Signed at Washington, DC this 10th day of November, 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99–30453 Filed 11–22–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–36,628, et al.]

Paramount Headwear, Inc., Bourbon, MI, et al.; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (15 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on October 8, 1999, applicable to workers of Paramount Headwear, Inc., Bourbon, Missouri. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on November 4, 1999 (64 FR 60231).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New findings show that worker separations will occur at Paramount Headwear's Ellington, Missouri facility when it closes in December, 1999. The workers are engaged in the production of headwear.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover workers at Paramount Headwear, Inc., Ellington, Missouri.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Paramount Headwear, Inc. adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–36,628 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Paramount Headwear, Inc., Bourbon, Missouri (TA–W–36,628) and Ellington, Missouri (TA–W–36,628B) engaged in employment related to the production of headwear who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after July 20, 1998 through October 8, 2001 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington DC this 8th day of November, 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99–30447 Filed 11–22–99; 8'45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–36,938]

Purcell Services, Ltd., Prudhoe Bay, AK; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, and investigation was initiated on October 12, 1999 in response to a worker petition on behalf of workers at Purcell Services, Ltd., Prudhoe Bay, Alaska.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 10th day of November, 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99–30463 Filed 11–22–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–36,678, et al.]

Samedan Oil Corporation, Denver, CO., et al.; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated September 16, 1999, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers of the subject firm to apply for trade adjustment assistance. The denial notice, applicable to workers of Samedan Oil Corporation in Denver, Colorado and Oklahoma City, Oklahoma was signed on August 25, 1999 and published in the **Federal Register** on October 14, 1999 (64 FR 55750).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If if appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petitioner states that two workers, a drilling foreman and a field clerk, were separated from employment at the Oklahoma City, Oklahoma facility of the subject firm. The petitioner asserts that since these workers should be considered engaged in employment related to the production of crude oil, all workers of the subject firm should be eligible to apply for TAA.

The TAA petition investigation for workers of the subject firm showed that the workers separated from employment performed office related services and did not produce an article within the meaning of criterion (3) of the Group Eligibility Requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Review of the investigation file shows that two workers of the subject firm separated from employment does not constitute a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm as required in criterion (1) of the Group Eligibility Requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of November 1999.

Grant D. Beale,

Program Manager, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 99–30454 Filed 11–22–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–36,956]

Southeastern Apparel, Finishing, Inc., Johnson City, TN; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on October 18, 1999, in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Southeastern Apparel Finishing, Inc., Johnson City, Tennessee.

The petitioner has written, stating a desire to withdraw the petition at this time. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.