

participates in an export incentive program such as that presented here. Accordingly, we have continued to disregard this claimed adjustment in our calculation.

Comment 14: Imputed Interest Rate for Brazilian Sales

NFP contends that the Department should use NFP/USA's short-term interest rate for calculating imputed credit on sales to Brazil, as applied in NFP's questionnaire response, rather than the short-term U.S. dollar interest rates the Department observed at verification. NFP states that the NFP/USA rate is more appropriate because NFP/USA is the primary funding source of NFP's operations.

DOC Position

As stated in Import Administration Policy Bulletin 98-2, where the respondent (the seller) has short-term borrowings in the same currency as that of the transaction the Department's practice is to use the respondent's own weighted-average short-term borrowing rate realized in that currency to quantify the credit expenses incurred. For example, for U.S. dollar transactions, we impute credit expenses using the respondent's interest rate realized on U.S. dollar borrowings. See, e.g., *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Oil Country Tubular Goods from Austria*, 60 FR 33551, 33555, June 28, 1995. We observed at verification that NFP, in fact, has short-term borrowings in U.S. dollars, the currency of its sales to Brazil. Thus, NFP's actual experience is the proper basis for determining the imputed credit interest rate. The only information on the record that we have for the imputed rate is the examples seen at verification. In our verification report, we noted the lowest and highest interest rates observed. Therefore, as facts available, we recalculated NFP's imputed interest rate using the midpoint of the U.S. dollar short-term borrowings observed at verification. We made no adjustments to NFP's reported inventory carrying expense claim because we had insufficient information to recalculate this expense using NFP's sale-specific methodology.

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we are directing the Customs Service to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of subject merchandise from Chile, that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 5, 1998 (the date of publication of the

preliminary determination in the **Federal Register**). The Customs Service shall continue to require a cash deposit or posting of a bond equal to the estimated amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price as shown below. These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice. The weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter/manufacturer	Weighted-average margin percentage
Nature's Farm Products (Chile) S.A.	148.51
All Others	148.51

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission (ITC) of our determination. As our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will, within 45 days, determine whether these imports are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded or canceled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing Customs officials to assess antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 13, 1998.

Robert A. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-28393 Filed 10-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM in Room 4211, U.S. Department of

Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC.

Decision: Denied. Applicant has failed to establish that domestic instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the intended purposes are not available.

Reasons: Section 301.5(e)(4) of the regulations requires the denial of applications that have been denied without prejudice to resubmission if they are not resubmitted within the specified time period. This is the case for the following docket.

Docket Number: 98-027. *Applicant:* Rutgers, The State University, University Procurement & Contracting, 56 Bevier Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8010. *Instrument:* (10ea.) Specimen Micromanipulator, Model A-3-S. *Manufacturer:* Narishige Scientific, Japan. Date of Denial Without Prejudice to *Resubmission:* July 29, 1998.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 98-28396 Filed 10-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-351-829]

Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation: Certain Hot-Rolled Flat-Rolled Carbon-Quality Steel Products From Brazil

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 22, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Cassel, at (202) 482-4847, or Kristen Johnson, at (202) 482-4406, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 1870, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230.

INITIATION OF INVESTIGATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (1998).

The Petition

On September 30, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the

Department) received a petition filed in proper form on behalf of Bethlehem Steel Corporation, US Steel Group, a unit of USX Corporation, Ispat Inland Steel, LTV Steel Company, Inc., National Steel Corporation, California Steel Industries, Gallatin Steel Company, Geneva Steel, Gulf States Steel Inc., IPSCO Steel Inc., Steel Dynamics, Weirton Steel Corporation, Independent Steelworkers Union, and United Steelworkers of America (the petitioners). The Department received supplemental information to the petition on October 13, 1998.

In accordance with section 702(b)(1) of the Act, petitioners allege that manufacturers, producers, or exporters of hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products (subject merchandise or hot-rolled steel) in Brazil receive countervailable subsidies within the meaning of section 701 of the Act. Petitioners also allege that imports of the subject merchandise are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, an industry in the United States.

The Department finds that the petitioners are interested parties as defined in sections 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act. Further, the petitioners have demonstrated industry support for the petition, as required by section 732(c)(4) of the Act. *See Determination of Industry Support for the Petition* section, below.

Scope of the Investigation

For purposes of this investigation, the products covered are certain hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel products of a rectangular shape, of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, neither clad, plated, nor coated with metal and whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other non-metallic substances, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers) regardless of thickness, and in straight lengths, of a thickness less than 4.75 mm and of a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness. Universal mill plate (*i.e.*, flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 mm but not exceeding 1250 mm and of a thickness of not less than 4 mm, not in coils and without patterns in relief) of a thickness not less than 4.0 mm is not included within the scope of this investigation.

Specifically included in this scope are vacuum degassed, fully stabilized (commonly referred to as interstitial-free (IF)) steels, high strength low alloy (HSLA) steels, and the substrate for motor lamination steels. IF steels are recognized as low carbon steels with micro-alloying levels of elements such as titanium and/or niobium added to

stabilize carbon and nitrogen elements. HSLA steels are recognized as steels with micro-alloying levels of elements such as chromium, copper, niobium, titanium, vanadium, and molybdenum. The substrate for motor lamination steels contains micro-alloying levels of elements such as silicon and aluminum.

Steel products to be included in the scope of this investigation, regardless of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS) definitions, are products in which: (1) iron predominates, by weight, over each of the other contained elements, (2) the carbon content is 2 percent or less, by weight, and (3) none of the elements listed below exceeds the quantity, by weight, respectively indicated:

- 1.80 percent of manganese, or
- 1.50 percent of silicon, or
- 1.00 percent of copper, or
- 0.50 percent of aluminum, or
- 1.25 percent of chromium, or
- 0.30 percent of cobalt, or
- 0.40 percent of lead, or
- 1.25 percent of nickel, or
- 0.30 percent of tungsten, or
- 0.012 percent of boron, or
- 0.10 percent of molybdenum, or
- 0.10 percent of niobium, or
- 0.41 percent of titanium, or
- 0.15 percent of vanadium, or
- 0.15 percent of zirconium.

All products that meet the written physical description, and in which the chemistry quantities do not exceed any one of the levels listed above, are within the scope of this investigation unless otherwise excluded. The following products, by way of example, are outside and/or specifically excluded from the scope of this investigation:

- Alloy hot-rolled steel products in which at least one of the chemical elements exceeds those listed above (including *e.g.*, ASTM specifications A543, A387, A514, A517, and A506).
- SAE/AISI grades of series 2300 and higher.
- Ball bearing steels, as defined in the HTSUS.
- Tool steels, as defined in the HTSUS.
- Silico-manganese (as defined in the HTSUS) or silicon electrical steel with a silicon level exceeding 1.50 percent.
- ASTM specifications A710 and A736.
- USS abrasion-resistant steels (USS AR 400, USS AR 500).

The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the HTSUS at subheadings: 7208.10.15.00, 7208.10.30.00, 7208.10.60.00, 7208.25.30.00, 7208.25.60.00, 7208.26.00.30, 7208.26.00.60, 7208.27.00.30, 7208.27.00.60,

7208.36.00.30, 7208.36.00.60, 7208.37.00.30, 7208.37.00.60, 7208.38.00.15, 7208.38.00.30, 7208.38.00.90, 7208.39.00.15, 7208.39.00.30, 7208.39.00.90, 7208.40.60.30, 7208.40.60.60, 7208.53.00.00, 7208.54.00.00, 7208.90.00.00, 7210.70.30.00, 7210.90.00.00, 7211.14.00.30, 7211.14.00.90, 7211.19.15.00, 7211.19.20.00, 7211.19.30.00, 7211.19.45.00, 7211.19.60.00, 7211.19.75.30, 7211.19.75.60, 7211.19.75.90, 7212.40.10.00, 7212.40.50.00, 7212.50.00.00. Certain hot-rolled flat-rolled carbon-quality steel covered by this investigation, including: vacuum degassed, fully stabilized; high strength low alloy; and the substrate for motor lamination steel may also enter under the following tariff numbers: 7225.11.00.00, 7225.19.00.00, 7225.30.30.50, 7225.30.70.00, 7225.40.70.00, 7225.99.00.90, 7226.11.10.00, 7226.11.90.30, 7226.11.90.60, 7226.19.10.00, 7226.19.90.00, 7226.91.50.00, 7226.91.70.00, 7226.91.80.00, and 7226.99.00.00. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

During our review of the petition, we discussed the scope with the petitioners to ensure that the scope in the petition accurately reflects the product for which the domestic industry is seeking relief. Moreover, as we discussed in the preamble to the Department's regulations (62 FR 27323), we are setting aside a period for parties to raise issues regarding product coverage. In particular, we seek comments on the specific levels of alloying elements set out in the description above, the clarity of grades and specifications excluded by example from the scope, and the physical and chemical description of the product coverage. The Department encourages all parties to submit such comments by November 4, 1998. Comments should be addressed to Import Administration's Central Records Unit at Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230. The period of scope consultations is intended to provide the Department with ample opportunity to consider all comments and consult with parties prior to the issuance of the preliminary determination.

Consultations

Pursuant to section 702(b)(4)(A)(ii) of the Act, the Department invited representatives of the Brazilian

government for consultations with respect to the petition filed. On October 7, 1998, the Department held consultations with a representative of the Government of Brazil. See October 8, 1998, memoranda to the file regarding these consultations (public document on file in the Central Records Unit of the Department of Commerce, Room B-099).

Determination of Industry Support for the Petition

Section 702(b)(1) of the Act requires that a petition be filed on behalf of the domestic industry. Section 702(c)(4)(A) of the Act provides that a petition meets this requirement if the domestic producers or workers who support the petition account for: (1) at least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like product; and (2) more than 50 percent of the production of the domestic like product produced by that portion of the industry expressing support for, or opposition to, the petition.

Section 771(4)(A) of the Act defines the "industry" as the producers of a domestic like product. Thus, to determine whether the petition has the requisite industry support, the statute directs the Department to look to producers and workers who produce the domestic like product. The International Trade Commission (ITC), which is responsible for determining whether "the domestic industry" has been injured, must also determine what constitutes a domestic like product in order to define the industry. While both the Department and the ITC must apply the same statutory definition regarding the domestic like product (section 771(10) of the Act), they do so for different purposes and pursuant to separate and distinct authority. In addition, the Department's determination is subject to limitations of time and information. Although this may result in different definitions of the like product, such differences do not render the decision of either agency contrary to the law.¹

Section 771(10) of the Act defines the domestic like product as "a product which is like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the article subject to an investigation under this title." Thus, the reference point from which the domestic like product analysis begins is

"the article subject to an investigation," i.e., the class or kind of merchandise to be investigated, which normally will be the scope as defined in the petition. Moreover, petitioners do not offer a definition of domestic like product distinct from the scope of the investigation.

In this case, "the article subject to investigation" includes certain products which have not previously been included within the scope of investigations involving hot-rolled carbon steel products. To this end, the Department has reviewed reasonably available information to determine whether the products within the scope of the investigation constitute one or more than one domestic like product(s).

Some steel products classified as alloy steels based on the HTSUS are recognized as carbon steels by the industry and/or the marketplace. For example, *The Book of Steel*, a 1996 publication by Sollac, a flat-rolled steel division of Usinor, one of the largest steel companies in the world, identifies HSLA, IF, and motor lamination steels as falling within categories of plain carbon sheet steels (see chapters 44, 45, and 52). Also, *Carbon and Alloy Steels*, published in 1996 by ASM International, a major materials society, indicates that HSLA steels are not considered to be alloy steels, but are in fact similar to as-rolled mild-carbon steel and are generally priced by reference to the base price for carbon steels (see page 29). *Carbon and Alloy Steels* also distinguishes between carbon-boron and alloy-boron steels; the former may contain boron at levels which would classify it as alloy under the HTSUS, but would not classify it as an alloy steel commercially because, unlike the alloy-boron steels, higher levels of other alloying elements are not specified (see, e.g., pages 159 and 161).

We discussed these issues with representatives of the ITC and ITA's Office of Trade Development. Other than the fact that the AISI technically defines alloy steels based on alloy levels comparable to those in the HTSUS, none of the agency representatives cited reasons why the products in question might be treated as distinct from hot-rolled carbon steels. Regarding the AISI classification, the ITC representatives noted that their initial research indicates that various companies, in reporting shipment data by chemical category (e.g., carbon or alloy) to the AISI, categorized steels such as those in question as carbon steels even if they fit the AISI (and HTSUS) definition of alloy steel. See *Attachment to the Initiation Checklist, Re: Industry Support*, October 15, 1998 (public

document on file in the Central Records Unit of the Department of Commerce, Room B-099).

Thyssen Inc., an importer and interested party in this proceeding, filed comments with the Department on October 8, 1998, and on October 13, 1998, alleging that deficiencies in petitioners' domestic like product analysis undermine their allegation of industry support. First, Thyssen argues that petitioners have not clearly defined the scope, specifically with regard to the inclusion of certain alloy steel within the product description, and that, as a result, petitioners' claims regarding industry support are called into question. The Department has clarified the language used in the "Scope of Investigation" section, above. In addition to the research discussed above, the Department has determined that, with respect to certain steel products, such as high-strength low-alloy steel, industry sources indicate that these steel products are manufactured by similar processes, are priced from similar bases, are marketed in comparable ways, and are used for similar applications. See the *Attachment to the Initiation Checklist, Re: Industry Support*, October 15, 1998. For these reasons, the Department determines that for purposes of this investigation, the domestic like product definition is the single domestic like product defined in the "Scope of the Investigation" section, above.

Thyssen also argues that including cut-to-length sheet and strip products in the scope calls into question petitioners' industry support allegations. Thyssen asserts that petitioners do not produce cut-to-length sheet and strip in any significant quantities, and that, in ongoing investigations of stainless steel sheet and strip, petitioners (including certain of the same petitioning domestic producers as in this carbon hot-rolled investigation) have argued that cut-to-length sheet and strip is a downstream product, and therefore not encompassed within the same domestic like product as sheet and strip in coils. However, in recent cases the Department has not treated cut-to-length carbon sheet and strip as a separate like product from other carbon hot-rolled merchandise (see, e.g., *Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Cold Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Argentina*, 58 FR 7066 (February 4, 1993) and *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from Argentina*, 58 FR 37062, 37063 (July 9, 1993) (collectively, *Flat Products from Argentina*). Furthermore, the

¹ See *Algoma Steel Corp. Ltd., v. United States*, 688 F. Supp. 639, 642-44 (CIT 1988); *High Information Content Flat Panel Displays and Display Glass Therefore from Japan: Final Determination; Rescission of Investigation and Partial Dismissal of Petition*, 56 FR 32376, 32380-81 (July 16, 1991).

classification of cut-to-length sheet and strip as a "downstream" product, relative to coiled sheet and strip, is not itself an indication that the latter should be considered a different like product from the former. It has not been established that the additional processing stage (cutting to length) has an effect upon the typical ultimate uses, costs, prices, or marketing associated with these products which is significant enough to result in their classification as a separate like product. The earlier investigations involving *Flat Products from Argentina*, the Department considered the cut-to-length versus coiled distinction as relatively unimportant in its product matching hierarchy, and there is no evidence suggesting that such treatment would no longer be appropriate.

Thyssen also argues that including pickled and oiled coiled sheet in the scope calls into question petitioners' industry support allegations. Thyssen asserts that petitioners internally consume coils that they have pickled and oiled, and that this should be taken into account in the Department's determination of the level of industry support accounted for by petitioners. However, Thyssen has presented no legal argument for distinguishing, in the context of an industry support determination, between internally and externally consumed products, and we find no basis here for such a distinction. For a further description of this methodology, see *Attachment to the Initiation Checklist, Re: Industry Support, October 15, 1998*. Furthermore, as in the case of cut-to-length sheet and strip, the Department, in recent cases, has not treated pickled and oiled carbon steel coils as separate like products from other carbon hot-rolled merchandise (see, e.g., *Flat Products from Argentina*). Thyssen has provided no evidence that the additional processing stage (pickling and oiling) has an effect upon the typical ultimate uses, costs, prices, or marketing associated with these products significant enough to result in their classification as a separate like product. In the earlier investigations involving *Flat Products from Argentina*, the Department considered the pickled versus not pickled distinction as relatively unimportant in its product matching hierarchy, and there is no evidence suggesting that such treatment would no longer be appropriate.

Thyssen also argues that the inclusion in the scope of hot-rolled sheet and strip in widths less than 600 mm calls into question petitioners' industry support allegations. Thyssen asserts that petitioners do not produce these narrow products domestically. As in the case of

cut-to-length sheet and strip, the Department has not in recent cases treated such narrower products as separate like products from other carbon hot-rolled merchandise (see, e.g., *Flat Products from Argentina*). Furthermore, Thyssen has provided no evidence or information that the variation in processing (whether it is slitting wider coils, or rolling more narrow coils) has an effect upon the typical ultimate uses, costs, prices, or marketing associated with these products significant enough to result in their classification as a separate like product. In the earlier investigations involving *Flat Products from Argentina*, the Department considered the width of products as unimportant in its product matching hierarchy, and there is no evidence suggesting that such treatment would no longer be appropriate.

Based on our analysis of the information and arguments presented to the Department and the information independently obtained and reviewed by the Department, we have determined that there is a single domestic like product which is defined as stated in the "Scope of Investigation" section, above. Moreover, the Department has determined that the petition (and subsequent amendment) and supplemental information obtained through Department research contain adequate evidence of industry support and, therefore, polling is unnecessary (see *Attachment to the Initiation Checklist, Re: Industry Support, October 15, 1998*). For this investigation, petitioners have established industry support representing over 50 percent of total production of the domestic like product.

Accordingly, the Department determines that the petition was filed on behalf of the domestic industry within the meaning of section 702(b)(1) of the Act.

Injury Test

Because Brazil is a "Subsidies Agreement Country" within the meaning of section 701(b) of the Act, section 701(a)(2) applies to this investigation. Accordingly, the ITC must determine whether imports of the subject merchandise from Brazil materially injure, or threaten material injury to, a U.S. industry.

Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation

Section 702(b) of the Act requires the Department to initiate a countervailing duty proceeding whenever an interested party files a petition, on behalf of an industry, that (1) alleges the elements necessary for an imposition of a duty

under section 701(a) and (2) is accompanied by information reasonably available to petitioners supporting the allegations.

The Department has examined the petition on hot-rolled steel from Brazil and found that it complies with the requirements of section 702(b) of the Act. Therefore, in accordance with section 702(b) of the Act, we are initiating a countervailing duty investigation to determine whether manufacturers, producers, or exporters of hot-rolled steel from Brazil receive subsidies. See *Initiation Checklist, October 15, 1998* (public document on file in the Central Records Unit of the Department of Commerce, Room B-099).

We are including in our investigation the following programs alleged in the petition to have provided subsidies to producers and exporters of the subject merchandise in Brazil:

1. *Pre-1992 GOB Equity Infusions to COSIPA, CSN, and USIMINAS*
2. *GOB Equity Infusion to CSN in 1992*
3. *GOB Equity Infusions to COSIPA in 1992 and 1993*
4. *GOB Assumption of Debt owed by COSIPA in 1993.*

Allegations and Evidence of Material Injury and Causation

The petition alleges that the U.S. industry producing the domestic like product is being materially injured, and is threatened with material injury, by reason of subsidized imports of the subject merchandise. Petitioners explained that the industry's injured condition is evident in the declining trends in net operating profits, net sales volumes, profit to sales ratios, and capacity utilization. The allegations of injury and causation are supported by relevant evidence including U.S. Customs import data, lost sales, and pricing information. The Department assessed the allegations and supporting evidence regarding material injury and causation, and determined that these allegations are sufficiently supported by accurate and adequate evidence and meet the statutory requirements for initiation. See *Attachment to Initiation Checklist, Re: Material Injury, October 15, 1998* (public document on file in the Central Records Unit of the Department of Commerce, Room B-099).

Distribution of Copies of the Petition

In accordance with section 702(b)(4)(A)(i) of the Act, copies of the public version of the petition have been provided to the representatives of the Brazilian government. We will attempt to provide copies of the public version of the petition to all the producers/

exporters named in the petition, as provided for under § 351.203(c)(2) of the Department's regulations.

ITC Notification

Pursuant to section 702(d) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of this initiation.

Preliminary Determination by the ITC

The ITC will determine by November 16, 1998, whether there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured, or is threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of hot-rolled steel from Brazil. A negative ITC determination will result in the investigation being terminated; otherwise, the investigation will proceed according to statutory and regulatory time limits.

This notice is published pursuant to section 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 15, 1998.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-28392 Filed 10-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Government Owned Inventions Available for Licensing

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Commerce.

SUMMARY: The inventions listed below are owned in whole or in part by the U.S. Government, as represented by the Department of Commerce. The Department of Commerce's ownership interest in the inventions are available for licensing in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 207 and 37 CFR Part 404 to achieve expeditious commercialization of results of Federally funded research and development.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Technical and licensing information on these inventions may be obtained by writing to: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Industrial Partnerships Program, Building 820, Room 213, Gaithersburg, MD 20899; Fax 301-869-2751. Any request for information should include the NIST Docket No. and Title for the relevant invention as indicated below.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NIST may enter into a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement ("CRADA") with the licensee to perform further research on the inventions for purposes

of commercialization. The inventions available for licensing are:

NIST Docket Number: 94-036.

Title: Wall Thickness and Flow Detection Apparatus and Method for Gas Pipelines.

Abstract: The invention is jointly owned by the U.S. Government, as represented by the Secretary of Commerce, and Southwest Research Institute. A new ultrasonic method for measuring wall thickness and detecting material flaws in natural-gas pipelines, risers, and similar structures. The method is inherently suitable for the task, because it relies on the use of the natural gas as the coupling fluid for transmitting the probing ultrasonic signals into and out of the pipe wall. Furthermore, the method facilitates the operation of the inspection from the inside of the pipe. An experimental apparatus used to demonstrate the technical feasibility of this approach and provide experimental and theoretical evidence that support the claims is described. Significantly, it is shown that by the use of a diplexer, the same transducer can be used to generate and detect the probing ultrasonic signals. The same configuration is used in commercial ultrasonic inspection of oil pipelines where oil is the coupling fluid; but until now this method could not be used in natural gas pipelines due to the low specific acoustic impedance of natural gas. The inventions available for licensing are 94-036US, 94-036CAN, 94-036EPO, 94-036JPN.

NIST Docket Number: 97-006US.

Title: Metal Hydrides Lamp and Fill For the Same.

Abstract: The invention is jointly owned by the U.S. Government, as represented by the Secretary of Commerce, and Matsushita Corporation. The invention comprises a lamp in which the radiation of one or more metal hydride molecules dominates the light emission. This is achieved by loading a transparent container with one or more metals (M) plus hydrogen gas (H₂), perhaps with the addition of a noble gas (NG). The novel character of the lamp results from the use of H₂ together with metal vapors, which results in the emission of radiation from the MH molecule. An electrodeless sapphire envelope houses the gas, which is excited inductively at 13.6 Mhz.

Dated: October 16, 1998.

Robert E. Hebner,

Acting Deputy Director.

[FR Doc. 98-28270 Filed 10-21-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 101698D]

New England Fishery Management Council; Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: The New England Fishery Management Council (Council) is scheduling public meetings of its Groundfish Oversight Committee and Groundfish Advisory Panel in November, 1998 to consider actions affecting New England fisheries in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ). Recommendations from these groups will be brought to the full Council for formal consideration and action, if appropriate.

DATES: The meetings will be held between November 5 and November 16, 1998. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for specific dates and times.

ADDRESSES: Meetings will be held in Danvers and Peabody, MA. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for specific locations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul J. Howard, Executive Director, New England Fishery Management Council (781) 231-0422. Requests for special accommodations should be addressed to the New England Fishery Management Council, 5 Broadway, Saugus, Massachusetts 01906-1097; telephone: (781) 231-0422.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Meeting Dates and Agendas

Wednesday, November 5, 1998, 9:30 a.m.—Groundfish Advisory Panel Meeting

Location: King's Grant Inn, Route 128 and Trask Lane, Danvers, MA, 01923; telephone: (978) 774-6800.

Review comments from the October 19, 1998, industry meeting on mesh management and develop advice to the Groundfish Committee on mesh management issues; advise the Groundfish Committee on options for cod management in Framework Adjustment 26 to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan (FMP), especially those measures already identified by the Council ("rolling closure" modifications, no "running clock" and no crucifiers)

Monday, November 16, 1998, 9:30 a.m.—Groundfish Oversight Committee Meeting