

editorial change to the scale cart system maintains the intent of the TSR. The proposed changes do no affect the potential for or radiological or chemical consequences from previously evaluated accidents.

5. The proposed amendment will not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident.

The proposed changes would not create new operating conditions or new plant configuration that could lead to a new or different type of accident.

6. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant reduction in any margin of safety.

The proposed change to the applicability statement for the sprinkler system is consistent with the accident analysis. The other change is an editorial change. These changes do not decrease the margins of safety and in fact may increase the margin by eliminating potential misunderstandings about TSR requirements.

7. The proposed amendment will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards or security programs.

Implementation of the proposed changes do not change the safety, safeguards, or security programs. Therefore, the effectiveness of the safety, safeguards, and security programs is not decreased.

*Effective date:* June 18, 1997.

Certificate of Compliance No. GDP-1: Amendment will revise Technical Safety Requirements for the fire protection system and the cylinder scale cart movement prevention system.

Local Public Document Room location: Paducah Public Library, 555 Washington Street, Paducah, Kentucky 42003.

Dated at Rockville, MD., this 9th day of May 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Carl J. Paperiello,**

*Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 97-13025 Filed 5-16-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## PEACE CORPS

### Information Collection Requests Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Peace Corps.

**ACTION:** Notice of public use form review request to the Office of Management and Budget.

**SUMMARY:** The Associate Director for Management invites comments on information collection requests as

required pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35). This notice announces that the Peace Corps has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for emergency approval of the Peace Corps Television Program Concept Survey. A copy of the information collection may be obtained from Stephen Maroon, Office of Communications, Marketing Department, United States PEACE CORPS, 1990 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20526. Mr. Maroon may be contacted by telephone at (202) 606-4469. Peace Corps invites

comments on whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for proper performance of the functions of the Peace Corps, including whether the information will have practical use; the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and, ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of information technology. Comments on these forms should be addressed to Victoria Becker Wassmer, Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503.

### Information Collection Abstract

*Title:* Peace Corps Television Program Concept Survey.

*Need for and Use of This Information:* Peace Corps needs this information in order to develop informational television programs. The information is used to determine what programming and media format is required by local television stations.

*Respondents:* Television station managers/executives.

*Respondents Obligation to Reply:* Voluntary.

#### *Burden on the Public:*

- |  |           |
|--|-----------|
| a. Annual reporting burden: .....          | 125 hrs.  |
| b. Annual recordkeeping burden.            | 0 hrs.    |
| c. Estimated average burden per response.  | 5 min.    |
| d. Frequency of response .....             | One time. |
| e. Estimated number of likely respondents. | 1500.     |
| f. Estimated cost to respondents           | \$1.32.   |

This notice is issued in Washington, DC on May 15, 1997.

**Stanley D. Suyat,**

*Associate Director for Management.*

[FR Doc. 97-13072 Filed 5-16-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6051-01-M

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-38619; File No. SR-CBOE-97-19]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated Relating to a Minor Rule Violation Plan Amendment With Respect to Position Limit Fines

May 13, 1997.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),<sup>1</sup> notice is hereby given that on May 8, 1997, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the CBOE.<sup>2</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Office of the Secretary, CBOE, and at the Commission.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the CBOE included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The CBOE has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. § 78s(b)(1)(1988).

<sup>2</sup> The proposed rule change was originally filed on March 28, 1997. The CBOE submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change to revise the review period for multiple position limit violations under CBOE Rule 17.50(g)(1)(b) to a rolling twelve month review period, instead of a calendar year review period. The CBOE has requested that the rolling year review period not become effective until three months after SR-CBOE-97-19 is approved so that CBOE members who may be affected by the change will have a notice period prior to the revision. Letter from Margaret G. Abrams, Senior Attorney, CBOE, to Katherine England, Esq., Assistant Director, Division of Market Regulation—Office of Market Supervision, dated May 8, 1997.