

Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 63, No. 114

Monday, June 15, 1998

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-325-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 747-100, -200, -300, -SP, and -400F Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Boeing Model 747-100, -200, -300, -SP, and -400F series airplanes. Among other things, this proposal would require repetitive leak checks of the lavatory drain system and repair, if necessary; installation of a cap or flush/fill line ball valve on the flush/fill line; would require periodic seal changes; and replacement of any "donut" type valves installed in the waste drain system. This proposal is prompted by continuing reports of damage to engines and airframes, separation of engines from airplanes, and damage to property on the ground, caused by "blue ice" that forms from leaking lavatory drain systems on transport category airplanes and subsequently dislodges from the airplane fuselage. The actions specified by this proposed AD are intended to prevent damage to engines, airframes, and property on the ground that is associated with the problems of "blue ice" that forms from leaking lavatory drain systems on transport category airplanes and subsequently dislodges from the airplane fuselage.

DATES: Comments must be received by July 30, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-

325-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Don Eiford, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM-130S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; telephone (425) 227-2788; fax (425) 227-1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 97-NM-325-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No.

97-NM-325-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

Over the past several years, the FAA has received numerous reports of leakage from the lavatory service systems on in-service transport category airplanes that resulted in the formation of "blue ice" on the fuselage. In some instances, the "blue ice" subsequently dislodged from the fuselage and was ingested into an engine. In several of these incidents, the ingestion of blue ice into an engine resulted in the loss of an engine fan blade, severe engine damage, and the inflight shutdown of the engine. In two cases, the loads created by the "blue ice" being ingested into the engine resulted in the engine being physically torn from the airplane. Damage to an engine, or the separation of an engine from the airplane, could result in reduced controllability of the airplane.

The FAA also has received reports of at least three incidents of damage to the airframe of various models of transport category airplanes that was caused by foreign objects dislodged from the forward toilet drain valve and flush/fill line. One report was of a dent on the right horizontal stabilizer leading edge on a Boeing Model 737 series airplane that was caused by "blue ice" that had formed from leakage through a flush/fill line; in this case, the flush/fill cap was missing from the line at the forward service panel. Numerous operators have stated that leakage from the flush/fill line is a significant source of problems associated with "blue ice." Such damage caused by "blue ice" could adversely affect the integrity of the fuselage skin or surface structures.

Additionally, there have been numerous reports of "blue ice" dislodging from airplanes and striking houses, cars, buildings, and other occupied areas on the ground. Although there have been no reports of any person being struck by "blue ice," the FAA considers that the large number of reported cases of "blue ice" falling from lavatory drain systems is sufficient to support the conclusion that "blue ice" presents an unsafe condition to people on the ground. Demographic studies have shown that population density has increased around airports, and probably will continue to increase. These are populations that are at greatest risk of

damage and injury due to "blue ice" dislodging from an airplane during descent. Without actions to ensure that leaks from the lavatory drain systems are detected and corrected in a timely manner, "blue ice" incidents could go unchecked and eventually someone may be struck, perhaps fatally, by falling "blue ice."

Current Rules

On November 9, 1994, the FAA issued AD 94-23-10, amendment 39-9073 (59 FR 59124, November 16, 1994), which is applicable to Boeing Model 727 series airplanes. That AD contains numerous requirements that are similar to those proposed in this action, which is applicable to Model 747 series airplanes. In fact, several of the proposed requirements of this action are based on alternative methods of compliance that the FAA had approved previously for compliance with AD 94-23-10.

The FAA is currently considering additional rulemaking to address the problems associated with "blue ice" on other transport category airplanes.

Discussion of the Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the FAA is proposing this AD, which would require the following actions:

Paragraph (a) of the proposed AD would require periodic replacement of the valve seals of each lavatory drain system with new valve seals. This paragraph also would require repetitive leak tests of the lavatory dump valve and drain valve (either service panel or in-line drain valve). The leak test of panel valves would be required to be performed with a minimum of 3 PSID applied across the valve. If any leak is discovered during the leak tests, operators would be required either to repair the leak and retest it, or drain the lavatory system and placard it inoperative until repairs can be made.

In cases where the panel valve has both an inner seal and an outer cap seal, in lieu of pressure testing of the outer cap seal, operators are provided with the option of performing a visual inspection for damage or wear of the outer cap seal and seal surface. Any damaged parts detected would be required to be repaired or replaced prior to further flight, or the lavatory drained and placarded inoperative until repairs can be made.

Additionally, the flush/fill line anti-siphon valve would be required to be leak checked. Seals of the anti-siphon (check) valve, flush/fill line cap, or

flush/fill line ball valve would be required to be replaced periodically.

Paragraph (b) of the proposed AD would require that all operators install a lever/lock cap on the flush/fill lines for all service panels, or install a flush/fill ball valve Kaiser Electroprecision part number series 0062-0009 on the flush/fill lines for all lavatories.

Paragraph (c) of the proposed AD would require that, before an operator places an airplane into service, a schedule for accomplishment of the leak tests required by this AD shall be established. This provision is intended to ensure that transferred airplanes are inspected in accordance with the AD on the same basis as if there were continuity in ownership, and that scheduling of the leak tests for each airplane is not delayed or postponed due to a transfer of ownership. Airplanes that have previously been subject to the AD would have to be checked in accordance with either the previous operator's or the new operator's schedule, whichever would result in the earlier accomplishment date for that leak test. Other airplanes would have to be inspected before an operator could begin operating them or in accordance with a schedule approved by the FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector (PMI), but within a period not to exceed 200 flight hours.

Economic Impact

There are approximately 711 Model 747 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 201 airplanes of U.S. registry and 89 U.S. operators would be affected by this proposed AD.

The proposed waste drain system leak test and outer cap inspection would take approximately 6 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact on U.S. operators of the waste drain system leak test and outer cap inspection is estimated to be \$72,360, or \$360 per airplane, per test/inspection.

Certain airplanes (i.e., those that have "donut" type drain valves installed) may be required to be leak tested as many as 15 times each year. Certain other airplanes having other valve configurations would be required to be leak tested as few as 1 time each year. Based on these figures, the annual (recurring) cost impact of the required repetitive leak tests on U.S. operators is estimated to be between \$360 and \$5,400 per airplane per year.

With regard to replacement of "donut" type drain valves, the cost of a new valve is approximately \$1,200. However, the number of leak tests for an

airplane that is flown an average of 3,000 flight hours a year is thereby reduced from 15 tests to 3 tests. The cost reduction because of the number of tests required is approximately equal to the cost of the replacement valve. Therefore, no additional cost would be incurred.

The FAA estimates that it would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane lavatory drain to accomplish a visual inspection of the service panel drain valve cap/door seal and seal mating surfaces, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. As with leak tests, certain airplanes would be required to be visually inspected as many as 15 times or as few as 3 times each year. Based on these figures, the annual (recurring) cost impact of the required repetitive visual inspections on U.S. operators is estimated to be between \$180 and \$900 per airplane per year.

The proposed installation of the flush/fill line cap would take approximately 1 work hour per cap to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. The cost of required parts would be \$275 per cap. There are an average of 4 caps per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact on U.S. operators of these proposed requirements of this AD is estimated to be \$269,340, or \$1,340 per airplane, per replacement cycle.

The seal replacements of the drain valves required by paragraph (a) of this AD would require approximately 2 work hours to accomplish, at an average labor cost of \$60 per hour. The cost of required parts would be \$200 per each seal change. Based on these figures, the cost impact on U.S. operators of these proposed requirements of this AD is estimated to be \$64,320, or approximately \$320 per airplane per replacement.

The number of required work hours, as indicated above, is presented as if the accomplishment of the actions proposed in this AD were to be conducted as "stand alone" actions. However, in actual practice, these actions could be accomplished coincidentally or in combination with normally scheduled airplane inspections and other maintenance program tasks. Therefore, the actual number of necessary "additional" work hours would be minimal in many instances. Additionally, any costs associated with special airplane scheduling should be minimal.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the current or proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

The FAA recognizes that the obligation to maintain aircraft in an airworthy condition is vital, but sometimes expensive. Because AD's require specific actions to address specific unsafe conditions, they appear to impose costs that would not otherwise be borne by operators. However, because of the general obligation of operators to maintain aircraft in an airworthy condition, this appearance is deceptive. Attributing those costs solely to the issuance of this AD is unrealistic because, in the interest of maintaining safe aircraft, prudent operators would accomplish the required actions even if they were not required to do so by the AD.

A full cost-benefit analysis has not been accomplished for this proposed AD. As a matter of law, in order to be airworthy, an aircraft must conform to its type design and be in a condition for safe operation. The type design is approved only after the FAA makes a determination that it complies with all applicable airworthiness requirements. In adopting and maintaining those requirements, the FAA has already made the determination that they establish a level of safety that is cost-beneficial. When the FAA, as in this proposed AD, makes a finding of an unsafe condition, this means that the original cost-beneficial level of safety is no longer being achieved and that the required actions are necessary to restore that level of safety. Because this level of safety has already been determined to be cost-beneficial, a full cost-benefit analysis for this proposed AD would be redundant and unnecessary.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this

action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Boeing: Docket 97-NM-325-AD.

Applicability: All Model 747-100, -200, -300, -SP, and -400F series airplanes, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent engine damage, airframe damage, and/or hazard to persons or property on the ground as a result of "blue ice" that has formed from leakage of the lavatory drain system or flush/fill systems and dislodged from the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Accomplish the applicable requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(9) of this AD at the time specified in each paragraph. If the waste drain system incorporates more than one type of valve, only one of the waste drain system leak test procedures (the one that applies to the equipment with the longest leak test interval) must be conducted at each service panel location. The waste drain system valve leak tests specified in this AD shall be performed in accordance with the following requirements: Fluid shall completely cover the upstream end of the valve being tested; the direction of the 3 pounds per square inch

differential pressure (PSID) shall be applied across the valve in the same direction as occurs in flight; the other waste drain system valves shall be open; and the minimum time to maintain the differential pressure shall be 5 minutes. Any revision of the seal change intervals or leak test intervals must be approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

(1) Replace the valve seals with new valve seals in accordance with the applicable schedule specified in paragraphs (a)(1)(i), (a)(1)(ii), and (a)(1)(iii) of this AD.

(i) For each lavatory drain system that has an in-line drain valve installed, Kaiser Electroprecision part number series 2651-278 or 2651-357: Replace the seals within 5,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, or within 48 months after the last documented seal change, whichever occurs later. Thereafter, repeat the replacement of the seals at intervals not to exceed 48 months.

(ii) For each lavatory drain system that has a Pneudraulics part number series 9527 valve: Replace the seals within 5,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, or within 18 months of the last documented seal change, whichever occurs later. Thereafter, repeat the replacement of the seals at intervals not to exceed 18 months or 6,000 flight hours, whichever occurs later.

(iii) For each lavatory drain system that has any other type of drain valve: Replace the seals within 5,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, or within 18 months after the last documented seal change, whichever occurs later. Thereafter, repeat the replacement of the seals at intervals not to exceed 18 months.

(2) For each lavatory drain system that has an in-line drain valve installed, Kaiser Electroprecision part number series 2651-278: Within 4,500 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 4,500 flight hours, accomplish the procedures specified in paragraphs (a)(2)(i) and (a)(2)(ii) of this AD:

(i) Conduct a leak test of the toilet tank dump valve (in-tank valve that is spring loaded closed and operable by a T-handle at the service panel) and the in-line drain valve. The toilet tank dump valve leak test must be performed by filling the toilet tank with a minimum of 10 gallons of water/rinsing fluid and testing for leakage after a period of 5 minutes. Take precautions to avoid overfilling the tank and spilling fluid into the airplane. The in-line drain valve leak test must be performed with a minimum of 3 PSID applied across the valve.

(ii) If a service panel valve or cap is installed, perform a visual inspection of the service panel drain valve outer cap/door seal and the inner seal (if the valve has an inner door with a second positive seal), and the seal mating surfaces for wear or damage that may allow leakage.

(3) For each lavatory drain system that has a service panel drain valve installed, Pneudraulics part number series 9527: Within 2,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (a)(3)(i) and (a)(3)(ii) of this AD. Thereafter, repeat the leak tests at intervals not to exceed 2,000 flight hours.

(i) Conduct leak tests of the toilet tank dump valve and service panel drain valve. The toilet tank dump valve leak test must be performed by filling the toilet tank with a minimum of 10 gallons of water/rinsing fluid and testing for leakage after a period of 5 minutes. Take precautions to avoid overfilling the tank and spilling fluid into the airplane. The leak test of the service panel

drain valve must be performed with a minimum of 3 PSID applied across the valve inner door/closure device.

(ii) Perform a visual inspection of the outer cap/door and seal mating surface for wear or damage that may cause leakage.

(4) For each lavatory drain system that has a service panel drain valve installed, Kaiser Electroprecision part number series 0218-

0032 or 2651-357 or Shaw Aero part number/serial number as listed in Table 1 of this AD: Within 1,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,000 flight hours, accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (a)(4)(i) and (a)(4)(ii) of this AD:

TABLE 1.—SHAW AERO VALVES APPROVED FOR 1,000 FLIGHT HOUR LEAK TEST INTERVAL

Shaw waste drain valve part number	Serial numbers of part number valve approved for 1,000-hour leak test interval
331 Series, 332 Series	All.
10101000B-A	None.
10101000B-A-1	0207-0212, 0219, 0226 and higher.
10101000BA2	0130 and higher.
10101000C-A	None.
10101000C-A-1	0277 and higher.
10101000CN OR C-N	3649 and higher.
Certain 10101000B valves	Any of these "B" series valves that incorporate the improvements of Shaw Service Bulletin 10101000B-38-1, dated October 7, 1994, and are marked "SBB38-1-58."
Certain 10101000C valves	Any of these "C" series valves that incorporate the improvements of Shaw Service Bulletin 10101000C-38-2 dated October 7, 1994, and are marked "SBC38-2-58."

Note 2: Table 1 is a comprehensive list of all approved Shaw valves, including those valves approved by Parts Manufacturer Approval (PMA) or Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) for installation on Boeing Model 747 series airplanes.

(i) Conduct a leak test of the toilet tank dump valve and service panel drain valve. The toilet tank dump valve leak test must be performed by filling the toilet tank with a minimum of 10 gallons of water/rinsing fluid and testing for leakage after a period of 5 minutes. Take precautions to avoid overfilling the tank and spilling fluid into the airplane. The service panel drain valve leak test must be performed with a minimum of 3 PSID applied across the valve inner door/closure device.

(ii) For each valve, except for Kaiser Electroprecision valve part number series 2651-357, perform a visual inspection of the outer cap/door and seal mating surface for wear or damage that may cause leakage.

(5) For each lavatory drain system that has a service panel drain valve installed, Kaiser Electroprecision part number series 0218-0026; or Shaw Aero Devices part number series 10101000B or 10101000C [except as specified in paragraph (a)(4) of this AD]: Within 600 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 600 flight hours, accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (a)(5)(i) and (a)(5)(ii) of this AD:

(i) Conduct a leak test of the dump valve and the service panel drain valve. The leak test of the dump valve must be performed by filling the toilet tank with a minimum of 10 gallons of water/rinsing fluid and testing for leakage after a period of 5 minutes. Take precautions to avoid overfilling the tank and spilling fluid on the airplane. The service panel drain valve leak test must be performed with a minimum 3 PSID applied across the valve inner door/closure device.

(ii) Perform a visual inspection of the outer cap/door and seal mating surface for wear or damage that may cause leakage.

(6) For each lavatory drain system with a lavatory drain system valve that incorporates either "donut" plug, Kaiser Electroprecision part number 4259-20 or 4259-31; Kaiser Roylyn/Kaiser Electroprecision cap/flange part numbers 2651-194C, 2651-197C, 2651-216, 2651-219, 2651-235, 2651-256, 2651-258, 2651-259, 2651-260, 2651-275, 2651-282, 2651-286; Shaw Aero Devices assembly part number 0008-100; or other FAA-approved equivalent parts; accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (a)(6)(i), (a)(6)(ii), and (a)(6)(iii) of this AD at the times specified in those paragraphs. For the purposes of this paragraph [(a)(6)], "FAA-approved equivalent part" means either a "donut" plug which mates with the cap/flange part numbers listed above, or a cap/flange which mates with the "donut" plug part numbers listed above, such that the cap/flange and "donut" plug are used together as an assembled valve.

(i) Within 200 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 200 flight hours, conduct leak tests of the toilet tank dump valve and the service panel drain valve. The leak test of the toilet tank dump valve must be performed by filling the toilet tank with a minimum of 10 gallons of water/rinsing fluid and testing for leakage after a period of 5 minutes. Take precautions to avoid overfilling the tank and spilling fluid on the airplane. The service panel drain valve leak test must be performed with a minimum 3 PSID applied across the valve.

(ii) Perform a visual inspection of the outer door/cap and seal mating surface for wear or damage that may cause leakage. This inspection shall be accomplished in conjunction with the leak tests of paragraph (a)(6)(i).

(iii) Within 5,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, replace the donut valve [part numbers per paragraph (a)(6) of this AD] with another type of FAA-approved valve. Following installation of the replacement valve, perform the appropriate leak tests and seal replacements at the

intervals specified for that replacement valve, as applicable.

(7) For each lavatory drain system not addressed in paragraphs (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(6) of this AD: Within 200 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 200 flight hours, accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (a)(7)(i) and (a)(7)(ii) of this AD:

(i) Conduct a leak test of the toilet tank dump valve and the service panel drain valve. The toilet tank dump valve leak test must be performed by filling the toilet tank with a minimum of 10 gallons of water/rinsing fluid and testing for leakage after a period of 5 minutes. Take precautions to avoid overfilling the tank and spilling fluid on the airplane. The service panel drain valve leak test must be performed with a minimum 3 PSID applied across the valve inner door/closure device.

(ii) Perform a visual inspection of the outer cap/door and seal mating surface for wear or damage that may cause leakage.

(8) For flush/fill lines: Within 5,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, accomplish the requirements of paragraph (a)(8)(i) or (a)(8)(ii), as applicable; and paragraph (a)(8)(iii) of this AD. Thereafter, repeat the requirements at intervals not to exceed 5,000 flight hours, or 48 months after the last documented seal change, whichever occurs later.

(i) If a lever lock cap is installed on the flush/fill line of the subject lavatory, replace the seals on the toilet tank anti-siphon (check) valve and the flush/fill line cap.

(ii) If a flush/fill ball valve, Kaiser Electroprecision part number series 0062-0009, is installed on the flush/fill line of the subject lavatory, replace the seals in the flush/fill ball valve and the toilet tank anti-siphon valve.

(iii) Leak test the toilet tank anti-siphon valve by filling the toilet tank with water/rinsing fluid to a level such that the bowl is approximately half full (at least 2 inches above the flapper in the bowl.) Apply 3 PSID across the valve in the same direction as

occurs in flight. If there is a cap/valve at the flush/fill line port, the cap/valve must be removed/open during the test. Check for leakage at the flush/fill line port for a period of 5 minutes.

(9) As a result of the leak tests and inspections required by paragraph (a) of this AD, or if evidence of leakage is found at any other time, accomplish the requirements of paragraph (a)(9)(i), (a)(9)(ii), or (a)(9)(iii), as applicable.

(i) If a leak is discovered, prior to further flight, repair the leak. Prior to further flight after repair, perform the appropriate leak test, as applicable. Additionally, prior to returning the airplane to service, clean the surfaces adjacent to where the leakage occurred to clear them of any horizontal fluid residue streaks; such cleaning must be to the extent that any future appearance of a horizontal fluid residue streak will be taken to mean that the system is leaking again.

Note 3: For purposes of this AD, "leakage" is defined as any visible leakage, if observed during a leak test. At any other time (than during a leak test), "leakage" is defined as the presence of ice in the service panel, or horizontal fluid residue streaks/ice trails originating at the service panel. The fluid residue is usually, but not necessarily, blue in color.

(ii) If any worn or damaged seal is found, or if any damaged seal mating surface is found, prior to further flight, repair or replace it in accordance with the valve manufacturer's maintenance manual.

(iii) In lieu of performing the requirements of paragraph (a)(9)(i) or (a)(9)(ii): Prior to further flight, drain the affected lavatory system and placard the lavatory inoperative until repairs can be accomplished.

(b) For all airplanes: Unless accomplished previously, within 5,000 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, perform the actions specified in paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD:

(1) Install an FAA-approved lever/lock cap on the flush/fill lines for all lavatories. Or

(2) Install a flush/fill ball valve Kaiser Electroprecision part number series 0062-0009 on the flush/fill lines for all lavatories.

(c) For any affected airplane acquired after the effective date of this AD: Before any operator places into service any airplane subject to the requirements of this AD, a schedule for the accomplishment of the leak tests required by this AD shall be established in accordance with either paragraph (c)(1) or (c)(2) of this AD, as applicable. After each leak test has been performed once, each subsequent leak test must be performed in accordance with the new operator's schedule, in accordance with paragraph (a) of this AD.

(1) For airplanes that have been maintained previously in accordance with this AD, the first leak test to be performed by the new operator must be accomplished in accordance with the previous operator's schedule or with the new operator's schedule, whichever results in the earlier accomplishment date for that leak test.

(2) For airplanes that have not been maintained previously in accordance with this AD, the first leak test to be performed by the new operator must be accomplished prior to further flight, or in accordance with a

schedule approved by the FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector (PMI), but within a period not to exceed 200 flight hours.

(d) Alternative method(s) of compliance with this AD: An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA PMI, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 4: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 8, 1998.

John J. Hickey,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98-15783 Filed 6-12-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

17 CFR Part 240

[Release No. 34-40077, International Series Release No. 1139, File No. S7-15-98]

RIN 3235-AH46

Exemption of the Securities of the Kingdom of Belgium Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for Purposes of Trading Futures Contracts on Those Securities

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission proposes for comment an amendment to Rule 3a12-8 ("Rule") that would designate debt obligations issued by the Kingdom of Belgium ("Belgium") as "exempted securities" for the purpose of marketing and trading of futures contracts on those securities in the United States. The amendment is intended to permit futures trading on the sovereign debt of Belgium.

DATES: Comments should be submitted by July 15, 1998.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be submitted in triplicate and addressed to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Comments also may be submitted electronically at the following E-mail

address: rule-comments@sec.gov. All comments should refer to File No. S7-15-98; this file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. Comment letters will be available for public inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Reference Room, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Electronically submitted comment letters will also be posted on the Commission's Internet web site (<http://www.sec.gov>).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joshua Kans, Attorney, Office of Market Supervision ("OMS"), Division of Market Regulation ("Division"), Securities and Exchange Commission (Mail Stop 10-1), 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549, at 202/942-0079.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

Under the Commodity Exchange Act ("CEA"), it is unlawful to trade a futures contract on any individual security unless the security in question is an exempted security (other than a municipal security) under the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"). Debt obligations of foreign governments are not exempted securities under either of these statutes. The Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"), however, has adopted Rule 3a12-8 (17 CFR 240.3a12-8) ("Rule") under the Exchange Act to designate debt obligations issued by certain foreign governments as exempted securities under the Exchange Act solely for the purpose of marketing and trading futures contracts on those securities in the United States. As amended, the foreign governments currently designated in the Rule are Great Britain, Canada, Japan, Australia, France, New Zealand, Austria, Denmark, Finland, the Netherlands, Switzerland, Germany, the Republic of Ireland, Italy, Spain, Mexico, Brazil, Argentina, and Venezuela (the "Designated Foreign Governments"). As a result, futures contracts on the debt obligations of these countries may be sold in the United States, as long as the other terms of the Rule are satisfied.

The Commission today is soliciting comments on a proposal to amend Rule 3a12-8 to add the debt obligations of the Kingdom of Belgium ("Belgium") to the list of Designated Foreign Governments whose debt obligations are exempted by Rule 3a12-8. To qualify for the exemption, futures contracts on the debt obligations of Belgium would have to