

Cessna 152 aircraft (Registration No. N49945). *Denial, August 6, 1997, Exemption No. 6665.*

Docket No.: 28889.

Petitioner: The NORDAM Group.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 21.303(g).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To allow the final assembly and finishing of aircraft nose radomes, produced by NORDAM under its Parts Manufacturer Approval to be accomplished by British Aerospace Systems and Equipment, a repair station located outside the United States. *Denial, August 8, 1997, Exemption No. 6666.*

Docket No.: 27202.

Petitioner: Skydive Arizona, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 105.43(a).

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit nonstudent parachutists who are foreign nationals to participate in SAI-sponsored parachuting events held at SAI's facilities without complying with the parachute equipment and packing requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations. *Grant, August 6, 1997, Exemption No. 5725B.*

Docket No.: 28708.

Petitioner: Empire Airlines, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 43.9.

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Empire's authorized technicians to use electronic signatures in lieu of physical signatures to satisfy the signature requirement of § 43.9 for Empire's 14 CFR part 121 and 14 CFR part 135 operations. *Grant, August 7, 1997, Exemption No. 6668.*

[FR Doc. 97-22920 Filed 8-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Miami International Airport, Miami, Florida

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Miami International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title

IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). **DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 29, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Orlando Airports District Office, 5950 Hazeltine National Dr., Suite 400, Orlando Florida 32822.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Gary Dellapa, Director of the Dade County Aviation Department at the following address: Dade County Aviation Department, PO Box 592075, Miami, Florida 33159.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Dade County Aviation Department under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Bart Vernace, Airport Plans & Programs Manager, Orlando Airports District Office, 5950 Hazeltine National Dr., Suite 400, Orlando Florida 32822, 407-812-6331. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Miami International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). On August 21, 1997, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the Dade County Aviation Department was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than November 28, 1997.

The following is a brief overview of PFC Application No. 97-03-C-00-MIA. *Level of the proposed PFC:* \$3.00.

Proposed charge effective date: February 1, 1998.

Proposed charge expiration date: January 31, 2006.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$334,463,000.

Brief Description of Proposed Project(s)
Midfield Area Dev. Taxiways Phase III
Midfield Rescue and Fire Fighting Facility

Terminal Expansion North Phase III
Concourse "F" Improvements Gates F4, F6, F8

Aircraft Apron for Inboard Gates at Concourse "H"

H-J Utility and Pavement Project
Central Boulevard Corridor

Improvements

Perimeter Road Modifications

GTI Bid Pkg. C-1 Ext. of Upper Vehicle Drive South Side

Central Chiller Plants East & West Expansions

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Air Taxi/ Commercial Operators filing FAA Form 1800-31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Dade County Aviation Department.

Issued in Orlando, Florida on August 21, 1997.

W. Dean Stringer,

Acting Manager, Orlando Airports District Office, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 97-22971 Filed 8-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application to Impose Only and Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at the Santa Barbara Municipal Airport, Goleta, California

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose only and impose and use PFC revenue from a PFC at the Santa Barbara Municipal Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, Pub. L. 101-508 as recodified by Title 49 U.S.C. 40117 [C(3)]) and 14 CFR part 158. On July 30, 1997, the FAA determined that the application to impose only and impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the city of Santa Barbara was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158.