Form 1–A | 270–13 | 3235–0022 |
| Rule 15Ba2–1 and Form MSD | 270–88 | 3235–0083 |
| Rule 17Ac2–2 and Form TA–2 | 270–298 | 3235–0337 |

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') is publishing the following summary of collections for public comment.

Rule 6a–1 and Form 1 states that the Commission may not grant registration to an exchange as a national securities exchange unless it finds, among other things, that the exchange is organized so that it has the capacity to carry out the purposes and to comply with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (''Exchange Act''). Form 1 is necessary because it required the information needed by the Commission to determine whether granting registration to an exchange would be appropriate.

Because Form 1 is filed on a one-time basis by an exchange, it is estimated that approximately 1 respondent incurs an average of 45 burden hours annually to comply with the rule.

Rule 6a–2 requires that registered and exempted national securities exchanges file Form 1–A on an annual basis. Form 1–A is necessary because it informs the Commission of any changes to Form 1 during the exchange's preceding fiscal year.

Form 1–A is required to be filed annually by a registered or exempted exchange to update information required to be filed on Form 1 which has changed during the exchange's preceding fiscal year. Such information is elicited, pursuant to the requirements of Rule 6a–1 under the Exchange Act, on Form 1. It is estimated that approximately 9 respondents incur a total of 270 burden hours annually to comply with the rule.

Rule 15Ba2–1 provides that an application for registration by a bank municipal securities dealer must be filed on Form MSD. The information required to be disclosed on Form MSD is necessary for the Commission to determine whether or not registration as a municipal securities dealer should be granted.

It is estimated that approximately 40 respondents will utilize this application procedure annually, with a total burden of 60 hours, based upon past submissions.

Rule 17Ac2–2 requires transfer agents, who are not exempt, to file an annual report of their business activities on Form TA–2 with the Commission, the Comptroller of the Currency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

It is estimated that approximately 1,000 respondents are exempt from providing certain information contained in the annual report. An additional 400 non-exempt respondents will file an annual report. The total annual burden is 1,000 hours for exempt respondents and 2,000 hours for non-exempt respondents, based upon past submissions.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

Direct your written comments to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Directive, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange

Commission, 450 5th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20549.

Dated: February 28, 1997.

Margaret H. McFarland,

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97–5673 Filed 3–6–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

## Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon written request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

*Revision:* Rule 17a–4, SEC File No. 270–198, OMB Control No. 3235–0279.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission (''Commission'') has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for approval of revision on the following rule:

Rule 17a–4 (17 CFR 240.17a–4) requires exchange members, brokers and dealers to preserve for prescribed periods of time certain records required to be made by Rule 17a–3. In addition, Rule 17a–4 requires the preservation of records required to made by other Commission rules and other kinds of records which firms make or receive in the ordinary course of business. These include, but are not limited to, bank statements, cancelled checks, bills receivable and payable, originals of communications, and descriptions of various transactions. Rule 17a–4 now permits broker-dealers to employ, under certain conditions, electronic storage media to maintain records required to be maintained under Rules 17a–3 and 17a–4.

There are approximately 8,500 broker-dealers. Based on conversations with members of the securities industry and based on the Commission's experience in this area, it is estimated that the average amount of time necessary to preserve the books and records as required by Rule 17a–4 is one hour per broker per working days. Therefore, because there are approximately 250 business days per year, the total compliance burden for 8,500 respondents is 2,125,000 hours. In addition, the average amount of time necessary to comply with the final amendments will be 15 minutes per year. Accordingly, the total burden of compliance will be increased by 2,125 hours per year to 2,127,125.

The Commission estimates that typical employee of a broker-dealer charged with ensuring compliance with Commission regulation receives annual compensation of $100,000. This compensation is the equivalent of $48.08 per hour ($100,000 divided by 2,080 payroll hours per year). Since the rule amendment would require an additional 2,125 hours per year to comply, at $48.08 per hour, the total cost of compliance for these respondents would be $102,170.

General comments regarding the estimated burden hours should be directed to the Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission at the address below. Any comments concerning the accuracy of the estimated average burden hours for compliance with Commission rules and forms should be directed to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549 and Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: February 26, 1997.

Margaret H. McFarland,

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97–5592 Filed 3–6–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

**[Investment Company Act Release No. 22536; 811–3993]**

## CharterCapital Blue Chip Growth Fund, Inc.; Notice of Application

March 3, 1997.

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission (''SEC'').

**ACTION:** Notice of application for deregistration under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the ''Act'').

**APPLICANT:** CharterCapital Blue Chip Growth Fund, Inc. (formerly, ADTEK Fund, Inc.).

**RELEVANT ACT SECTION:** Order requested under section 8(f) of the Act.

**SUMMARY OF APPLICATION:** Applicant requests an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company.

**FILING DATE:** The application was filed on October 24, 1996 and amended on January 6, 1997 and February 26, 1997.

**HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING:** An order granting the application will be issued unless the SEC orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the SEC's Secretary and serving applicant with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the SEC by 5:30 p.m. on March 28, 1997, and should be accompanied by proof of service on the applicant, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons may request notification of a hearing by writing to the SEC's Secretary.

**ADDRESSES:** Secretary, SEC, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Applicant, 4920 West Vliet Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53208.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathleen L. Knisely, Staff Attorney, at (202) 942–0517 (Division of Investment Management, Office of Investment Company Regulation).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained for a fee from the SEC's Public Reference Branch.

### Applicant's Representations

1. Applicant is an open-end diversified investment management company organized as a Wisconsin corporation. On March 21, 1984, applicant filed a Notification of Registration on Form N–8A pursuant to section 8(a) of the Act and a registration statement on Form N–1A pursuant to section 8(b) of the Act. The registration statement became effective on July 16, 1984 and the initial public offering commenced immediately thereafter.

2. On January 27, 1996, the board of directors of applicant approved the dissolution of applicant pursuant to a plan of liquidation. The board of directors believed that applicant had not achieved, and was unlikely to achieve, the necessary asset size for applicant to be a viable investment alternative given the effect of its size on its expense ratio.

3. Applicant advised its shareholders of the decision of its board of directors to dissolve applicant in its annual report to shareholders for the fiscal year which ended December 31, 1995. Commencing May 31, 1996, applicant sent follow-up letters indicating to shareholders that applicant intended to dissolve. Shortly thereafter, applicant's shareholders began to voluntarily redeem shares of applicant.

4. As of June 30, 1996, applicant's total assets amounted to $899,974 on an unaudited basis. As of that date, applicant had 56,336 shares outstanding and a net asset value of $15.79. Applicant sold the equity portfolio securities held by it through unaffiliated broker-dealers in agency transactions paying competitive commission rates.