DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98–576–000]

Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

June 8, 1998.

Take notice that on May 29, 1998, Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc. (Williams), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP98–576–000 a request pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) to abandon, by sale, certain facilities in Woods County, Oklahoma, under William's blanket certificates issued in Docket No. CP82–474–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Williams states that it would abandon by sale to Sigma-Level-Aurora Joint Venture, L.L.C. dba Aurora Field Services, L.L.C. (Aurora) approximately 5.2 miles of the 8-inch Waynoka lateral pipeline.

No service, it is said, would be abandoned as a result of the proposal.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–15663 Filed 6–11–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG98–83–000, et al.]

El Dorado Energy, LLC, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

June 4, 1998.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. El Dorado Energy, LLC

[Docket No. EG98–83–000]

Take notice that on June 1, 1998, El Dorado Energy, LLC (Applicant), with its principal offices at 1111 Louisiana, 16th Floor, Houston, Texas, 77002, and 101 Ash Street, San Diego, California, 92101, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (the Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations. Applicant states that it will be engaged directly, or indirectly through one or more affiliates, as defined in Section 2(a)(11)(B) of PUHCA, and exclusively in the business of owning and or/operating, an undivided interest in an eligible facility and selling electric energy at wholesale.

Applicant is a limited liability company that is constructing and will own a 492–MW generating plant near Boulder City, Nevada.

Comment date: June 24, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

2. Northern States Power Company (Minnesota), Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin)

[Docket No. ER98–1890–003, Docket No. ER98–2060–003]

Take notice that on June 1, 1998, Northern States Power Company (Minnesota) and Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin) (together NSP), submitted for filing its compliance filing in compliance with the Commission's Order of April 30, 1998 in this proceeding.

Comment date: June 19, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER98–2990–000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1998, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company (OG&E), tendered for filing an amended filing of its May 13, 1998, filing of service agreements for parties to take service under its short-term power sales agreement.

Copies of this filing have been served on each of the affected parties, the Oklahoma Corporation Commission and the Arkansas Public Service Commission.

Comment date: June 23, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Louisville Gas And Electric Company

[Docket No. ER98–3189–000]

Take notice that on June 2, 1998, Louisville Gas and Electric Company (LG&E), tendered for filing an unexecuted Purchase and Sales Agreement between LG&E and ConAgra Energy Services, Inc., under LG&E's Rate Schedule GSS.

Comment date: June 22, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Louisville Gas And Electric Company

[Docket No. ER98–3190–000]

Take notice that on June 2, 1998, Louisville Gas and Electric Company (LG&E), tendered for filing an unexecuted Purchase and Sales Agreement between LG&E and DuPont Power Marketing, Inc., under LG&E's Rate Schedule GSS.

Comment date: June 22, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Upper Peninsula Power Company

[Docket No. ER98–3191–000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1998, Upper Peninsula Power Company (UPPCO), tendered for filing a Service Agreement for Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission service under its open access transmission service tariff for service to AYP Energy, Inc. UPPCO proposes to make the service agreement effective as of July 19, 1998.

Comment date: June 23, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Minnesota Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER98–3192–000]

Take notice that on June 2, 1998, Minnesota Power & Light Company tendered for filing signed Non-Firm and Short-term Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service Agreements with Powerex (British Columbia Power Exchange Corporation) under its Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service to satisfy its filing requirements under this tariff.

Comment date: June 22, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Western Resources, Inc.

[Docket No. ER98–3193–000]

Take notice that on June 2, 1998, Western Resources, Inc., (Western Resources), tendered for filing two agreements between Western Resources and Chillicothe Municipal Utilities, and Western Resources and The Board of Municipal Utilities of Sikeston, Missouri. Western Resources states that the purpose of the agreements is to permit the customers to take service under Western Resources' market-based power sales tariff on file with the Commission. The agreements are proposed to become effective May 5, 1998 and May 18, 1998, respectively.

Copies of the filing were served upon Chillicothe Municipal Utilities, The Board of Municipal Utilities of Sikeston, Missouri, and the Kansas Corporation Commission.

Comment date: June 22, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER98–3194–000]

Take notice that on June 2, 1998, Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy), tendered for filing a service agreement under Cinergy's Open Access Transmission Service Tariff entered into between Cinergy and Detroit Edison Company (DEC).

Cinergy and DEC are requesting an effective date of May 19, 1998.

Comment date: June 22, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. New England Power Company

[Docket No. ER98–3195–000]

Take notice that on June 2, 1998, New England Power Company (NEP), filed an amendment to Network Integration Service Agreement No. 89 with Green Mountain Power Corporation under NEP's open access transmission service, FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 9.

Comment date: June 22, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Northern States Power Company (Minnesota), Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin)

[Docket No. ER98–3196–000]

Take notice that on June 2, 1998, Northern States Power Company (Minnesota) and Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin) (jointly NSP), tendered for filing three Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service Agreements between NSP and NSP Wholesale.

NSP requests that the Commission accept the agreements effective May 1, 1998, and requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements in order for the agreement to be accepted for filing on the date requested.

Comment date: June 22, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Commonwealth Edison Company

[Docket No. ER98–3197–000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1998, Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd), submitted for filing one Short-Term Firm Service Agreement establishing Central Illinois Light Company (CIL), as a customer under the terms of ComEd's Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT).

ComEd requests an effective date of August 1, 1998, for the service agreements, and accordingly seeks waiver of the Commission's notice requirements.

Copies of this filing were served on CIL, and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Comment date: June 23, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. Minnesota Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER98–3198–000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1998, Minnesota Power & Light Company tendered for filing a signed Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service Agreement with Entergy Power Marketing Corp., under its Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service to satisfy its filing requirements under this tariff.

Comment date: June 23, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

14. PacifiCorp

[Docket No. ER98–3199–000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1998, PacifiCorp, tendered for filing in accordance with 18 CFR 35 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, Umbrella Service Agreements with City of Mesa, Arizona (Mesa); Morenci Water & Electric Co. (Morenci); Nautilus Energy Company, LLC (Nautilus); and New Energy Ventures, L.L.C. (New Energy), under PacifiCorp's FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 12.

Copies of this filing were supplied to the Public Utility Commission of Oregon and the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.

Comment date: June 23, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. Western Resources, Inc.

[Docket No. ER98–3200–000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1998, Western Resources, Inc. (Western Resources), tendered for filing two agreements between Western Resources and Commonwealth Edison, and Western Resources and Rainbow Energy Marketing Corporation. Western Resources states that the purpose of the agreements is to permit the customers to take service under Western Resources' Market-Based Power Sales Tariff on file with the Commission. The agreements are proposed to become effective May 15, 1998 and May 18, 1998, respectively.

Copies of the filing were served upon Commonwealth Edison, Rainbow Energy Marketing Corporation, and the Kansas Corporation Commission.

Comment date: June 23, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. Northern States Power Company (Minnesota), Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin)

[Docket No. ER98–3201–000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1998, Northern States Power Company (Minnesota) and Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin) (jointly NSP), tendered for filing a Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service Agreement between NSP and Entergy Power Marketing Corp.

NSP requests that the Commission accept both the agreements effective May 8, 1998, and requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements in order for the agreements to be accepted for filing on the date requested.

Comment date: June 23, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

17. Florida Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER98–3206–000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1998, Florida Power Corporation (FPC), tendered for filing a Service Agreement between FPC and Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc., dated May 21, 1998, pursuant to FPC's Cost-Based Wholesale Power Sales Tariff (CR–1), FERC Electric Tariff No. 9. FPC requests an effective date of May 21, 1998, for the Service Agreement.

Comment date: June 23, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

18. Montaup Electric Company

[Docket No. ER98–3207–000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1998, Montaup Electric Company (Montaup), tendered for filing addenda to the

Standard Offer Service Agreements between Montaup and its two retail affiliates doing business in Rhode Island, Blackstone Valley Electric Company and Newport Electric Corporation, and between Montaup and its retail affiliate doing business in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Eastern Edison Company. Montaup requests that the addenda for Blackstone and Newport be accepted and allowed to be made effective as of January 1, 1998, and that the addendum for Eastern be accepted and allowed to be made effective as of March 1, 1998, *i.e.*, the respective Retail Access Date for each of these companies under Montaup's restructuring settlement filed in Docket Nos. ER97–2800 *et al.*

Copies of the filing were served upon Montaup's jurisdictional customers and upon affected state agencies.

Comment date: June 23, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

19. Duke Power

[Docket No. ER98–3208–000]

Take notice that on June 3, 1998, Duke Power, a division of Duke Energy Corporation (Duke), tendered for filing a Market Rate Service Agreement (the MRSA) between Duke and Avista Energy, Inc., dated as of March 31, 1998.

Comment date: June 23, 1998, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of these filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

David P. Boergers,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–15652 Filed 6–11–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Hearings and Appeals

Notice of Issuance of Decisions and Orders; Week of March 30 Through April 3, 1998

During the week of March 30 through April 3, 1998, the decisions and orders summarized below were issued with respect to appeals, applications, petitions, or other requests filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. The following summary also contains a list of submissions that were dismissed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Copies of the full text of these decisions and orders are available in the Public Reference Room of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Room 7117, Comsat Building, 950 L'Enfant Plaza SW., Washington, DC 20585–0107, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m., except federal holidays. They are also available in *Energy Management: Federal Energy Guidelines,* a commercially published loose leaf reporter system. Some decisions and orders are available on the Office of Hearings and Appeals World Wide Web site at http://www.oha.doe.gov.

Dated: June 2, 1998.

George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Decision List No. 79; Week of March 30 through April 3, 1998

Appeals

David R. Berg, 4/2/98, VFA–0376

The Department of Energy denied a Privacy and Freedom of Information Acts (FOIA) Appeal filed by David R. Berg from a determination issued by the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Human Resources that certain documents relating to Mr. Berg and several co-workers were exempt from mandatory disclosure. The DOE found that the withheld material was exempt from mandatory disclosure under subsection (d)(5) of the Privacy Act and Exemption 6 of the FOIA, but that Exemptions 7(C) and 7(F) of the FOIA were inapplicable because the documents were not compiled for law enforcement purposes.

Dr. Nicolas Dominquez, 4/2/98, VFA–0368, VFA–0387, VFA–0388, VFA–0389

Dr. Nicolas Dominguez appealed four Determinations issued to him in response to a request under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). The Appellant sought information concerning his termination by Lockheed Martin Energy Research Corporation (LMERC), including two memos, his job description and identifying information concerning a ''group of peers'' which heard testimony regarding the termination. In its Determination, the Oak Ridge Operations Office (ORO) found that all responsive documents were owned by LMERC. On appeal, the DOE rejected the argument that all records funded by the taxpayers were subject to release under the FOIA. The DOE, however, found that ORO did possess responsive agency records regarding the ''group of peers,'' and that some of the requested documents were subject to release because they were owned by DOE. Accordingly, two of the Appeals were granted and two were denied.

Eugene Maples, 3/30/98, VFA–0382

Eugene Maples (Maples) appealed determinations issued to him by the Offices of the Inspector General (OIG) and the General Counsel (OGC). In his Appeal, Maples asserted that OIG improperly withheld, pursuant to FOIA Exemptions 6 and 7(C), names from documents relating to recoupment of Petroleum Violation Escrow (PVE) funds from the State of South Carolina. Maples also argued that OIG and OGC conducted inadequate searches for responsive documents. The DOE determined that OIG and OGC conducted adequate searches for responsive documents, but that OIG may have improperly applied Exemptions 6 and 7(C) to the withheld names. Consequently, Maples's Appeal was granted in part.

Personnel Security Hearing

Personnel Security Hearing, 4/3/98, VSO–0172

A Hearing Officer recommended that the access authorization of an individual employed by a DOE contractor not be reinstated. The individual was charged with deliberately omitting information relevant to his eligibility for access authorization from two written security questionnaire forms, making false statements during a DOE personnel security interview, and with ''unusual conduct'' that tended to show he was not honest, reliable or trustworthy, including violation of a DOE Drug Certification and a pattern of repeated arrests. The Hearing Officer found that the individual had mitigated some of the charges, including a number of minor inconsistencies in his statements to the local DOE security office, and his violation of the Drug Certification five years before the hearing, but had failed to mitigate the charges that he had deliberately omitted or falsified