It is reasonable to expect that DOE will provide a portion of the cost for the military children's education. The precise dollar amount of the impacts cannot be calculated until the students are actually enrolled in the schools and the school district files an official application to DOE for receipt of impact aid funds.

Public Health and Safety

One commentor expressed concern that during Santa Ana wind conditions, the accident potential over the cities of Oxnard, Camarillo, and Port Hueneme would increase. The Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone Program included Santa Ana conditions in the calculations for the Accident Potential Zones (''APZs'') shown in the FEIS. The APZs identified for Runway 03/21 mainly encompass agricultural land with the exception of the Naval Air Mobile Home Park.

Hazardous Material and Wastes

One commentor expressed concerns regarding the proportional increased risk of fuel spills from E–2 aircraft fuel handling. Spill prevention is an inherent part of NAWS Point Mugu fueling operations. All personnel who handle hazardous materials and wastes participate in a quarterly training update and are provided specific spill response guidance for their work areas.

Conclusions

In deciding where to realign E–2 aircraft from MCAS Miramar, I considered the following: the 1995 BRAC Commission recommendations; E–2 operational requirements; costs associated with construction of facilities, operation and maintenance of aircraft, and training of personnel; environmental impacts; and the comments received during the DEIS and FEIS review periods.

After carefully weighing all of these factors, I have decided, on behalf of the Department of the Navy, to direct realignment of four Pacific Fleet E–2 squadrons to NAWS Point Mugu. Environmental impacts are slightly more than the NAS Lemoore and NAF El Centro alternatives; however, the NAWS Point Mugu alternative is operationally preferred because of close proximity to operating areas, is the least expensive alternative and it fully uses excess capacity at NAWS Point Mugu.

Implementation of the Naws Point Mugu alternative will result in significant but manageable impacts to air quality and schools. Potentially significant adverse impacts to cultural resources will be mitigated to less than significant levels. The DON will implement the mitigation measures identified in this Record of Decision.

Dated: June 2, 1998.

Duncan Holaday,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Installations and Facilities).

[FR Doc. 98–15328 Filed 6–8–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810–FF–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Notice of Intent To Grant Exclusive Patent License; Environics, Inc.

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy hereby gives notice of its intent to grant to Environics, Inc., a revocable, nonassignable, exclusive license in the United States to practice the Government owned invention described in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/625,506 entitled ''Atmospheric Ozone Concentration Detector,'' filed March 29, 1996.

DATES: Anyone wishing to object to the grant of this license must file written objections along with supporting evidence, if any, not later than August 10, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Written objections are to be filed with the Office of Naval Research, ONR 00CC, Ballston Tower One, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, Virginia 22217–5660.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. R.J. Erickson, Staff Patent Attorney, Office of Naval Research, ONR 00CC, Ballston Tower One, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, Virginia 22217–5660, telephone (703) 696–4001.

(Authority: 35 U.S.C. 207, 37 CFR Part 404)

Dated: May 26, 1998.

Lou Rae Langevin,

LT, JAGC, USN, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 98–15207 Filed 6–8–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting

Pursuant to the provision of the ''Government in the Sunshine Act'' (5 U.S.C. § 552b), notice is hereby given of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board's (Board) meeting described below.

TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 9:00 a.m., June 24, 1998.

PLACE: The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, Public Hearing Room, 625 Indiana Avenue, NW, Suite 300, Washington, DC 20004.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board will convene the seventh quarterly briefing regarding the status of progress of the activities associated with the DOE's Implementation Plan for the Board's Recommendation 95–2, Integrated Safety Management. In addition to overall status, discussions will focus on feedback and improvements programs, and preparation of lists of requirements based on DOE approved processes such as Work Smart Standards.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Robert M. Anderson, General Counsel, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, 625 Indiana Avenue, NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20004, (800) 788–4016. This is a toll-free number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board reserves its right to further schedule and otherwise regulate the course of this meeting, to recess, reconvene, postpone or adjourn the meeting, and otherwise exercise its authority under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

Dated: June 5, 1998.

John T. Conway,

Chairman.

[FR Doc. 98–15506 Filed 6–5–98; 3:48 pm]

BILLING CODE 3670–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board, Nevada Test Site

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 86 Stat. 770) notice is hereby given of the following Advisory Committee meeting: Environmental Management Site-Specific Advisory Board (EM SSAB), Nevada Test Site.

DATES: Wednesday, July 1, 1998: 5:30 p.m.—9:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: U.S. Department of Energy, Nevada Support Facility, Great Basin Room, 232 Energy Way, North Las Vegas, Nevada.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kevin Rohrer, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Environmental Management, P.O. Box 98518, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193–8513, phone: 702–295–0197.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose of the Board: The purpose of the Advisory Board is to make recommendations to DOE and its regulators in the areas of environmental restoration, waste management, and related activities.

Tentative Agenda

5:30 p.m. Call to Order
5:40 p.m. Presentations
7:00 p.m. Public Comment/Questions
7:30 p.m. Break
7:45 p.m. Review Action Items
8:00 p.m. Approve Meeting Minutes
8:10 p.m. Committee Reports
8:45 p.m. Public Comment
9:00 p.m. Adjourn

Copies of the final agenda will be available at the meeting.

Public Participation: The meeting is open to the public. Written statements may be filed with the Committee either before or after the meeting. Individuals who wish to make oral statements pertaining to agenda items should contact Kevin Rohrer, at the telephone number listed above. Requests must be received 5 days prior to the meeting and reasonable provision will be made to include the presentation in the agenda. The Designated Federal Officer is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business.

Minutes: The minutes of this meeting will be available for public review and copying at the Freedom of Information Public Reading Room, 1E–190, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585 between 9:00 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday-Friday, except Federal holidays. Minutes will also be available by writing to Kevin Rohrer at the address listed above.

Issued at Washington, DC on June 3, 1998.

Rachel M. Samuel,

Deputy Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 98–15280 Filed 6–8–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97–774–000]

CNG Transmission Corporation and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Notice of Site Visit

June 3, 1998.

On June 9 an 10, 1998, the Office of Pipeline Regulation staff will conduct a site visit, with representatives of CNG Transmission Corporation, of the Market Area Storage Project in Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania.

All interested parties may attend. Those planning to attend must provide their own transportation.

For further information, please contact Paul McKee at (202) 208–1088.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–15215 Filed 6–8–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98–91–005]

CNG Transmission Corporation; Notice of Tariff Compliance Filing

June 3, 1998.

Take Notice that on May 29, 1998, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets:

Thirty-Seventh Revised Sheet No. 32
Thirty-Seventh Revised Sheet No. 33
Sub. Second Revised Sheet No. 361A

CNG states that proposed Sheet 361A contains CNG's revised tariff language to Section 18.5 of the General Terms and Conditions of its FERC Gas Tariff addressing the implementation of CNG's Accelerated Capital Recovery Mechanism (ACRM) surcharge. Revised Sheet Numbers 32 and 33 reflect the implementation of the ACRM surcharge. CNG requests an effective date of June 15, 1998, for the revised Section 18.5, the date which the Commission accepted CNG's ACRM surcharge in the above-referenced Orders.

CNG states that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the Commission's January 14, 1998 Order to reflect an effective date of June 15, 1998 for Section 18.5. CNG proposes to actually assess the surcharge effective July 1, 1998 to avoid multiple administrative difficulties. These include billing complications due to multiple rates for the same month, and interference with capacity release transactions. Further, CNG's request is analogous to GISB standard 1.3.28 that prohibits a mid-month rate change for fuel retention.

CNG states that copies of its filing has been mailed to CNG's customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NW., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–15223 Filed 6–9–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP98–234–000]

CNG Transmission Corporation; Notice of Tariff Filing

June 3, 1998.

Take notice that on May 29, 1998, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, with an effective date of July 1, 1998:

Thirty-Eighth Revised Sheet No. 32
Thirty-Eighth Revised Sheet No. 33
Third Revised Sheet No. 361A

CNG states that proposed Sheet 361A contains CNG's revised tariff language to Section 18.5, Accelerated Capital Recovery Mechanism (ACRM) surcharge, of the General Terms and Conditions of its FERC Gas Tariff. Revised Sheet Numbers 32 and 33 reflect the revised ACRM surcharge. CNG requests an effective date of June 15, 1998, for the revised Section 18.5, the date which the Commission accepted CNG's ACRM surcharge in the above-referenced Orders.

CNG states that the purpose of this filing is to revise CNG's ACRM surcharge. As revised, CNG would bill this surcharge July 1, 1998, through December 31, 2000, in accordance with the proposed Section 18.5 of the General Terms and Conditions set forth in CNG's FERC Gas Tariff. Although the Commission approved the surcharge effective June 15, 1998, CNG proposes to actually assess the surcharge effective July 1, 1998 to avoid multiple administrative difficulties. These include billing complications due to multiple rates for the same month, and interference with capacity release transactions. Further, CNG's request is analogous to GISB standard 1.3.28 that