

specified in paragraph (e)(1) or (e)(2) of this AD, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 767-57-0053, Revision 2, dated September 23, 1999.

(1) Option 1: Install new bushings using the high interference fit method, and repeat the inspections required by paragraph (b) or (c) of this AD at the intervals specified in Table 1.3 of Figure 1. of the service bulletin.

(2) Option 2: Install new bushings using the FORCEMATE method, and repeat the inspections required by paragraph (b) or (c) of this AD at the interval specified in Table 1.4 of Figure 1. of the service bulletin.

(f) If any damage is detected that is outside the limits specified in Boeing Service Bulletin 767-57-0053, Revision 2, dated September 23, 1999, and the service bulletin specifies to contact Boeing for appropriate action: Prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate; or in accordance with data meeting the type certification basis of the airplane approved by a Boeing Company Designated Engineering Representative (DER) who has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make such findings. For a repair method to be approved, as required by this paragraph, the approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance

(g) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

**Note 4:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

#### Special Flight Permits

(h) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on November 30, 1999.

#### D.L. Riggins,

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-31476 Filed 12-3-99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-U**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-334-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; Raytheon (Beech) Model 400A and 400T Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Raytheon (Beech) Model 400A and 400T series airplanes. This proposal would require a one-time inspection to detect incorrect wiring of the engine fire extinguisher bottle squibs, and corrective action, if necessary. It would also require a modification to the wiring and the addition of wire harness and bottle labeling for future reference. This proposal is prompted by reports of incorrect wiring of the engine fire extinguisher bottle squibs. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the engine fire extinguisher bottle to discharge, or discharge of the wrong engine fire extinguisher bottle.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by January 20, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-334-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Raytheon Aircraft Company, Manager Service Engineering, Beechjet/Premier Technical Support Department, P.O. Box 85, Wichita, Kansas 67201-0085. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Todd Dixon, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Propulsion Branch, ACE-116W, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate,

Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone (316) 946-4152; fax (316) 946-4407.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99-NM-334-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

##### Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-334-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

##### Discussion

The FAA has received 5 reports indicating that incorrect wiring of the fire extinguisher bottle squibs was found. This incorrect wiring consisted of some fire extinguisher bottle squibs having the positive and negative wires reversed and some fire extinguisher bottle squibs having the left and right engine fire extinguisher harnesses reversed. This condition, if not corrected, could result in failure of the engine fire extinguisher bottle to discharge, or discharge of the wrong engine fire extinguisher bottle.

### Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved Raytheon Aircraft Service Bulletin SB 26-3250, Revision 1, dated July 1999, which describes procedures for a one-time inspection to detect incorrect wiring (i.e., wiring that does not agree with the wiring manual) of the engine fire extinguisher bottle squibs, and repair, if necessary. The service bulletin also describes a modification to the wiring and the addition of wire harness and bottle labeling for future reference. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition.

### Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously.

### Cost Impact

There are approximately 350 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 310 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

It is estimated that it would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspection, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the inspection portion of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$18,600, or \$60 per airplane.

It is estimated that it would take approximately 2 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed modification, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the modification portion of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$37,200, or \$120 per airplane.

Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$55,800, or \$180 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. However, the FAA has been advised that manufacturer warranty remedies are available for labor costs associated with accomplishing the actions required by

this proposed AD. Therefore, the future economic cost impact of this rule on U.S. operators may be less than the cost impact figure indicated above.

### Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

#### **Raytheon Aircraft Company (Formerly Beech);** Docket 99-NM-334-AD.

**Applicability:** Model 400A series airplanes, serial numbers RK-45 and RK-49 through RK-209 inclusive; Model 400T series airplanes (T-1A), serial numbers TT-01 through TT-180 inclusive; and Model 400T series airplanes (TX), serial numbers TX-01 through TX-09 inclusive; certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the engine fire extinguisher bottle to discharge, or discharge of the wrong engine fire extinguisher bottle, accomplish the following:

### Inspection and Corrective Action

(a) Within 50 flight hours after the effective date of this AD: Perform a one-time general visual inspection of the left and right engine fire extinguisher bottle squibs to detect wiring that is incorrect as specified by Raytheon Aircraft Service Bulletin SB 26-3250, Revision 1, dated July 1999. Perform the inspection in accordance with the service bulletin. If any incorrect wiring is detected, prior to further flight, repair it in accordance with the service bulletin.

**Note 2:** For the purposes of this AD, a general visual inspection is defined as: "A visual examination of an interior or exterior area, installation, or assembly to detect obvious damage, failure, or irregularity. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight, or drop-light, and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders, or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked."

### Modification

(b) Within 200 flight hours after the effective date of this AD: Modify and re-label the wiring of the left and right engine fire extinguisher bottle squibs, in accordance with Raytheon Aircraft Service Bulletin SB 26-3250, Revision 1, dated July 1999.

### Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Small Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Wichita ACO.

**Note 3:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Wichita ACO.

### Special Flight Permits

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199

of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on November 24, 1999.

**D.L. Riggan,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-31478 Filed 12-3-99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-74-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### **Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 727-100, -100C, and -200 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 727-100, -100C, and -200 series airplanes. For certain airplanes, this proposal would require a one-time inspection of certain fuselage circumferential skin joints to determine the type of fasteners installed, and replacement of any aluminum fasteners with steel fasteners, if necessary; or modification of certain fuselage circumferential skin joints; as applicable. For certain other airplanes, this proposal would also require repetitive inspections to detect corrosion, sealant deterioration, cracking, or disbonding; repair, if necessary; and modification of certain fuselage circumferential skin joints. This proposal is prompted by reports of corrosion between the body skins and cold-bonded doublers at the fuselage circumferential skin joints. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent delamination of the cold-bonded doublers, which could result in corrosion of the body skins and doublers, and consequent reduced structural capability of the fuselage circumferential skin joints.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by January 20, 2000.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-

74-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Walt Sippel, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2774; fax (425) 227-1181.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99-NM-74-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

##### **Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-74-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

#### **Discussion**

In 1990, the FAA issued AD 90-06-09, amendment 39-6488 (55 FR 8370, March 7, 1990), which required incorporation of certain structural modifications on certain Boeing Model 727 series airplanes, in accordance with Boeing Document No. D6-54860, Revision C, dated December 11, 1989, "Aging Airplane Service Bulletin Structural Modification Program—Model 727." One of those modifications was replacement of countersunk fasteners installed at cold-bonded doublers of fuselage circumferential skin joints at body stations (BS) 259, 360, 441, 481, and 681 with oversize, protruding-head fasteners. That AD was prompted in part by reports of corrosion between the body skins and cold-bonded doublers at the fuselage circumferential skin joints.

Delamination of the cold-bonded doublers allows moisture to enter voids caused by the bond separation, which could result in corrosion of the body skins and doublers, and consequent reduced structural capability of the fuselage circumferential skin joints.

Since the issuance of AD 90-06-09, the airplane manufacturer has notified the FAA that the incorrect fastener type was used in the modification of the fuselage circumferential skin joints required by that AD. Aluminum fasteners were used for that modification; the airplane manufacturer now knows that aluminum fasteners reduce the structural capability of the fuselage circumferential skin joints.

In 1990, the FAA also issued AD 90-26-09, amendment 39-6835 (55 FR 51403, December 14, 1990), which required repetitive inspections of certain fuselage circumferential skin joints, and repair, if necessary, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 727-53-0084, Revision 4, dated August 2, 1990. The modification of the fuselage circumferential skin joints required by AD 90-06-09 was considered terminating action for certain repetitive inspections required by AD 90-26-09.

Since the issuance of AD 90-26-09, the airplane manufacturer has notified the FAA that certain airplanes were inadvertently not included in the effectivity listing in paragraph I.A.1. of Boeing Service Bulletin 727-53-0084, Revision 4, although they were included in the effectivity statement in the summary of the service bulletin. The FAA has determined that operators of those airplanes may not realize that those airplanes are subject to AD 90-26-09. In addition, the airplane manufacturer has notified the FAA that