(Alamitos Agreement) between SCE and AES Alamitos, L.L.C. (AES Alamitos), and the Interconnection Facilities Agreement (Huntington Beach Agreement) between SCE and AES Huntington Beach, L.L.C. (AES Huntington Beach). These agreements set forth the terms for the parties to interconnect 1210 MW of additional generation capacity to the California Independent System Operator Controlled Grid pursuant to SCE's Transmission Owner Tariff, FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Original Volume No. 6.

SCE requests that the Alamitos Agreement and the Huntington Beach Agreement become effective on June 1, 2001.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, AES Alamitos, and AES Huntington Beach.

Comment date: May 2, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Michigan Electric Transmission Company

[Docket No. ER01–1775–000]

Take notice that on April 11, 2001, Michigan Electric Transmission Company (Michigan Transco) tendered for filing an executed revised Service Agreement for Network and Firm and Non-Firm Point to Point Transmission Service with Quest Energy, L.L.C. (Customer) pursuant to the Joint Open Access Transmission Service Tariff filed on February 22, 2001 by Michigan Transco and International Transmission Company (ITC). Michigan Transco is requesting an effective date of April 1, 2001.

Customer is taking service under the Service Agreement in connection with Consumers Energy Company's (Consumers) Electric Customer Choice program.

Copies of the filed agreement were served upon the Michigan Public Service Commission, ITC, Consumers and the Customer.

Comment date: May 2, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of these filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at *http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm* (call 202–208–2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at *http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm.*

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–9892 Filed 4–20–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP00–40–000, –001, and –002]

Florida Gas Transmission Company; Errata Notice; Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed FGT Phase V Expansion Project

The Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (draft EIS) for the Proposed Florida Gas Transmission Company Phase V Expansion Project (70 FR 18767, published April 11, 2001) issued on April 5, 2001, and page 2 of the letter inside the cover of the draft EIS lists the locations of meetings that will be held to receive comments on the draft EIS. However, the time was not specified. Each of the meetings will start at 7:00 p.m.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–9900 Filed 4–20–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP99–580–002]

Southern LNG, Inc.; Notice of Availability of the Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Sendout Modification Project

April 17, 2001.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) has prepared an environmental assessment (EA) on the natural gas facilities proposed by Southern LNG, Inc. (Southern LNG) in the above-referenced docket.

The EA was prepared to satisfy the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. The staff concludes that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate mitigating measures, would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

The EA assesses the potential environmental effects of the proposed project which includes construction and operation of facilities at Southern LNG's existing liquefied natural gas (LNG) import terminal on Elba Island in Chatham County, Georgia. Southern LNG would increase the peak vaporization from 540 to 675 million cubic feet per day (MMCFD). This would allow Southern LNG to increase the throughput capacity of the LNG Terminal. LNG storage capacity would not change. Southern LNG proposes to:

- Replace the existing five 108 MMCFD Ryan Industries LNG submerged combustion vaporizers with five 135 MMCFD state-of-the-art submerged combustion water bath heaters; and
- Install an additional secondary LNG pump to supply additional LNG for the increased capacity of the vaporizers.

This filing is related to Docket No. CP99–582–003 (Section 284, Subpart G blanket certificate) where Southern LNG proposes to amend the initial rates approved in the Commission's March 16, 2000 *Order Issuing Certificate, Section 3 Authorization, and Denying Request for Rehearing.* No facilities are proposed for construction in this application.

The EA has been placed in the public files of the FERC. A limited number of copies of the EA are available for distribution and public inspection at: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 888 First Street, NE., Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208–1371.