to implement its Michigan-approved industrial pretreatment program.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed consent decree for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530. All comments should refer to *United States et al.,* v. *County of Muskegon, Michigan, et al.* D.J. Ref. 90–5–1–1–4382.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at : (1) the Office of the United States Attorney for the Western District of Michigan, The Law Building, 330 Ionia Avenue, NW, 5th Floor, Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503, (616–456–2404); (2) The United States Environmental Protection Agency (Region 5), 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604–3590 (contact Robert Thompson (312–353–6700)); and, (3) the U.S. Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division Consent Decree Library, 120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005 (202–624–0892). A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. When requesting a copy, please refer to *United States et al.,* v. *County of Muskegon, Michigan, et al.* D.J. Ref. 90–5–1–1–4382, and enclose a check in the amount of $8.25 for the consent decree only (33 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction costs), or $24.50 for the consent decree and all appendices (98 pages), made payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 99–20807 Filed 8–11–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Stipulation Pursuant to The Clean Air Act

In accordance with the policy of the Department of Justice, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Stipulation, Settlement Agreement, and Order in *United States* v. *Strategic Materials, Inc.,* Civ. No. 99–C–0853, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, on July 28th, 1999. That action was brought against defendant pursuant to Sections 110 and 113 of the Clean Air Act (''the Act''), 42 U.S.C. 7410, 7413, for violations at its glass recycling facility, located in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Specifically, the complaint alleges that SMI has violated the Act and the requirements or prohibitions of the State Implementation Plan for the State of Wisconsin, promulgated pursuant to Section 110 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7410. The violations relate to particulate emissions, volatile organic compounds, operating without a permit, and violation of the opacity and record keeping requirements of the permit. The settlement stipulation provides for payment of $276,176, and also requires defendant to erect and maintain fencing to provide a barrier for windblown material associated with defendant's glass recycling operations.

The Department of justice will receive comments relating to the proposed settlement stipulation for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530. All comments should refer to *United States* v. *Strategic Materials, Inc.,* D.J. Ref. 90–5–2–1–2205.

The proposed settlement stipulation may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, 517 East Wisconsin Ave., Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202; at the Region V office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois 60604; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd floor, Washington, DC 20005, 202–624–0892. A copy of the proposed settlement stipulation may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of $3.0 for the stipulation (25 cents per page reproduction costs) payable to the Consent Decree Library. When requesting a copy, please refer to *United States* v. *Strategic Materials, Inc.,* D.J. Ref. 90–5–2–1–2205.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 99–20808 Filed 8–11–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Cargill, Incorporated and Continental Grain Company; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 16(b) through (h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America* v. *Cargill, Inc. and Continental Grain Company,* Civil Action No. 99–1875. The Complaint in this case alleged that the proposed acquisition of Continental Grain Company's (Continental) worldwide commodity marketing business by Cargill, Inc. (Cargill) would substantially lessen competition for grain purchasing services to farmers and other suppliers in many areas in the United States, and would increase the concentration of authorized delivery capacity for settlement of Chicago Board of Trade corn and soybean futures contracts, in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18. The Complaint further alleged that the Covenant Not To Compete in the Purchase Agreement between the two companies is an unreasonable agreement in restraint of trade in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1.

The proposed Final Judgment requires Cargill to divest all of its property rights in its port elevator in Seattle, Washington and its river elevators in East Dubuque and Morris, Illinois. The proposed Final Judgment further requires Continental to divest all of its property rights in its river elevators at Lockport, Illinois and Caruthersville, Missouri, its rail elevators at Salina, Kansas and Troy, Ohio; and its port elevators at Beaumont, Texas, Stockton, California, and Chicago, Illinois. Cargill is also required to enter into a ''throughput agreement'' to make one-third of the loading capacity at its Havana, Illinois river elevator available to an independent grain company. Cargill is prohibited from acquiring any interest in the facilities being divested by Continental, or in the river elevator at Birds Point, Missouri in which Continental previously held a minority interest. The proposed Final Judgment also makes Cargill subject to various restrictions if it seeks to enter into an throughput agreement with the acquirer of the Seattle port facility.