any other possible solutions to this problem. Therefore, DEA is soliciting comments from the affected industries, Medicare/Medicaid agencies, insurance providers, state regulatory agencies, and other interested parties regarding the feasibility of these options, alternative options, and suggestions to resolve the problem of excess controlled substances at LTCFs. DEA is requesting comments in support of allowing controlled substances to be stored at the LTCF and dispensed at the time of administration utilizing an automated dispensing system as well as comments in opposition to this proposed allowance. DEA is specifically seeking information on the following:

1. Do state regulations currently allow for nonpatient-specific medications to be stored and dispensed at a LTCF other than in emergency kits?

2. Do state regulations currently allow, or are states considering allowing, the use of automated dispensing systems at LTCFs? If states allow the use of automated dispensing systems at LTCFs, who is responsible and accountable for the controlled substances stored in those systems?

3. In states that currently allow the use of an automated dispensing system at the LTCF, please comment on any problems associated with utilization of an automated dispensing system for controlled substances and provide any data regarding the amount of excess generated and/or diversion of controlled substances.

4. What are the roles of dispensing pharmacists and consultant pharmacists in LTCFs?

Please submit written comments no later than June 25, 2001 to Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, D.C. 20537, Attention: Federal Register Representative/CCR.

Dated: April 12, 2001.

Laura M. Nagel,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control.

[FR Doc. 01–10256 Filed 4–24–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–09–U

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of information collection under review; screening requirements of carriers.

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for sixty days until June 25, 2001.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Screening Requirements of Carriers.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* No Agency Form Number (File No. OMB–16). Inspections Division, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Business or other for-profit. This information is used by the Immigration and Naturalization Service to determine whether sufficient steps are taken by a carrier demonstrating improvement in the screening of its passengers in order for the carrier to be eligible for automatic fines mitigation.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* 65 responses at 100 hours per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 6,500 annual burden hours.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Richard A. Sloan 202–514–3291, Director, Policy Directives and Instructions Branch, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 4034, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, DC 20536. Additionally, comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time may also be directed to Mr. Richard A. Sloan.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, National Place Building, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 1220, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: April 19, 2001.

Richard A. Sloan,

Department Clearance Officer, Immigration and Naturalization Service, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 01–10167 Filed 4–24–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–10–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) is soliciting comments concerning the proposed