review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 15.16 percent. This rate is the ''All Others'' rate from the LTFV investigation.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 16, 2001.

Timothy J. Hauser,

Acting Under Secretary for International Trade.

Appendix—Issues in Decision Memo

Comments

1. Calculation of the CEP Ratio
2. Calculation of Credit Expenses

[FR Doc. 01–4534 Filed 2–22–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–822, A–583–820]

Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders on Helical Spring Lock Washers From China and Taiwan

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders on Helical Spring Lock Washers from China and Taiwan.

SUMMARY: On June 5, 2000, the Department of Commerce (''the Department''), pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''), determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on helical spring lock washers from China and Taiwan is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping (65 FR 35605). On January 31, 2001, the International Trade Commission (''the Commission''), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on helical spring lock washers from China and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (66 FR 8424). Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4), the Department is publishing notice of the continuation of the antidumping duty orders on helical spring lock washers from China and Taiwan.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 23, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha V. Douthit or James P. Maeder, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5050 or (202) 482–3330, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 2, 1999, the Department initiated (64 FR 59160) and the Commission instituted (64 FR 59204) sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on helical spring lock washers from China and Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of its reviews, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on helical spring lock washers from China and Taiwan is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the Commission of the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the orders revoked. *See Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China and Taiwan; Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews,* 65 FR 35605 (June 5, 2000).

On January 31, 2001, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on helical spring lock washers from China and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. *See Helical Spring Lock Washers from China and Taiwan,* 66 FR 8424 (January 31, 2001) and USITC Publication. 3384, (January 2001), Investigation Nos. 731–TA–624–625 (Review).

Scope

The products subject to these antidumping duty orders include helical spring lock washers of carbon steel, of carbon alloy steel, or of stainless steel, heat-treated or non-heat-treated, plated or non-plated, with ends that are off-line. Helical spring lock washers are designed to: (1) Function as a spring to compensate for developed looseness between the component parts of a fastened assembly; (2) distribute the load over a larger area for screws or bolts; and, (3) provide a hardened bearing surface. The scope does not include internal or external tooth washers, nor does it include spring lock washers made of other metals, such as copper. Helical spring lock washers subject to these orders are currently classifiable under subheading 7318.21.0030 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (''HTS''). Although the HTS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope remains dispositive.

Determination

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the Commission that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty orders on helical spring lock washers from China and Taiwan. The Department will instruct the Customs Service to continue to collect antidumping duty deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. The effective date of continuation of these

orders will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this Notice of Continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders not later than January 2006. This notice is published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act. Effective January 20, 2001, Bernard T. Carreau is fulfilling the duties of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: February 6, 2001.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement II.

[FR Doc. 01–4533 Filed 2–22–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–351–806]

Silicon Metal From Brazil; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Determination Not To Revoke in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On August 4, 2000, the Department of Commerce (the ''Department'') published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil. The merchandise covered by this order is silicon metal from Brazil. The review covers five manufacturers/exporters: Rima Industrial SA (''RIMA''), Companhia Ferroligas Minas Gerais—Minasligas (''Minasligas''), Ligas de Aluminia S.A. (''LIASA''), Companhia Carbureto de Calcio (''CBCC''), Eletrosilex S.A. (''Eletrosilex''). The period of review (''POR'') is July 1, 1998, through June 30, 1999.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled *Final Results of the Review*.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 23, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maisha Cryor (RIMA), telephone: (202) 482–5831; Nova Daly (Eletrosilex and Minasligas), 482–0989; Mark Manning (LIASA), 482–3936, and Zev Primor (CBCC), 482–4114; AD/CVD Enforcement, Office IV, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the ''Act''), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (''URAA''). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

On August 4, 2000, the Department published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil. *See Silicon Metal From Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent Not To Revoke Order in Part*, 65 FR 47960 (August 4, 2000). The review covers five manufacturers/exporters, RIMA, LIASA, CBCC, Minasligas and Eletrosilex. The POR is July 1, 1998, through June 30, 1999. We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review. We received comments on October 2, 2000, from RIMA, LIASA, CBCC, Eletrosilex and from American Silicon Technologies (''AST''), Elkem Metals Company (''Elkem'') and Globe Metallugical Inc. (''Globe'') (collectively ''petitioners''). On October 16, 2000, we received a rebuttal brief from petitioners, RIMA, LIASA, and CBCC. We held a public hearing on October 25, 2000, to give interested parties the opportunity to express their views directly to the Department. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this administrative review is silicon metal from Brazil containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Also covered by this administrative review is silicon metal from Brazil containing between 89.00 and 96.00 percent silicon by weight but which contains more aluminum than the silicon metal containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Silicon metal is currently provided for under subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (''HTS'') as a chemical product, but is commonly referred to as a metal. Semiconductor grade silicon (silicon metal containing by weight not less than 99.99 percent silicon and provided for in subheading 2804.61.00 of the HTS) is not subject to the order. Although the HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and for U.S. Customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the ''Issues and Decision Memorandum'' (''Decision Memorandum''), dated January 31, 2001, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B–099 (''B–099'') of the main Department building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at www.ia.ita.doc.gov. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Determination Not To Revoke CBCC and LIASA

LIASA

After review of the criteria outlined at sections 351.222(b) and 351.222(d) of the Department's regulations, the Department's practice, the comments of the parties, and the evidence on the record, we have determined that the requirements for revocation have not been met. For the reasons outlined in the Decision Memorandum, we have determined not to revoke the antidumping duty order with respect to subject merchandise produced and also exported by LIASA because its sales were not made in commercial quantities in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222(e). *See*, Memorandum Regarding ''Eighth Administrative Review: Commercial Quantities,'' dated July 30, 2000.

CBCC

After review of the record, the Department determines that although CBCC has had zero or *de minimis* dumping margins for the previous two review periods, during the current review CBCC's weight-averaged