requesting postponement in this case as well so that all of the antidumping cases will remain on the same schedule and, thus, permit the International Trade Commission to cumulate the cases if it deems appropriate to do so. For these same reasons, petitioners also ask the Department to postpone all of the above-referenced cases by the same number of days in order to ensure that they remain on identical time schedules.

For the reasons identified by petitioners, we are postponing the preliminary determinations under Section 733(c)(1) of the Act. We will make our preliminary determinations no later than February 25, 1998.

This notice is published pursuant section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f).

Dated: December 16, 1997.

Holly Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary.AD/CVD Enforcement, Group II.

[FR Doc. 97–33350 Filed 12–19–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Proposed Collection: Comment Request

AGENCY: Air Force Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps, Operations Section.

ACTION: Notice.

In compliance with Section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Air Force Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps, Operations Section, announces the proposed reinstatement of a public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by February 20, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to AFROTC/DOJ, 551 East Maxwell Blvd, Maxwell Air Force Base, AL 36112–6106.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and associated collection instruments, please write to the above address or call AFROTC/DOJO at (334) 953–5116.

Title, Associated Form, and OMB Number: Application for Establishment of Air Force Junior ROTC Unit, AFROTC Form 59, OMB Number 0701–0114.

Needs and Uses: The information collection requirement is necessary to obtain information about schools which would like to host an Air Force Junior ROTC unit.

Affected Public: Schools which desire to establish an Air Force Junior ROTC unit.

Annual Burden Hours: 20.

Number of Respondents: 40.

Average Burden Per Respondent: 30 minutes.

Frequency: One time.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary of Information Collection

Respondents are high school officials who provide information about their school which is interested in hosting an Air Force JROTC unit. The completed form is used to determine the eligibility of the school to host an Air Force JROTC unit. If the form is not included in the file, a school cannot be offered the opportunity to host an AFJROTC unit.

Barbara A. Carmichael,

Alternate Air Force, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 97–33347 Filed 12–19–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Public Hearing for the Draft Environmental Impact; Statement/Environmental Impact Report (DEIS/EIR) for the Disposal of the Long Beach Naval Station (NAVSTA) and the Long Beach Naval Shipyard (NSY)

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.

ACTION: Announcement of public hearing.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy and the City of Long Beach have prepared and filed with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency a Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (DEIS/EIR) for the disposal of NAVSTA and NSY and the subsequent reuse of those properties and other adjacent properties. A public hearing will be held for the purpose to receive oral and written comments on the DEIS/EIR. Federal, state and local agencies, and interested individuals are invited to be present or represented at the hearing.

DATES: Hearing date is as follows:

1. January 14, 1998, 7:00 p.m., Long Beach, CA.

ADDRESSES: Hearing location is:

1. Long Beach—the City of Long Beach Council Chambers, 333 West Ocean Boulevard, Long Beach, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Melanie Ault, (619) 532–4744.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR parts 1500–1508) implementing the procedural provisions of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), California Public Resources Code 21000 *et seq.*, and 14 California Code of Regulation 15000 *et seq.*, implementing the procedural provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the Department of the Navy and the City of Long Beach have prepared and filed with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency a DEIS/EIR for the disposal of NAVSTA and NSY and the subsequent reuse of those properties and other adjacent properties. The DEIS/EIR has also been prepared in accordance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (DBCRA) (10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and the recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission approved by the President and accepted by Congress in 1991 and 1995.

The purpose of the proposed federal action is to dispose of NAVSTA and NSY for reuse in accordance with DBCRA established procedures for closing and realigning military installations. The portion of NAVSTA addressed in this action includes the main station, the Navy Mole, and Site 6A–LB. The Navy Fuel Depot, located on the Navy Mole, was not declared to be surplus to the needs of the federal government and will remain the property of the Navy. Of the 250 acres NSY site, 84 acres are subject to reversion under the terms of the final judgment in United States of America v. 1039 Acres of Land etc. *et. al.* The Navy has no discretion regarding the reversion of this property. There are also 602 acres of submerged land that will revert to the City under the terms of that judgment. The remaining land at NSY will be disposed of by the Navy under

BRAC and federal property transfer laws. Therefore, the federal action is the disposal of a total of 454 acres.

The purpose and need for the proposed local action is to reuse Navy property (NAVSTA and NSY) in order to offset the negative socioeconomic effects caused by the base closures. A high priority of reuse of the Navy property is job creation and economic redevelopment in accordance with President Clinton's Five Point Program and Governor Wilson's Executive Order W–81–94. The City of Long Beach, as the Local Redevelopment Authority (LRA), proposes to reuse the NAVSTA and NSY property to meet the projected demand for marine terminal expansion and to satisfy the need for new police facilities. In order to meet these needs, the LRA expanded its plan to include 89 acres of Port of Long Beach (POLB) lands adjacent to the Navy property. A ten-acre parcel (included within the 89 acres) north of Ocean Boulevard, which is owned by POLB, was added to the project in response to comments received on another EIR which addressed the POLB Pier T. The term Long Beach Complex (LBC) will be used to define the Navy property and the surrounding Port of Long Beach properties in the DEIS/EIR. Therefore, the local action includes 1,229 acres of property and submerged lands.

Under NEPA and DBCRA, the Navy has two disposal options for the 454 acres: no action, or disposal of the non-reversionary Navy properties.

Under the No Action Alternative, the Navy would retain ownership of the 454 acres of nonreversionary federal property, while current use of the adjacent POLB would continue. The Navy properties have been closed, all mission-related activities have ceased, and all buildings are vacant. This caretaker condition would remain indefinitely under the No Action Alternative. Site environmental cleanup would continue until completed. The existing lease of the property on the Navy Mole to POLB would remain through its term. The 84 acres on NSY and the 602 acres of submerged land reverting to the City of Long Beach could be transferred, but it is assumed that existing uses would remain unchanged.

Federal disposal would precede implementation of the reuse alternatives. Three reuse alternatives are evaluated in detail in the DEIS/EIR:

—LRA Reuse Alternative;
—Auto Terminal Alternative; and
—Institutional Campus Alternative.

A brief summary of the three reuse alternatives is presented below.

The LRA Reuse Alternative would include development of marine container terminal facilities, including container staging areas; loading and unloading facilities; trucking facilities; on-dock railyard and tracks, administration and maintenance facilities; access roadway and entry gates; and other related facilities on NAVSTA and portions of NSY. A ship repair and/or breaking facility of approximately 18 acres would be centered around and include Drydock 1. Vessels would be staged along the west side of Navy Pier E. This component would primarily use existing facilities and structures within the designated area. The Navy Pier E area would be used for development of a mixture of marine cargo facilities, including a deepwater liquid bulk terminal on the southern end of POLB Pier T, reconfiguration of existing lumber and scrap metal terminals, relocation of the City of Long Beach police headquarters and training academy to the former NSY Building 300, relocation and consolidation of oil operations on Port of Long Beach Pier T, and reconstruction of roadways and utilities to serve the facilities. The southern one-half of the Navy Mole (excluding the Fuel Depot) would be used for the development of a neobulk/breakbulk terminal, facilities for ancillary and water-dependent uses, and Sea Launch, a satellite assembly and transfer facility. The police headquarters component may require a public subsidy. This alternative would require demolition of the Roosevelt Base Historic District, an historic resource eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

The Auto Terminal Alternative would retain the majority of the Historic District, which would provide facilities for an auto terminal operator, port terminal operators, and a naval museum, which may require public subsidy. The remainder of LBC would support the same uses as the LRA Reuse Alternative, including marine container terminal facilities, intermodal rail facilities, neobulk/breakbulk facilities, ship repair facility, a liquid bulk facility, and port ancillary uses as described above. The auto terminal would use roll-on/roll-off (Ro/Ro) transfer of wheeled cargos such as automobiles and light trucks. Access to the auto terminal, port terminal facilities, and museum would be provided by a proposed grade-separated crossing from Reeves Avenue. This would provide uninterrupted access to the site over the proposed intermodal rail operations.

The Institutional Campus Alternative would include a police academy and police administrative offices, POLB administration, fire department, and naval museum within the Historic District. Unlike the other reuse alternatives, all of the Historic District recreational facilities would be retained in a campus setting under this alternative. A public subsidy may be required to support this component. The remainder of LBC would include marine container terminal facilities, intermodal rail facilities, neobulk/breakbulk facilities, a 91-acre ship repair facility, a liquid bulk facility, and port ancillary uses. This alternative would consolidate several of the existing City of Long Beach Police Department functions, which are currently being performed at several different locations throughout the City, into the Historic District buildings. Similar to the Auto Terminal Alternative, access to the proposed institutional uses would be provided via a grade-separated crossing from Reeves Avenue.

In accordance with NEPA requirements, a Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare a joint EIS/EIR and to reopen scoping for the disposal and reuse of NAVSTA and NSY was published in the **Federal Register** on July 21, 1997. On July 18, 1997, the NOI was mailed directly to regulatory agencies, local jurisdictions, elected officials, public service providers, organizations, and special interest members of the public. In accordance with requirements under CEQA, a Notice of Preparation (NOP) to prepare a joint EIS/EIR and to reopen scoping for the disposal and reuse of NAVSTA and NSY was distributed on July 18, 1997, to regulatory agencies, local jurisdictions, elected officials, and public service providers, among others.

As part of the EIS/EIR scoping process, the Navy and the City held a public scoping meeting on August 20, 1997, in the City of Long Beach Council Chambers. The meeting was advertised in the Long Beach Press Telegram on August 4, 11, and 18, 1997, as well as in the **Federal Register**.

This DEIS/EIR analyzes potential environmental impacts relating to land use; socioeconomics; utilities; historic and archaeological resources; aesthetics; biological resources; topography, soils, and geology; hydrology and water quality; hazardous materials and environmental contamination; public health and safety; traffic and transportation; air quality; and noise. Potentially significant and mitigable environmental impacts include impacts to land use; biological resources; and noise. Potentially significant and not mitigable impacts are related to disturbance and/or demolition of the Historic District and public health and

safety impacts from potential increase for oil spills and risks posed from the liquid bulk facilities explosion hazard arcs. Remediation of contaminated areas will continue to be the responsibility of the Navy.

No decision on the proposed action will be made until the NEPA and CEQA processes have been completed.

The DEIS/EIR has been distributed to various federal, state, and local agencies, local groups, elected officials, special interest groups, and individuals. The DEIS/EIR is also available for review at the following libraries:

—Long Beach Public Library, 101 Pacific Avenue, Long Beach, CA.
—Alamitos Public Library, 1836 E. Third Street, Long Beach, CA.
—Bach Public Library, 4055 Bellflower Blvd., Long Beach, CA.
—Bay Shore Public Library, 195 Bay Shore, Long Beach, CA.
—Brewitt Public Library, 4036 E. Anaheim Street, Long Beach, CA.
—Burnett Public Library, 560 E. Hill Street, Long Beach, CA.
—Dana Public Library, 3680 Atlantic Avenue, Long Beach, CA.
—El Dorado Public Library, 2900 Studebaker Road, Long Beach, CA.
—Harte Public Library, 1595 W. Willow Street, Long Beach, CA.
—Los Altos Public Library, 5614 Britton, Long Beach, CA.
—Mark Twain Public Library, 1325 E. Anaheim Street, Long Beach, CA.
—San Pedro Regional Branch Library, 931 S. Gaffey Street, Los Angeles, CA.
—Wilmington Branch Library, 1300 N. Avalon Blvd., Los Angeles, CA.
—Bell Library, 4411 E. Gage Avenue, Bell, CA.
—Carson Library, 151 E. Carson Street, Carson, CA.
—City of Commerce Central Library, 5655 Jillson Street, City of Commerce, CA.
—Compton Library, 240 W. Compton Blvd., Compton, CA.
—Cudahy Library, 5218 Santa Ana Street, Cudahy, CA.
—El Segundo Public Library, 111 W. Mariposa Avenue, El Segundo, CA.
—Hawthorne Library, 12700 S. Grevillea Avenue, Hawthorne, CA.
—Inglewood Public Library, 101 W. Manchester Blvd., Inglewood, CA.
—Lawndale Library, 14615 Burin Avenue, Lawndale, CA.
—Lynwood Library, 11320 Bullis Road, Lynwood, CA.
—Maywood Library, 4323 E. Slauson, Maywood, CA.
—Paramount Library, 16254 Colorado Avenue, Paramount, CA.
—Redondo Beach Main Library, 303 North Pacific Coast Highway, Redondo Beach, CA.
—Leland R. Weaver Library, 4035 Tweedy Blvd., South Gate, CA.
—Diane Gayton Library, 707 Electric Avenue, Seal Beach, CA.
—Torrance Public Library, 3301 Torrance Blvd., Torrance, CA.
—Vernon Public Library, 4504 S. Central Avenue, Vernon, CA.

The Navy and the City will conduct a public hearing to receive oral and written comments concerning the DEIS/EIR on Wednesday, January 14, 1998, at 7:00 p.m. in the City of Long Beach Council Chambers. A brief presentation will precede a request for public information and comments. Navy and City representatives will be available at the hearing to receive information and comments from agencies and the public regarding issues of concern. Federal, state, and local agencies, and interested individuals are invited to be present or represented at the hearing. Oral comments will be heard and transcribed by a stenographer. To assure accuracy of the record, all comments should be submitted in writing. All comments, both oral and written, will become part of the public record in the study. In the interest of available time, each speaker will be asked to limit oral comments to three minutes. Longer comments should be summarized at the public hearing and submitted in writing either at the hearing or mailed to Ms. Melanie Ault, BRAC Program Office, Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 1420 Kettner Boulevard, Suite 501, San Diego, California 92101–2404, telephone (619) 532–4744, fax (619) 532–4743. Written comments are requested not later than February 2, 1998.

Dated: December 17, 1997.

Michael I. Quinn,

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 97–33349 Filed 12–19–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Meeting of the Naval Research Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Department of the Navy.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Naval Research Advisory Committee will meet to acquaint Committee members with technology issues related to aircraft systems development, F/A–18E/F and V–22 operations, and aircraft tracking and testing operations. All sessions of this meeting will be closed to the public.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Monday, January 12, 1998 from 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., and on Tuesday, January 13, 1998 from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Office of Naval Research, Arlington, Virginia and at Naval Air Systems Command and Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Patuxent River, Maryland.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Diane Mason-Muir, Office of Naval Research, Naval Research Advisory Committee, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, VA 22217–5660, Telephone Number: (703) 696–6769.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice of meeting is provided in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Appendix 2 of title 5, United States Code). All sessions of the meeting will be devoted to briefings, demonstrations, tours and discussions involving technical examination of information related to aircraft technologies and aircraft operations. These briefings, demonstrations, tours and discussions will contain classified information that is specifically authorized under criteria established by Executive Order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense and is in fact properly classified pursuant to such Executive Order. The classified and non-classified matters to be discussed are so inextricably intertwined as to preclude opening any portion of the meeting. In accordance with 5 U.S.C. app. 2, section 10(d), the Secretary of the Navy has determined in writing that the public interest requires that all sessions of the meeting be closed to the public because they will be concerned with matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1).

Dated: December 10, 1997.

Michael I. Quinn,

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 97–33294 Filed 12–19–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Deputy Chief Information Officer, Office of the Chief Information