

Federal Communications Commission

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-22547 Filed 8-22-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval

August 19, 1997.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before September 24, 1997. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M St., N.W., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to jboley@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s) contact Judy Boley at 202-418-0214 or via internet at jboley@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval Number: 3060-0767.

Title:

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit; individuals or households.

Number of Respondents: 44,000.

Estimated Time Per Response:

Ownership and Gross Revenues Information—.5 to 4 hours; Disclosure of Terms of Joint Bidding Agreements—.5 hours; Maintaining Ownership and Gross Revenues Information—4 hours per response and 5 year retention; Transfer Disclosure—.5 hours.

Cost to Respondents: \$45,734,700.

Total Annual Burden: 764,500 hours.

Needs and Uses: The ownership, gross revenues and joint bidding agreement information portions of this collection will be used by the Commission to determine whether the applicant is legally, technically and financially qualified to be a licensee. Without such information, the Commission could not determine whether to issue the licenses to the applicants that provide telecommunications, multi-channel video programming distribution and other communications services to the public and therefore fulfill its statutory responsibilities in accordance with the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. The information will also be used to ensure the market integrity of future auctions. Likewise, the information collected in connection with § 1.2111(a) of the Commission's rules 47 CFR 1.2111(a) will be used to maintain the market integrity of future auctions and prevent unjust enrichment.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-22480 Filed 8-22-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket No. 97-137; FCC 97-298]

Application of Ameritech Michigan Pursuant to Section 271 of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended, To Provide In-Region, InterLATA Services in Michigan

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Memorandum Opinion and Order (Order) in CC Docket No. 97-137 concludes that Ameritech Michigan (Ameritech) has not satisfied the

requirements of section 271 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Act). The Commission therefore denies Ameritech's application for authorization to provide in-region, interLATA services in Michigan. The Order declines to grant Ameritech authority to provide in-region, interLATA services in Michigan. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 19, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Melissa Waksman, Attorney, Policy and Program Planning Division, Common Carrier Bureau, (202) 418-1580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Order adopted and released August 19, 1997. The full text of this Order is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 1919 M St., NW, Room 239, Washington, DC. The complete text also may be obtained through the World Wide Web, at <http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/CommonCarrier/Orders/fcc97-298.wp>, or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 1231 20th St., NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Synopsis of Order

1. On May 21, 1997, Ameritech Michigan (Ameritech) filed an application for authorization under section 271 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, to provide in-region, interLATA services in the State of Michigan. In this Order, the Commission finds that Ameritech has met its burden of demonstrating that it is providing access and interconnection to an unaffiliated, facilities-based provider of telephone exchange service to residential and business subscribers in Michigan, as required by section 271(c)(1)(A) of the statute. The Commission further concludes, however, that Ameritech has not yet demonstrated that it has fully implemented the competitive checklist in section 271(c)(2)(B). In particular, the Commission finds that Ameritech has not met its burden of showing that it meets the competitive checklist with respect to: (1) Access to its operations support systems; (2) interconnection; and (3) access to its 911 and E911 services. In addition, the Commission finds that Ameritech has not demonstrated that its "requested [in-region, interLATA authorization] will be carried out in accordance" with the structural and transactional requirements of sections 272(b)(3) and 272(b)(5), respectively. Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to section 271(d)(3) of the Communications Act of