with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner’s right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner’s property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner’s interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to a relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may make the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission’s Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, and to Jeremy J. Euto, Esquire, 500 Circle Drive, Buchanan, MI 49107, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(I)–(V) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated June 10, 1998, which is available for public inspection at the Commission’s Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Maud Preston Palenske Memorial Library, 500 Market Street, St. Joseph, MI 49085.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of July, 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John F. Stang Jr.,
Sr. Project Manager, Project Directorate III–3, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98–20482 Filed 7–30–98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50–361 and 50–362]

Southern California Edison Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed no Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF–10 and NPF–15 issued to Southern California Edison Company (the licensee) for operation of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3 located in San Diego County, California.

The proposed amendment would modify the technical specifications (TS) to extend the proposed offsite circuit completion time from “72 hours and 6 days from discovery of failure to meet LCO” to “72 hours and 17 days from discovery of failure to meet LCO.” The proposed amendment would also extend the completion time for the emergency diesel generator (EDG) from “72 hours and 6 days from discovery of failure to meet LCO” to “14 days and 17 days from discovery of failure to meet LCO.” As a part of this change, the “Configuration Risk Management Program” (CRMP) approved in Amendments 139 and 131, respectively, would also apply to the current proposed revisions to the TS. The July 22, 1998, application supersedes the staff’s proposed no significant hazards consideration determination evaluation that was published in the Federal Register on March 25, 1998 (63 FR 14487).

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission’s regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission’s regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) result in a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant
hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

   The Emergency Diesel Generators (EDGs) are backup alternating current power sources designed to power essential safety systems in the event of a loss of offsite power. EDGs are not accident initiators in any accident previously evaluated. Therefore, this change does not involve an increase in the probability of an accident previously evaluated.

   This proposed change will decrease the availability of the EDG in MDES 1 through 4. This results in an increase in the probability of Station Blackout (SBO) from 1.6E-5 per year to 2.2E-5 per year (a difference of 6.6E-6 per year). However, the increase in the resulting at-power core damage risk is offset by the decrease in shutdown core damage risk due to an SBO.

   The EDGs provide backup power to components that mitigate the consequences of accidents. The proposed changes to the Completion Times do not affect any of the assumptions used in the deterministic analysis.

2. The proposed change does not affect the probability of or the consequences of an accident.

   Therefore, this change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

   The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

   This proposed change does not alter the design, configuration, or method of operation of the plant. Therefore, this change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

   The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

   Therefore, this change does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

3. The proposed change does not affect the Limiting Conditions for Operation or their Bases that are used in the deterministic analyses to establish the margin of safety. PSA evaluations were used to evaluate these changes and these evaluations determined that the changes are either risk neutral or risk beneficial.

   Therefore, this change does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

   The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.52(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendement request involves no significant hazards consideration.

   The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

   Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

   Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D59, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC. As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner’s right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner’s property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner’s interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

   Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention
must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. The petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contentions must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment. If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Main Library, University of California, P.O. Box 19557, Irvine, California 92713.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of July, 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

James W. Clifford,
Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate IV–2, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 98–20481 Filed 7–30–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Plant-Verified Drop Shipment (PVDS) Clearance Documents—Revised Form 8125 and New Form 8125–C

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice adopts revisions to PS Form 8125, Plant-Verified Drop Shipment (PVDS) Verification and Clearance, adopts new Form 8125–C, Plant-Verified Drop Shipment (PVDS) Verification and Clearance—Consolidated, and provides notice of the elimination of Form 2866–IP, In-Plant Verification for Second- and Fourth-Class Matter.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 10, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cheryl Bellier, (202) 268–5166.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 29, 1998, the Postal Service published in the Federal Register (63 FR 23479–23481) proposed revisions to PS Form 8125, Plant-Verified Drop Shipment (PVDS) Verification and Clearance, and a new PS Form 8125–C, Plant-Verified Drop Shipment (PVDS) Verification and Clearance—Consolidated. In addition, the Postal Service also proposed eliminating PS Form 2866–IP, In-Plant Verification for Second- and Fourth-Class Matter, used by some Periodicals mailers who pay postage through the Centralized Postage Payment (CPP) program, and replacing it with PS Form 8125 or Form 8125–C.

Evaluation of Comments Received

There was only one written response to the proposed revisions. The commenter noted that information about mailing piece counts and piece weights is not available until very late in the mailing process and suggested that it is always important to report this information on Form 8125–C.

Form 8125–C was developed to establish a standardized format for reporting multiple PVDS mailings from an individual mailer that are cleared at origin on the same day for entry at a single destination postal facility. Fields that are not required and that are not used by the mailer may be omitted. The “Number of Pieces” and “Piece Weight” columns may be omitted if there is sufficient information on the Form 8125–C to allow the origin post office and destination entry postal facility to identify the mailings reported on the form and to compare the information on the form with the physical mail.

For example, if mailings are prepared in containers such as sacks or pallets, information in the columns related to the permit holder, permit number, and postage statement number or group identification; product name; number and type of containers; total gross weight; and class and type of mail would be sufficient to allow both the origin and entry postal facilities to compare the mail to the Form 8125–C to ensure that the information on it correctly represents the mail. If, however, the mailer is reporting multiple mailings that consist of individual mail pieces that are not prepared in containers (e.g., bedloaded parcels), the mailer would be required to report the number of pieces in each mailing on Form 8125–C. For mailings consisting of identical weight pieces, mailers should report the piece weight where possible.

Facsimile Forms 8125 and 8125–C must contain all required data elements in the same relative locations as the Postal Service forms appearing on the Postal Web site and in the Postal Bulletin notice that will be published to announce the use of the new forms (PB 21977, July 30, 1998).