

*Comment date:* August 21, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**28. Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc.**

[Docket No. OA97-696-000]

Take notice that on July 14, 1997, Vermont Electric Power Company, Inc. (VELCO) tendered for filing a Local Open Access Transmission Service Tariff in compliance with the Commission's Order No. 888-A, FERC Stats. & Regs. Preambles ¶ 31,048 (1997). VELCO requests an effective date of July 14, 1997.

VELCO states that it has served a copy of its compliance filing on each of the Vermont distribution utilities served by VELCO, intervenors in VELCO's open access transmission tariff proceedings in Docket No. OA97-7-000 and ER97-1930-000, the Vermont Department of Public Service, the Vermont Public Service Board and New Hampshire Electric Cooperative, Inc.

*Comment date:* August 21, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**29. Florida Power Corporation**

[Docket No. ER97-3798-000]

Take notice that on July 21, 1997, Florida Power Corporation (Florida Power), tendered for filing a service agreement providing for non-firm point-to-point service to Morgan Stanley Capital Group, Inc. (Morgan Stanley), pursuant to its open access Transmission Tariff. Florida Power requests that the Commission waive its notice of filing requirements and allow the agreement to become effective on July 22, 1997.

*Comment date:* August 21, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**Standard Paragraph**

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the

Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-21608 Filed 8-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Project No. 1494-119]

**Grand River Dam Authority; Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment**

August 11, 1997.

A final environmental assessment (EA) is available for public review. The final EA analyzes the environmental impacts of an application by Grand River Dam Authority (licensee) to grant a permit to Mr. Larry Herrelson of Patricia Island Estates. The permit would allow Mr. Herrelson to excavate about 25,880 cubic yards of sediment from 9 coves on project lands for future recreational access. Patricia Island Estates is a planned residential community being developed in the Patricia Island portion of Grand Lake, Delaware County, near the town of Grove, Oklahoma. The final EA finds that the application to grant the permit would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The Pensacola Project is on the Grand River, in Craig, Delaware, Mayes, and Ottawa Counties, Oklahoma.

The final EA was written by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the final EA can be obtained by calling the Commission's Public Reference Room at (202) 208-1371.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-21615 Filed 8-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[ER-FRL-5483-3]

**Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments**

Availability of EPA comments prepared July 21, 1997 Through July 25, 1997 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental

Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 4, 1997 (62 FR 16154).

**Draft EISs**

*ERP No. D-DOI-K40222-TT Rating EO2*, Palau Compact Road Construction, Implementation, Funding, Republic of Palau, Babeldaob Island, Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental objections due to wetland and water quality impacts associated with developing quarry or coral dredge sites. EPA requested additional information to demonstrate compliance with the Clean Water Act 404(b)(1) Guidelines including mitigation to compensate for the fill placed in 21 acres of wetlands.

*ERP No. D-NPS-E61038-TN Rating LO*, Stones River National Battlefield General Management Plan and Development Concept Plan, Implementation, Ruthford County, TN.

*Summary:* EPA expressed lack of objections for the proposed action.

*ERP No. D-OSM-A01102-00 Rating EC2*, Valid Existing Rights—Proposed Revisions to the Permanent Program Regulations Implementing Section 522(E) of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 and Proposed Rulemaking Clarifying the Applicability of Section 522(E) to Subsidence from Underground Mining.

*Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns with the air quality analysis, potential adverse impacts to water quality and mitigation measures, adequate bonding, design and reclamation issues, indirect and cumulative impacts and environmental justice and suggests these be addressed in the final EIS.

**Final EISs**

*ERP No. F-UAF-K11076-CA*, Airborne Laser (ABL) Phase Program Definition and Risk Reduction Phase, Proposed Locations: Home Base Edwards Air Force Base; Diagnostic Test Range-White Sands Missile Range, NM; and Expanded Area Test Range-Western Range (Vandenberg Air Force Base and Point Mugu Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division), CA and NM.

*Summary:* EPA previous concerns have been addressed, therefore EPA had no objection to the action as proposed.