

was filed by the Board on April 21, 1997, and notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** (FTZ Docket 34-97, 62 FR 24393, 5/5/97) and was amended on April 21, 1998; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations would be satisfied, and that approval of the application, as amended, would be in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby grants authority for subzone status at the ferroalloys and silicon metals manufacturing plant of Globe Metallurgical, Inc., located in Beverly, Ohio (Subzone 138D), at the location described in the application, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28, and subject to the following conditions:

1. Foreign status products consumed in the production process shall be subject to duty at the applicable rate;

2. Privileged foreign status (19 CFR 146.41) shall be elected on all foreign merchandise admitted to the subzone; and

3. All foreign status merchandise subject to an antidumping or countervailing duty order (15 CFR 400.33) must be exported.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of July 1998.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-19398 Filed 7-20-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 994]

#### Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 183, Austin, Texas

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, an application from the Foreign Trade Zone of Central Texas, Inc., grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone No. 183, for authority to expand its zone to include a site at the MET Center industrial park in Austin, Texas, within the Austin Customs port of entry, was filed by the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ)

Board on August 4, 1997 (Docket 63-97, 62 FR 43700, 8/15/97);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in the **Federal Register** and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board has found that the requirements of the Act and the regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The grantee is authorized to expand its zone as requested in the application, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 10th day of July 1998.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.*

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Acting Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 98-19400 Filed 7-20-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-809]

#### Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On June 16, 1998, the Department of Commerce published the final results of administrative review of the antidumping order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from the Republic of Korea (63 FR 32833). The period of review is November 1, 1995, through October 31, 1996. Subsequent to the publication of the final results, we received comments from respondents and petitioners alleging various ministerial errors. After analyzing the comments submitted, we are amending our final results to correct certain ministerial errors.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 21, 1998.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Marian Wells or Zak Smith; Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Group I, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, US Department of

Commerce; 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone numbers (202) 482-6309 or (202) 482-1279, respectively.

#### Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended, are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. Additionally, unless otherwise indicated all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department's") regulations are to 19 CFR part 353 (April 1997).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On June 16, 1998, the Department published the final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Korea covering the period November 1, 1995, through October 31, 1996 (see, Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 63 FR 32833 ("Final Results")). Subsequently, the following interested parties submitted ministerial error allegations: SeAH Steel Coporation ("SeAH") and Hyundai Pipe Company Limited ("Hyundai") (collectively "the respondents"), and Allied Tube and Conduit Corporation, Sawhill Tubular Division-Armco, Inc., and Wheatland Tube Company (collectively "the petitioners").

A summary of each allegation along with the Department's response is included below. We are hereby amending our final results, pursuant to 19 CFR 353.28(c), to reflect the correction of those errors which are clerical in nature.

##### Analysis of Ministerial Error Allegations

*Allegation 1:* Hyundai alleges that in the concordance program, the Department inadvertently used a different date of sale for Hyundai's U.S. sales than that specified in the Final Results.

*Department's Position:* We agree with Hyundai and have altered the concordance program such that the appropriate date of sale, as discussed in our Final Results, is used in both the margin and concordance programs.

*Allegation 2:* Respondents allege that in the concordance program the Department inadvertently applied its general and administrative expenses (G&A) and interest expense adjustment factor on a compounding basis for each