

Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of November 6, 1996 (61 FR 57449). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on February 28, 1997, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on April 9, 1997. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3035 (April 1997), entitled "Certain Brake Drums and Rotors from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-744 (Final)."

Issued: April 8, 1997.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-9844 Filed 4-15-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-388]

Certain Dynamic Random Access Memory Controllers and Certain Multi-layer Integrated Circuits, as Well as Chipsets and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's (ALJ's) initial determination (ID) (Order No. 13) in the above-captioned investigation terminating the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark D. Kelly, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3106.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 12, 1996, the Commission voted to institute this investigation based on a complaint filed by Intel Corp. of Santa Clara, California ("Intel"), to determine whether there were violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in the importation, sale for importation, or sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory controllers and certain multi-layer

integrated circuits, as well as chipsets and products containing same, by reason of infringement of claims 1, 2, 5, and 7 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,703,320, or claims 1 and 11 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,775,550, both owned by Intel. 61 F.R. 31148. The complaint named the following parties as respondents: Silicon Integrated Systems Corp. of Taiwan and Silicon Integrated Systems Corp. (U.S.) (collectively, "the SiS respondents"), United Microelectronics Corporation, Hsinchu, Taiwan ("UMC"), and Integrated Technology Express, Santa Clara, CA ("ITE"). On November 7, 1996, the presiding ALJ issued an initial determination (ID) (Order No. 5), terminating the SiS respondents from the investigation pursuant to agreement and removing U.S. Letters Patent 5,703,320 from the scope of the investigation. This ID was not reviewed by the Commission and became the Commission's final determination on December 3, 1996. See Commission Notice issued December 3, 1996.

On February 6, 1997, Intel and the remaining respondents, UMC and ITE, filed a joint motion under 19 C.F.R. § 210.21 to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. On March 13, 1997, the ALJ granted the joint motion and issued his ID (Order No. 13) terminating the investigation on the basis of the settlement agreement. The ALJ found that there is no indication that termination of the investigations would have an adverse impact on the public interest and that termination based on settlement is generally in the public interest. No petitions for review were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 C.F.R. § 210.42.

Copies of the public version of the ALJ's ID, and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation, are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

Issued: April 9, 1997.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-9843 Filed 4-15-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-372 Enforcement Proceeding]

Certain Neodymium-Iron-Boron Magnets, Magnet Alloys, and Articles Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Concerning Violation of Consent Order; Denial of Request for Oral Argument; and Schedule for the Filing of Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined that the respondents in the above-captioned formal enforcement proceeding have violated the Commission consent order issued to them on October 11, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jay H. Reiziss, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3116.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 11, 1995, the Commission issued a consent order in the above-captioned investigation. The consent order provides that respondents San Huan New Materials High Tech, Inc., Ningbo Konit Industries, Inc., and Tridus International, Inc. (collectively the "San Huan respondents"):

shall not sell for importation, import into the United States or sell in the United States after importation or knowingly aid, abet, encourage, participate in, or induce the sale for importation, importation into the United States or sale in the United States after importation of neodymium-iron-boron magnets which infringe any of claims 1-3 of [U.S. Letters Patent 4,588,439 (the "'439 patent'), or articles or products which contain such magnets, except under consent or license from Crucible.

On March 4, 1996, complainant Crucible Materials Corporation ("Crucible") filed a complaint seeking institution of formal enforcement proceedings against the San Huan respondents for alleged violations of the consent order. On May 16, 1996, the Commission issued a notice instituting this enforcement proceeding based on Crucible's enforcement complaint. The following were named as parties to the formal enforcement proceeding: (1) Crucible Materials Corporation, State Fair Boulevard, P.O. Box 977, Syracuse, New York 13201-0977 (complainant in the original investigation and requester of the formal enforcement proceeding); (2) San Huan New Materials High Tech,