of the date of publication of this notice to the parties of this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). An interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of these preliminary results. *See* 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed no later than 37 days after the date of publication. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument and (3) a table of authorities. Further, we would appreciate it if parties submitting written comments would provide the Department with an additional copy of the public version of any such comments on diskette. The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department calculated an assessment rate on all appropriate entries. We calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the examined sales for that importer. Where the assessment rate is above *de minimis,* we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess duties on all entries of subject merchandise by that importer.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of fresh Atlantic salmon from Chile entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for companies listed above will be the rate established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.5 percent, and therefore *de minimis*, the cash deposit will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review conducted by the Department, the cash deposit rate will be 4.57 percent, the All Others rate established in the LTFV investigation.

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entities during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act. Effective January 20, 2001, Bernard T. Carreau is fulfilling the duties of the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Dated: April 2, 2001.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–8661 Filed 4–6–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–825]

Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, From Korea: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of new shipper antidumping administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received a request from Shinho Steel Co., Ltd. (''Shinho'') to conduct a new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods, other than drill pipe, from Korea, which has an August anniversary date. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating this administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 9, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Strollo, Samantha Denenberg, or Sally Gannon, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5255, (202) 482–1386 or (202) 482–0162, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Departments's regulations are to the current regulations, codified at 19 CFR part 351, (April 2000).

Background

On February 28, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) received a timely request, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and § 351.214(c) of the Department's regulations, for a new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods, other than drill pipe, which has an August anniversary date. On March 5, 2001, the Department received a letter from counsel to petitioners in this proceeding (Maverick Tube Corporation, IPSCO Tubulars, Inc., and Lone Star Steel Company) requesting that the Department ask Shinho if it had made shipments of oil country tubular goods, other than drill pipe, during the period of investigation (POI) under the former name of Korea Steel Pipe. In light of Shinho's certifications, discussed below, the Department has determined that it will address this issue in the context of the new shipper review. If we determine that Shinho does not qualify as a new shipper, we will terminate the review.

Initiation of Review

In its request of February 28, 2001, Shinho certified that it did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI (January 1, 1994 through June 30, 1994), and it is not affiliated with any company which exported subject merchandise to the United States during the POI. Shinho further certified that it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI. Also, in accordance with 19 CFR

351.214(b)(2)(iv), Shinho submitted documentation establishing (1) the date on which it first shipped the subject merchandise to the United States, (2) the volume of that shipment, and (3) the date of the first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods, other than drill pipe, from Korea. We intend to issue final results of this review not later than 270 days from the publication of this notice.

Pursuant to § 351.214(g)(1)(i)(B), the standard period of review (POR) in a new shipper proceeding initiated in the month immediately following the semiannual anniversary month is the six-month period immediately preceding the semi-annual anniversary month. However, Shinho requested that the Department extend the normal six-month period by one month. The Department's regulations provide the Department with the discretion to expand the normal POR to include an entry and sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States of subject merchandise if that expansion of the period would likely not prevent the completion of the review within the time limits set forth in § 351.214(i). *See Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties; Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Request for Public Comment,* 61 FR 7308, 7318 (February 27, 1996); *Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties; Final Rule,* 62 FR 27296, 27319–20 (May 19, 1997). *See also* 19 CFR 351.214(f)(2)(ii). Because we have determined that the expansion of the period will not likely prevent the completion of the review within the prescribed time limits, we have expanded the semi-annual review period by one month. Therefore, the POR for this review has been defined as August 1, 2000 through February 28, 2001.

Concurrent with publication of this notice, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to suspend liquidation of any unliquidated entries of the subject merchandise, and to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise exported by the company listed above, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e).

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: March 30, 2001.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 01–8662 Filed 4–6–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–847]

Persulfates from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the People's Republic of China in response to requests by the petitioner, FMC Corporation, and Shanghai Ai Jian Import and Export Corporation, an exporter of the subject merchandise. In addition to this respondent, the petitioner also requested a review of Sinochem Jiangsu Wuxi Import and Export Corporation. The period of review is July 1, 1999, through June 30, 2000.

We have preliminarily found that sales of subject merchandise have been made below normal value for only one of the two respondents. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping duties only on entries subject to this review by this exporter.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 9, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Nunno, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Office II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0783.

APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS: Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 20, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of ''Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review'' of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the People's Republic of China (PRC) covering the period July 1, 1999 through June 30, 2000. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review,* 65 FR 45035 (July 20, 2000).

On July 31, 2000, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), the petitioner, FMC Corporation, requested an administrative review of Shanghai Ai Jian Import & Export Corporation (Ai Jian) and Sinochem Jiangsu Wuxi Import & Export Corporation (Wuxi). We also received a request for a review from Ai Jian on July 31, 2000. We published a notice of initiation of this review on September 6, 2000. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part,* 65 FR 53980 (Sept. 6, 2000).

On August 22, 2000, we issued antidumping questionnaires to Ai Jian and Wuxi. The Department received a response from Ai Jian on October 13, 2000. In addition, the Department received a response from Shanghai Ai Jian Reagent Works (AJ Works) (*i.e.,* the producer who supplied the subject merchandise exported by Ai Jian) on October 13, 2000. Wuxi did not respond to the Department's questionnaire.

On October 16, 2000, we issued a letter to Wuxi asking it to indicate whether it intended to participate in this administrative review. On October 23, 2000, Wuxi responded via facsimile indicating that it did not intend to participate.

We issued a supplemental questionnaire to Ai Jian and AJ Works on November 28, 2000.

On December 1, 2000, Ai Jian and the petitioner submitted publicly available information for consideration in valuing the factors of production. On December 8, 2000, the parties submitted rebuttal comments.

On January 19, 2001, Ai Jian and AJ Works submitted responses to the supplemental questionnaire.

We requested additional information concerning packing materials from AJ Works on February 7, 2000. AJ Works responded to our request on February 26, 2000.