DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 878

[DOCKET NO. 86P–0087]

Medical Devices; Reclassification and Codification of the Stainless Steel Suture

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that it has issued an order in the form of a letter to Alto Development Corp. (the petitioner) reclassifying the 316L stainless steel suture for use in abdominal wound closure, intestinal anastomosis, hernia repair, and sternal closure from class III (premarket approval) to class II (special controls). The order is being codified in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Although FDA reclassified the device in 1986, it inadvertently neglected to publish a notice of the reclassification in the Federal Register or codify the change in the CFR.

DATES: This rule is effective May 15, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen P. Rhodes, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (HFZ–410), Food and Drug Administration, 9200 Corporate Blvd., Rockville, MD 20850, 301–594–3090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background


On December 16, 1977, FDA published a notice in the Federal Register (42 FR 63472), that identified sutures as class III devices under the transitional provisions of the act for which premarket approval is required. Section 520(ll)(2) of the act (21 U.S.C. 360j(ll)(2)) provides that, in addition to the Secretary of Health and Human Services, the manufacturer or importer of a device classified into class III under the transitional provisions, may file a petition for reclassification of the device into class I or class II. The procedures for filing and review of petitions for reclassification of transitional devices are set forth in §860.136 (21 CFR 860.136).

On February 21, 1986, FDA filed the petition submitted by the petitioner, requesting reclassification of the 316L stainless steel sutures from class III to class II. FDA consulted with the General and Plastic Surgery Devices Panel (the Panel) regarding reclassification of the devices. During an open panel meeting on March 25, 1986, the Panel recommended that FDA reclassify the 316L stainless steel sutures intended for use in abdominal wound closure, intestinal anastomosis, hernia repair, and sternal closure, from class III to class II. In addition, the Panel recommended that FDA assign a low priority for the development of a performance standard based on the long history of safe use of the device and the conformance by stainless steel manufacturers to existing voluntary standards.

II. Environmental Impact

The agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.34(b) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

III. Analysis of Impacts

FDA has examined the impacts of the final rule under Executive Order 12866 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612) (as amended by subtitle D of the Small Business Regulatory Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), and the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–4)). Executive Order 12866 directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, when regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits [including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity]. The agency believes that this final rule is consistent with the regulatory philosophy and principles identified in the Executive Order. In addition, the final rule is not a significant regulatory action as defined by the Executive Order and so is not subject to review under the Executive Order.
options that would minimize any significant impact of a rule on small entities. Reclassification of the device from class III to class II has relieved all manufacturers of the device of the cost of complying with the premarket approval requirements in section 515 of the act (21 U.S.C. 360e). Because reclassification has reduced regulatory costs with respect to this device, no significant economic impact has been imposed on any small entities, and it may have permitted small potential competitors to enter the marketplace by lowering their costs. The agency therefore certifies that this final rule does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. In addition, this final rule will not impose costs of $100 million or more on either the private sector or State, local, and tribal governments in the aggregate, and therefore a summary statement or analysis under section 202(a) of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 is not required.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 878

Medical devices.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, 21 CFR part 878 is amended as follows:

PART 878—GENERAL AND PLASTIC SURGERY DEVICES

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 878 continues to read as follows:


2. Section 878.4495 is added to subpart E to read as follows:

§878.4495 Stainless steel suture.

(a) Identification. A stainless steel suture is a needled or unneedled nonabsorbable surgical suture composed of 316L stainless steel, in USP sizes 12–0 through 10, or a substantially equivalent stainless steel suture, intended for use in abdominal wound closure, intestinal anastomosis, hernia repair, and sternal closure.

(b) Classification. Class II (special controls).

Linda S. Kahan,
Deputy Director for Regulations Policy, Center for Devices and Radiological Health.

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117
[CGD07–00–022]
RIN 2115–AE47

Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Wappoo Creek (ICW), Charleston, SC

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of temporary deviation from regulations.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District has approved a temporary deviation from the regulations governing the operation of the Folly Road (SC Route 171) drawbridge across the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, mile 470.8, Charleston, Charleston County, South Carolina. This deviation allows the drawbridge owner or operator to open only a single leaf of the drawbridge, and requires one hour advance notification to accommodate a request for a full double-leaf opening. This temporary schedule allows the bridge owner to safely conduct necessary repairs to the drawbridge.

DATES: This deviation is effective from March 28, 2000 to May 16, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Brodie Rich, Project Manager, Seventh Coast Guard District, Bridge Section at (305) 536–5117.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Folly Road drawbridge across the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway at Charleston, has a vertical clearance of 33 feet above mean high water (MHW) and 38 feet above mean low water (MLW) measured at the fenders in the closed position. On February 27, 2000, Coastal Marine Construction, Incorporated, the contractor representing the drawbridge owner, requested a deviation from the normal operation, which would increase the intensity and length of time in order to complete the necessary repairs. The District Commander has granted a temporary deviation from the operating requirements listed in 33 CFR 117.5 for the purpose of conducting repairs to the drawbridge. Under this deviation, the Folly Road (SC Route 171) Drawbridge need only open one leaf of the drawbridge unless one hour advance notification is provided by the vessel operator to the drawbridge tender which would allow a full double-leaf opening. The deviation is effective for a period of 50 days beginning on March 28, 2000 and ending on May 16, 2000.

T.W. Allen,
Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.

Environmental Protection Agency

40 CFR Part 52

Finding of Failure To Submit a Required State Implementation Plan for Carbon Monoxide; Spokane, WA

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Finding of failure to submit.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking final action in making a finding, under the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act), that Washington failed to make a carbon monoxide (CO) nonattainment area State Implementation Plan (SIP) submittal required for Spokane under the Act. Under certain provisions of the Act, states are required to submit SIPs providing for, among other things, reasonable further progress and attainment of the CO National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) in areas classified as serious. The deadline for submittal of this plan for Spokane was October 13, 1999. This action triggers the 18-month time clock for mandatory application of sanctions and 2-year time clock for a Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) under the Act. This action is consistent with the CAA mechanism for assuring SIP submissions.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This action is effective as of April 13, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Ms. Debra Suzuki, Office of Air Quality (OAQ–107), EPA, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: