

*Contact Person:* Dr. Bernard R. McDonald, Executive Officer for Mathematical Sciences Division, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 306-1872.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To carry out Committee of Visitors (COV) review, including program evaluation, GPRA assessments, and access to privileged materials.

*Agenda:* To provide oversight review of the Mathematical Sciences Programs.

*Reason for Closing:* The meeting is closed to the public because the Committee is reviewing proposal actions that will include privileged intellectual property and personal information that could harm individuals if they are disclosed. If discussions were open to the public, these matters that are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act would be improperly disclosed.

*Reason for Late Notice:* Meeting Announcement was inadvertently misplaced.

Dated: January 16, 1998.

**M. Rebecca Winkler,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 98-1564 Filed 1-22-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Special Emphasis Panel in Mathematical Sciences; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

*Name:* Special Emphasis Panel in Mathematical Sciences (1204).

*Date and Time:* February 12-14, 1998.

*Place:* Room 1020, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

*Type of Meeting:* Closed.

*Contact Person:* John Strikwerda, Computational Mathematics Program, Program Officer, Room 1025, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 306-1870.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To provide advice and recommendations concerning applications submitted to NSF for financial support.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate proposals in the mathematics of fluids as part of the selection process for awards.

*Reason for Closing:* The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: January 16, 1998.

**M. Rebecca Winkler,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 98-1570 Filed 1-22-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270, and 50-287]

### Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-38, DPR-47, and DPR-55 issued to Duke Energy Corporation (the licensee) for operation of the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3, located Oconee County, South Carolina.

The proposed amendments would revise Technical Specification (TS) Table 4.1-1 and Specification 4.5.2.1.2 to allow a one-time extension for specified Unit 2 refueling outage surveillances during operating cycle 16.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

This proposed change has been evaluated against the standards in 10 CFR 50.92 and has been determined to involve no significant hazards, in that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not:

1. Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

No. A review of the previous two instrument channel tests and calibrations for

the instruments discussed in the amendment request concluded that no adverse effects should occur as a result of the one-time extension. The ICCM [Inadequate Core Cooling Monitor] should be available to perform its intended function during the requested extension period. Thus, the probability and consequences of an accident previously evaluated will not be significantly increased.

In addition, a review of the previous ES channel 5 and 6 manual trip test and Reactor Building Cooling system test that are discussed in the amendment request concluded that no adverse effects should occur as a result of the one-time extension. ES channels 5 and 6 and the Reactor Building Cooling system should be available to perform their intended function during the requested extension period. Thus, the probability and consequences of an accident previously evaluated will not be significantly increased.

2. Create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from the accidents previously evaluated?

No. Since the one-time extension should not cause any adverse effects on the ICCM, ES channels 5 and 6, or Reactor Building Spray system, a new or different kind of accident from the accidents which were previously evaluated will not occur. The ICCM, ES channels 5 and 6, and Reactor Building Cooling system, should be available to perform their intended function during the requested extension period.

3. Involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

No. The margin of safety will not be significantly reduced by this amendment request because the ICCM, ES channels 5 and 6, and Reactor Building Cooling system, should be available to perform their intended function during the requested extension period. In addition, the review of the previous tests and calibrations which are discussed in the amendment request concluded that no adverse effects should occur as a result of the one-time extension.

Duke has concluded based on the above information that there are no significant hazards involved in this amendment request.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would