dealt with in the standard, by a generic name covering them all. If a fully informative title is inordinately long, a subtitle could be added.

Scope

This section should contain a clear, concise statement as to the food or foods to which the standard is applicable unless the name of the standard clearly and concisely identifies the food or foods. A generic standard covering more than one specific product should clearly identify the specific products to which the standard applies.

Description

This section should contain a definition of the product or products with an indication, where appropriate, of the raw materials from which the product or products are derived and any necessary references to processes of manufacture. The description may also include references to types and styles of product and to type of pack. The description may also include additional definitions when these additional definition are required to clarify the meaning of the standard.

Essential Composition and Quality Factors

This section should contain all quantitative and other requirements as to composition including, where necessary, identity characteristics, provisions on packing media and requirements as to compulsory and optional ingredients. It should also include quality factors that are essential for the designation, definition, or composition of the product concerned. Such factors could include the quality of the raw material, with the object of protecting the health of the consumer, provisions on taste, odor, color, and texture which may be apprehended by the senses, and basic quality criteria for the finished products, with the object of preventing fraud. This section may refer to tolerances for defects, such as blemishes or imperfect material, but this information should be contained in appendix to the standard or in another advisory text.

Food Additives

This section should contain the names of the additives permitted and, where appropriate, the maximum amount permitted in the food. It should be prepared in accordance with guidance given on page 93 of the Codex Procedural Manual and may take the following form:

''The following provisions in respect of food additives and their specifications as contained in section. . . . . .of the Codex Alimentarius are subject to endorsement [have been endorsed] by the Codex Committee on Food Additives and Contaminants.''

A tabulation should then follow, viz.:

''*Name of additive, maximum level* (in percentage or mg/kg).''

Contaminants

(a) *Pesticide Residues:* This section should include, by reference, any levels for pesticide residues that have been established by the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues for the product concerned.

(b) *Other Contaminants:* In addition, this section should contain the names of other contaminants and where appropriate the maximum level permitted in the food, and the text to appear in the standard may take the following form:

''The following provisions in respect of contaminants, other than pesticide residues, are subject to endorsement [have been endorsed] by the Codex Committee on Food Additives and Contaminants.''

A tabulation should then follow, viz.:

''*Name of contaminant, maximum level* (in percentage or mg/kg).''

Hygiene

Any specific mandatory hygiene provisions considered necessary should be included in this section. They should be prepared in accordance with the guidance given on page 95 of the Codex Procedural Manual. Reference should also be made to applicable codes of hygienic practice. Any parts of such codes, including in particular any end-product specifications, should be set out in the standard, if it is considered necessary that they should be made mandatory. The following statement should also appear:

''The following provisions in respect of the food hygiene of the product are subject to endorsement [have been endorsed] by the Codex Committee on Food Hygiene.''

Weights and Measures

This section should include all provisions, other than labelling provisions, relating to weights and measures, e.g. where appropriate, fill of container, weight, measure or count of units determined by an appropriate method of sampling and analysis. Weights and measures should be expressed in S.I. units. In the case of standards which include provisions for the sale of products in standardized amounts, e.g. multiples of 100 grams, S.I. units should be used, but this would not preclude additional statements in the standards of these standardized amounts in approximately similar amounts in other systems of weights and measures.

Labelling

This section should include all the labelling provisions contained in the standard and should be prepared in accordance with the guidance given on page 92 of the Codex Procedural Manual. Provisions should be included by reference to the General Standard for the Labelling of Prepackaged Foods. The section may also contain provisions which are exemptions from, additions to, or which are necessary for the interpretation of the General Standard in respect of the product concerned provided that these can be justified fully. The following statement should also appear:

''The following provisions in respect of the labelling of this product are subject to endorsement [have been endorsed] by the Codex Committee on Food Labelling.''

Methods of Analysis and Sampling

This section should include, either specifically or by reference, all methods of analysis and sampling considered necessary and should be prepared in accordance with the guidance given on page 95 of the Codex Procedural Manual. If two or more methods have been proved to be equivalent by the Codex Committee on Methods of Analysis and Sampling, these could be regarded as alternative and included in this section either specifically or by reference. The following statement should also appear:

''The methods of analysis and sampling described hereunder are to be endorsed [have been endorsed] by the Codex Committee on Methods of Analysis and Sampling.''

[FR Doc. 01–12938 Filed 5–30–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–DM–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Lime Kiln Timber Sale, Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, Silver Bow County, MT

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice; intent to prepare environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Forest Service will prepare an environmental impact statement to document the analysis and disclose the environmental impacts of proposed actions to manage vegetation

through timber harvest and prescribed burning in the Roosevelt Drive and Lime Kiln areas of the Highland Mountains. The project area is located approximately 10 miles south of Butte, MT.

The Forest Service proposes to selectively treat approximately 366 acres of sawtimber and post and poles, predominantly mature Douglas-fir and lodgepole pine. Approximately .5 mile of temporary road construction is proposed to access the harvest units. Additionally, .6 mile of existing dead-end spur roads would be closed within 5 years of the vegetative treatments.

DATES: Comments concerning the scope of the analysis should be received in writing by July 5, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Bruce Schuelke, Butte Ranger District, 1820 Meadowlark, Butte, MT 59701.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bruce Schuelke, Interdisciplinary Team Leader, (406) 494–0241.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The primary purpose of this proposal is to maintain a healthy Lodgepole Pine and Douglas-Fir overstory. The desired condition would be more resistant to catastrophic fires; to promote vegetation components that evolved ecological with disturbance; and to reduce the risk of insect infestations. The proposal would encourage aspen re-establishment through harvesting and underburning; and is designed to reduce the overall sedimentation in Backtail Creek. The proposal would harvest timber in two areas using commercial thinning, clearcutting and sanitation salvage. Approximately 3,000 CCF of sawtimber and approximately 36,000 posts and poles would be harvested.

The project tiers to the National Fire Plan by reducing hazardous fuels along the wildland-urban interface. This project levels EIS will tier to the Deerlodge National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (Forest Plan) and incorporate by reference the Forest Plan (September 1987), which provides overall guidance of all land management activities on the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, including timber. This project was previously analyzed as Lime Kiln Timber Sale E.A.

Public participation is important to the analysis. Part of the goal of public involvement is to identify additional issues and to refine the general tentative issues. To date, over 200 letters were sent to interested people, adjacent landowners, organizations, business, as well as Federal, State, County, and Tribal organizations. Two field trips were held in the summers of 1996 and 1997. Comments from the public and other agencies are being used to prepare the Draft EIS. The scoping process to date has identified potential issues; identified major issues to be analyzed in depth, and eliminated minor issues or those that have been covered by a relevant previous environmental analysis. Public comments received during initial scooping identified the following issues: effects to wildlife habitats, visual quality, recreation, economic impacts, and adjacent private land. Potential alternatives may harvest less timber, or emphasize harvest in other areas.

During the scoping process, the Forest Service is seeking additional information and comments from Federal, State, and local agencies and other individuals or organizations who may be interested in or affected by the proposed action. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service will be consulted concerning effects to threatened and endangered species. The agency invites written comments and suggestions on this action, particularly in terms of identification of issues and alternative development.

The Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest is the lead agency for this proposal. The Forest Supervisor for the Beaverhead-Deerlodge National Forest, 420 Barrett Street, Dillon, MT 59725 will be making the decision on this action. The draft should be available for review in September 2001 and the final EIS is scheduled for completion in November 2001.

The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice at this early stage of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp.* v. *NRDC,* 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc.* v. *Harris,* 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. (Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council of Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.).

Dated: May 23, 2001.

Janette S. Kaiser,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 01–13615 Filed 5–30–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

Agency: U.S. Census Bureau.

Title: 2002 Economic Census covering the Utilities; Transportation and Warehousing; Finance and Insurance; and Real Estate, Rental and Leasing Sectors.

Form Number(s): The 36 report forms are too numerous to list here.

Agency Approval Number: None.

Type of Request: New collection.

Burden: 829,579 hours in FY 2003.

Number of Respondents: 633,007.

Avg Hours Per Response: 1.3 hours.

Needs and Uses: The 2002 Economic Census covering the Utilities; Transportation and Warehousing; Finance and Insurance; and Real Estate, Rental and Leasing Sectors will use a mail canvas, supplemented by data from Federal administrative records, to measure the economic activity of more than 994,000 establishments in these sectors of the economy as classified in the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS).