

Northern Natural. UPRC further alleges that it made a settlement offer to Northern Natural, and that Northern Natural rejected that offer.

UPRC also requests a full and fair hearing, and claims that there are contested issues of material fact (measurable in dollars) on which Northern Natural and UPRC disagree. UPRC further argues that these issues must be adjudicated. UPRC's alleged issues of material fact include:

(1) the amount of dollars of revenue UPRC collected for the sale of its gas in each relevant time period;

(2) how much (if any) of the dollars UPRC collected were in excess of the maximum lawful price (MLP) in each relevant time period;

(3) how much (if any) of the excess dollars collected by UPRC were actually paid by customers of interstate pipelines through the pipeline's PGA process, i.e., how much were the pipeline's customers overcharged; and

(4) assuming that part of the refund amount is interest, then when did the interstate pipeline customers begin paying a fraction of the amounts determined to be in excess of the MLP, which UPRC contends will govern the amount of interest owned.

UPRC's pleading includes its claim that it has complied with the Commission's orders requiring a statement of its basic principles for rejecting Northern Natural's refund claim, and UPRC's privileged and confidential offer of settlement to Northern Natural (UPRC's Attachment A). UPRC also provides its own assessment as to how to compute the correct refund amount.

The procedural rules governing settlements are set forth in Section 385.602 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Under Section 385.602(f), any person wishing to make comments with respect to an offer of settlement must do so not later than 20 days after the date the settlement offer was filed. Reply comments must be filed not later than 30 days after the date the settlement offer was filed. Accordingly, any person desiring to file comments with respect to UPRC's offer of settlement should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, by March 16, 1998, in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure [18 CFR 385.602(f)].

**David P. Boergers,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-5963 Filed 3-6-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. GP98-1-000]

#### Union Pacific Resources Company; Notice of Offer of Settlement and Call for the Protection of Rights Pending Adjudication or Settlement

March 3, 1998.

Take notice that on February 20, 1998, Union Pacific Resources Company (UPRC), alleging compliance with the Commission's January 28, 1998 Order Clarifying Procedures (82 FERC ¶ 61,059), filed an offer of settlement with the Commission, and called for the protection of its rights pending adjudication or settlement, with respect to UPRC's Kansas ad valorem tax refund obligation to Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), identified in the Statement of Refunds Due filed by CIG in Docket No. RP98-54-000. UPRC's pleading is on file with the Commission and, except for UPRC's confidential offer of settlement, is open to public inspection.

UPRC contends that the Commission has established a procedure to follow, under 18 CFR 385.602 of the Commission's regulations, when informal settlement or reconciliation efforts fail, and that it has complied with the requisites of that Section. UPRC suggests that a Settlement Judge be appointed, that UPRC's refund obligation to CIG be held in abeyance and that interest be tolled, on the basis that UPRC has a constitutional and statutory right to a hearing before it may be deprived of property, i.e., the 1983-1988 Kansas ad valorem tax reimbursement dollars that UPRC previously collected from CIG. UPRC further alleges that it made a settlement offer to CIG, and that CIG rejected that offer.

UPRC also requests a full and fair hearing, and claims that there are contested issues of material fact (measurable in dollars) on which CIG and UPRC disagree. UPRC further argues that these issues must be adjudicated. UPRC's alleged issues of material fact include:

(1) The amount of dollars of revenue UPRC collected for the sale of its gas in each relevant time period;

(2) How much (if any) of the dollars UPRC collected were in excess of the maximum lawful price (MLP) in each relevant time period;

(3) How much (if any) of the excess dollars collected by UPRC were actually paid by customers of interstate pipelines through the pipeline's PGA process, i.e.,

how much were the pipeline's customers overcharged; and

(4) Assuming that part of the refund amount is interest, then when did the interstate pipeline customers begin paying a fraction of the amounts determined to be in excess of the MLP, which UPRC contends will govern the amount of interest owned.

UPRC's pleading includes its claim that it was complied with the Commission's orders requiring a statement of its basic principles for rejecting CIG's refund claim, and UPRC's privileged and confidential offer of settlement to CIG (UPRC's Attachment A). UPRC also provides its own assessment as to how to compute the correct refund amount.

The procedural rules governing settlements are set forth in Section 385.602 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Under Section 385.602(f), any person wishing to make comments with respect to an offer of settlement must do so not later than 20 days after the date the settlement offer was filed. Reply comments must be filed not later than 30 days after the date the settlement offer was filed. Accordingly, any person desiring to file comments with respect to UPRC's offer of settlement should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, by March 16, 1998, in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure [18 CFR 385.602(f)].

**David P. Boergers,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-5964 Filed 3-6-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER98-411-003]

#### Wolverine Power Supply Cooperative, Inc.; Notice of Filing

March 3, 1998.

Take notice that on January 30, 1998, Wolverine Power Supply Cooperative, Inc., tendered for filing its revised service agreement in the above-referenced docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a 'motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and

protests should be filed on or before March 13, 1998. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-5950 Filed 3-6-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER98-1952-000, et al.]

#### PP&L, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

March 2, 1998.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

##### 1. PP&L, Inc.

[Docket No. ER98-1952-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1998, PP&L, Inc., (formerly known as Pennsylvania Power & Light Company)(PP&L), filed a Service Agreement dated January 29, 1998, with Commonwealth Edison Company (CEC), under PP&L's FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 5. The Service Agreement adds CEC as an eligible customer under the Tariff.

PP&L requests an effective date of February 20, 1998, for the Service Agreement.

PP&L states that copies of this filing have been supplied to CEC and to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

*Comment date:* March 16, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 2. The United Illuminating Company

[Docket No. ER98-1956-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1998, The United Illuminating Company (UI), tendered for filing a Service Agreement, dated February 13, 1998, between UI and Cinergy Capital & Trading, Inc. (Cinergy), for non-firm point-to-point transmission service under UI's Open Access Transmission Tariff, FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 4, as amended. The Service Agreement adds Cinergy as a transmission customer under the Tariff.

UI requests an effective date of December 31, 1997, and has therefore

requested that the Commission waive its 60-day prior notice requirement. Copies of the filing were served upon Mr. H. Mark Stremming, Cinergy Services, Inc., and upon Robert J. Murphy, Executive Secretary, Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control.

*Comment date:* March 16, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 3. Potomac Electric Power Company

[Docket No. ER98-1957-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1998, Potomac Electric Power Company (Pepco), tendered for filing service agreements pursuant to Pepco FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, entered into between Pepco and Horizon Energy Company, DTE Energy Trading, Inc., and Continental Energy Services, L.L.C. An effective date of February 1, 1998, for these service agreements, with waiver of notice, is requested.

*Comment date:* March 16, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 4. Northeast Utilities Service Company

[Docket No. ER98-1958-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1998, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), tendered for filing, Service Agreements to provide Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service to the Cinergy Capital & Trading, Inc., under the NU System Companies' Open Access Transmission Service Tariff No. 9.

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to the Cinergy Capital & Trading, Inc.

NUSCO requests that the Service Agreement become effective February 23, 1998.

*Comment date:* March 16, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 5. Mississippi Power Company

[Docket No. ER98-1959-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1998, Mississippi Power Company and Southern Company Services, Inc., its agent, tendered for filing a Service Agreement, pursuant to the Southern Companies Electric Tariff Volume No. 4—Market Based Rate Tariff, with South Mississippi Electric Power Association for the Aleco Fire Tower Road Delivery Point to Singing River Electric Power Association. The agreement will permit Mississippi Power to provide wholesale electric service to South Mississippi Electric Power Association at a new service delivery point.

Copies of the filing were served upon South Mississippi Electric Power

Association, the Mississippi Public Service Commission, and the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff.

*Comment date:* March 16, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 6. Ameren Services Company

[Docket No. ER98-1960-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1998, Ameren Services Company (ASC), tendered for filing Service Agreements for Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Services between ASC and Columbia Power Marketing Corporation and North American Energy Conservation, Inc. ASC asserts that the purpose of the Agreements is to permit ASC to provide transmission service to the parties pursuant to Ameren's Open Access Transmission Tariff filed in Docket No. EC96-7-000 *et al.*

*Comment date:* March 16, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 7. Ameren Services Company

[Docket No. ER98-1961-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1998, Ameren Services Company (ASC), tendered for filing Service Agreements for Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Services between ASC and Columbia Power Marketing Corporation and North American Energy Conservation, Inc. ASC asserts that the purpose of the Agreements is to permit ASC to provide transmission service to the parties pursuant to Ameren's Open Access Transmission Tariff filed in Docket No. EC96-7-000 *et al.*

*Comment date:* March 16, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 8. Central Power and Light Company, West Texas Utilities Company, Public Service Company of Oklahoma, and Southwestern Electric Power Co.

[Docket No. ER98-1944-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1998, Central Power and Light Company (CPL), West Texas Utilities Company (WTU), Public Service Company of Oklahoma (PSO) and Southwestern Electric Power Company (SWEPCO) (collectively, the CSW Operating Companies) submitted for filing service agreements under which the CSW Operating Companies will provide transmission and ancillary services to Tex-La Electric Cooperative of Texas, Inc. (Tex-La) and NP Energy, Inc. (NP) in accordance with the CSW Operating Companies' open access transmission service tariff. The CSW Operating Companies also submitted a notice of cancellation for each firm point-to-point