DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of July, 1998.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, (2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and (3) That increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-34,371; KCS Industries, A Div. Of Banta Corp., Milwaukee, WI
TA-W-34,628; Gilroy Canning Co., Gilroy, CA
TA-W-34,525; Crown Clothing Co., Vineland, NJ

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-34,578; Lanier Litigation service D.B.A. Quorum/Lanier, Bloomington, MN
TA-W-34,593; Fruit of the Loom Inc., Transportation Department, Bowling Green, KY
TA-W-34,621; Strategic Finishing, Inc., Tualatin, OR

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-34,673; Intercraft Co., Div., of Newell, Statesville, NC

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) and criteria (3) have not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification. Increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have not contributed importantly to the separations or threat thereof, and the absolute decline in sales or production.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued: the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

TA-W-34,599; JK Opriming Corp., Mahanoy City; PA: May 18, 1997
TA-W-34,636; McCreary Manufacturing Co., Stearns, KY: May 28, 1997
TA-W-34,430; Alcoa Fujikura Ltd., Automotive Div., Del Rio, TX: March 27, 1997
In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

1. That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers’ firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—
   (2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,
   (3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases in imports contributed importantly to such workers’ separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision;
   or
   (4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers’ firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute to workers’ separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-02433; BTR Sealing Systems, Marysville, TN
NAFTA-TAA-02387: GLS/Black Clawson-Kennedy, Watertown, NY
NAFTA-TAA-02428; Forest Furniture, Lapine, OR
NAFTA-TAA-02395 and A; Phillips-Van Heusen Corp., Geneva, AL and Ozark, AL
NAFTA-TAA-02353 & A; Justin Boot Co., Carthage, MO & Sarcoxie, MO
NAFTA-TAA-02394; Oxford Industries, Wayde, GA
NAFTA-TAA-02268; KCS Industries, A Div. Of Banta Corp., Milwaukee, WI
NAFTA-TAA-02442; Intercoast Corp., Div. of Newell, Statesville, NC
NAFTA-TAA-02258; General DataComm, Inc., Naugatuck, CT
NAFTA-TAA-02396; Phillips-Van Heusen, Augusta, AR
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NAFTA–TAA–02494; Gilroy Canning Co., Gilroy, CA
The investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.
NAFTA–TAA–02419; Strategic Finishing, Inc., Tualatin, OR
NAFTA–TAA–02413; S.T. & E., Punxsutawney, PA
The investigation revealed that the workers of the subject firm did not produce an article within the meaning of Section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA–TAA

NAFTA–TAA–02359; Meyer Tomatoes, King City, CA: April 27, 1997
NAFTA–TAA–02444; McCabe Packing Co., Springfield, IL: June 10, 1997
NAFTA–TAA–02431; Crown Pacific Limited Partnership, Calumet Unit, Sandpoint, ID: June 6, 1997

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information provided by the company shows that some employees of ADECCO, Tempe, Arizona provided inspection, warehousing, distribution and repair services for the production of air bags and seat belts produced by Breed Technologies, Air Bag and Seat Belt Divisions located Greenville, Alabama, which is under an existing certification, TA–W–34,639. Worker separations occurred at ADECCO as a result of worker separations at Breed Technologies, Air Bag and Seat Belt Divisions.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to include workers of ADECCO, Tempe, Arizona leased to Breed Technologies, Incorporated, Air Bag and Seat Belt Divisions, Douglas, Arizona.

The intent of the Department’s certification is to include all workers of Breed Technologies, Incorporated, Air Bag and Seat Belt Divisions adversely affected by imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–34,534 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Breed Technologies, Incorporated, Air Bag and Seat Belt Divisions, Formerly known as Allied Signal Safety Restraint Systems, at Douglas, Arizona and leased workers of ADECCO, Tempe, Arizona engaged in employment related to providing inspection, warehousing, distribution and repair services for the production of air bags and seat belts produced at the Breed Technologies, Air Bag and Seat Belt Divisions who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 27, 1997 through June 12, 2000 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.


Grant D. Beale,
Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 98–20498 Filed 7–30–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–34,534]

Breed Technologies, Inc. Air Bag and Seat Belt Divisions (Formerly Known as Allied Signal Safety Restraint Systems, Douglas, AZ, Including Leased Workers of ADECCO, Tempe, AZ); Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on June 12, 1998, applicable to all workers of Breed Technologies, Incorporated, Air Bag and Seat Belt Divisions, formerly known as Allied Signal Safety Restraint Systems, located in Douglas, Arizona. The notice will be published soon in the Federal Register.

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on May 26, 1998 in response to a worker petition which was filed on