progress and success of specific reinvention projects and its overall reinvention efforts. This meeting is being held to provide the EPA with perspectives from representatives of state and local government, environmental organizations, academia, industry, and NGOs.

DATES: The two-day public meeting will be held Monday, September 29, 1997 from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. and Tuesday, September 30, 1997 from 8:30 a.m. to 12 Noon. The meeting will be held at the Ramada Plaza Hotel Old Town, Alexandria, Virginia.

ADDRESSES: Materials, or written comments, may be transmitted to the Committee through Gwendolyn Whitt, Designated Federal Officer, NACEPT/RCC, U.S. EPA, Office of Cooperative Environmental Management (1601–F), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gwendolyn Whitt, Designated Federal Officer for the NACEPT Reinvention Criteria Committee at 202–260–9484.

Dated: August 20, 1997.

Gwendolyn C.L. Whitt,

Designated Federal Officer.

[FR Doc. 97–23225 Filed 8–29–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–5886–4]

Announcement of Stakeholders Meeting on the new Regulatory Impact Analysis Framework for implementing the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of stakeholders meeting.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will be holding a one and a half day public meeting on September 23 and 24, 1997. The purpose of this meeting is to have a dialogue with stakeholders and the public at large on EPA's development of a new regulatory impact analysis framework for proposed drinking water regulations. The Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996 require that, whenever EPA proposes a national primary drinking water regulation, EPA must publish a cost-benefit analysis. EPA would like to have a dialogue with stakeholders and the public at large on the various components of this analysis, including treatment design, unit treatment costs and national costs, model systems development, baseline estimates, data quality objectives, and benefits analysis. EPA is seeking input from national, State, Tribal, municipal, and individual stakeholders and other interested parties. This meeting is a continuation of stakeholder meetings that started in 1995 to obtain input on the Agency's Drinking Water Program. These meetings were initiated as part of the Drinking Water Program Redirection efforts to help refocus EPA's drinking water priorities and to support strong, flexible partnerships among EPA, States, Tribes, local governments, and the public. At the upcoming meeting, EPA is seeking input from state and Tribal drinking water programs, the regulated community (public water systems), public health organizations, academia, environmental and public interest groups, engineering firms, and other stakeholders on a number of issues related to developing the new regulatory impact analysis framework. EPA encourages the full participation of stakeholders throughout this process.

DATES: The stakeholder meeting on the new regulatory impact analysis framework for drinking water regulations will be held on Tuesday, September 23, 1997 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EDT and Wednesday, September 24, 1997 from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. EDT.

ADDRESSES: To register for the meeting, please contact the Safe Drinking Water Hotline at 1–800–426–4791 between 9:00 am and 5:30 pm EDT. Those registered for the meeting by Friday, September 12, 1997 will receive an agenda, logistics sheet, and background materials prior to the meeting. Members of the public who cannot attend the meeting in person may participate via conference call and should register with the Safe Drinking Water Hotline. Conference lines will be allocated on the basis of first-reserved, first served. Members of the public who cannot participate via conference call or in person may submit comments in writing by October 24, 1997, in order for comments to be included in the meeting summary, to Ben Smith, at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St, SW (4607), Washington, DC, 20460 or smith.ben@epamail.epa.gov. The meeting will be held in Suite 275, 1255 23rd Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information on meeting logistics, please contact the Safe Drinking Water Hotline at 1–800–426–4791. For information on the activities related to developing the new regulatory impact analysis framework and other EPA activities under the Safe Drinking Water Act, contact the Safe Drinking Water Hotline at 1–800–426–4791.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

Under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Amendments of 1996, EPA must provide a thorough cost-benefit analysis, as well as comprehensive, informative, and understandable information to the public. The 1996 SDWA amendments require that new regulations be developed so as to ensure that they represent a meaningful opportunity for health risk reduction. Also required is a detailed analysis of the relationship to: health impacts, including those to sensitive subgroups; impacts of other contaminants; treatment objectives; incremental impacts above a baseline that considers current regulations, uncertainty, and affordability. EPA must also consider the impact on the technical, financial, and managerial capacity of water systems. In so doing, EPA must also use the best available, peer reviewed science and methods. The amendments provide EPA with flexibility to identify and incorporate new benefits, including willingness to pay. In addition, EPA has expanded information-gathering authority, and must consider point-of-use and point-of-entry devices. After first defining a maximum contaminant level (MCL), or treatment technique standard based on affordable technology, EPA must determine whether the costs of that standard would be justified by the benefits. If not, EPA may adjust an MCL to a level that maximizes health risk reduction benefits at a cost that is justified by the benefits. The authority to adjust the MCL has limits that also require evaluation. In addition to the Safe Drinking Water Act, the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act and the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act impose additional analytical and consultative requirements in connection with new rules.

The upcoming meeting deals specifically with EPA's efforts to prepare the framework for the new regulatory impact analysis, which includes a series of proposed regulatory support documents, and plans for public involvement in rule development. These documents fall into three categories: periodically updated reference works, regulation specific data documents, and regulation specific analytical documents. The reference works consist of manuals for baseline definition, model systems, benefits methodologies, data quality objectives,

and treatment system design. The regulation specific data documents cover cost and technology, occurrence and monitoring, and health effects from exposure. The regulation specific analytical documents consist of an analytical support document, a cost/benefit document, and an regulatory/economic impact analysis. Outlines for these documents and discussions of how they may be used to fulfill statutory objectives will be presented.

B. Request for Stakeholder Involvement

EPA has announced this public meeting to hear the views of stakeholders on EPA's plans for activities to develop a new framework for regulatory impact analysis. The public is invited to provide comments on the issues listed above and other issues related to the new framework for regulatory impact analysis during the September 23 and 24, 1997 meeting, or in writing by October 24, 1997.

Dated: August 26, 1997.

Elizabeth Fellows,

Acting Director, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water, Environmental Protection Agency.

[FR Doc. 97–23230 Filed 8–29–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATION COMMISSION

Performance Review Board

As required by the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 (Public Law 95–454), Chairman Reed E. Hundt appointed the following executives to the Performance Review Board: Ruth Milkman, John Nakahata, Mary Beth Richards, Gerald Vaughan, Douglas Webbink.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–23192 Filed 8–29–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

Compendium of Flood Map Changes

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This Notice provides listings of changes made to FEMA flood maps effective during the first six months of 1997.

DATES: The listings include changes to FEMA flood maps that became effective January 1, 1997 through June 30, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Buckley, Director, Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment Division, Mitigation Directorate, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC 20472, (202)646–2756.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with Paragraph 1360(i) of the National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4101(l), this notice is provided to inform interested parties of changes made to National Flood Insurance Program flood maps. The listings show communities affected by map changes, the flood map panel(s) affected, the effective date of the map change and, if applicable, the case number assigned to the map change action. Future notices of map changes will be published approximately every six months.

Dated: August 20, 1997.

Michael J. Armstrong,

Associate Director for Mitigation.

The following report is comprised of TWO lists. The first list includes all Letters of Map Change that have been issued during the specified six–month period. The second listing includes map panels which have been physically revised during the specified six–month period.

LOMC DETERMINATION TYPE LOOK-UP TABLE

Type	Description
01	LOMR based on Fill (218–65).
02	LOMA (218–70).
05	LOMR—with base flood elevation change (102).
06	LOMR—without base flood elevation change (102A).
08	DENIAL.
12	Floodway Revision.
17	LOMR—inadvertent inclusion in floodway (218–65).
18	LOMR—inadvertent inclusion in V zone (218–65).

Region	State	Community	Map panel	Determination date	Case No.	Type
01	CT	BRANFORD, TOWN OF	0900730008C	6/24/97	RI97069	02
01	CT	BRISTOL, CITY OF	0900230004B	2/10/97		02
01	CT	DARIEN, TOWN OF	0900050006D	5/5/97	97–01–011P	05
01	CT	EAST HAVEN, TOWN OF	0900760008D	4/29/97	97–01–118A	01
01	CT	GLASTONBURY, TOWN OF	0901240015B	1/13/97		02
01	CT	GREENWICH, TOWN OF	0900080010B	1/22/97		02
01	CT	GROTON, TOWN OF	0900970011C	1/20/97	96–01–051P	05
01	CT	GUILFORD, TOWN OF	0900770010B	6/26/97	RI97091	02
01	CT	KILLINGWORTH, TOWN OF	0901740020B	5/7/97	97–01–022A	02
01	CT	MADISON, TOWN OF	0900790013C	4/1/97	97–01–012A	02
01	CT	MANCHESTER, TOWN OF	0900310004D	4/14/97		02
01	CT	MILFORD, CITY OF	0900820006G	3/24/97	97–01–017P	06
01	CT	NEW HAVEN, CITY OF	0900840002C	2/18/97	95–01–069P	05
01	CT	NEW HAVEN, CITY OF	0900840002C	6/30/97	96–01–001P	05
01	CT	ORANGE, TOWN OF	0900870005C	2/18/97		02
01	CT	ORANGE, TOWN OF	0900870005C	3/27/97	96–01–005P	05
01	CT	PLAINVILLE, TOWN OF	0900340005C	2/27/97	97–01–044A	01
01	CT	SEYMOUR, TOWN OF	0900880005C	3/26/97	97–01–060A	02
01	CT	STAMFORD, CITY OF	0900150003C	5/30/97		02
01	CT	STAMFORD, CITY OF	0900150005C	4/17/97	97–01–102A	02
01	CT	STRATFORD, TOWN OF	0900160003D	2/19/97		02
01	CT	STRATFORD, TOWN OF	0900160004D	5/29/97		02
01	CT	TRUMBULL, TOWN OF	0900170010B	2/7/97	97–01–050A	02
01	CT	VERNON, TOWN OF	0901310005B	6/19/97		02
01	CT	WESTPORT, TOWN OF	0900190004B	4/7/97	96–01–128A	02
01	CT	WESTPORT, TOWN OF	0900190004B	5/28/97		02
01	CT	WOODBURY, TOWN OF	0901330001A	2/19/97		02