the PRC-wide rate, 160.80 percent; and (4) for all other non-PRC exporters of the subject merchandise, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1) and 19 U.S.C. 1677f(i)(1)).

Dated: June 22, 2001.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–16454 Filed 6–28–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–001]

Potassium Permanganate From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 29, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz or Howard Smith at (202) 482–4474 or (202) 482–5193, respectively; AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Time Limits

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

Background

On February 28, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on potassium permanganate from the People's Republic of China, covering the period January 1, 1999 through December 31, 1999 (65 FR 10466). On February 27, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on potassium permanganate from the People's Republic of China. *See Potassium Permanganate From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 66 FR 12461 (February 27, 2001).

Extension of Time Limit For Final Determination

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the final results until no later than August 26, 2001. *See* Decision Memorandum from Holly A. Kuga to Bernard T. Carreau, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B–099 of the main Commerce building.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: June 25, 2001.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group II for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–16453 Filed 6–28–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–841]

Structural Steel Beams From Korea: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of changed circumstances review.

SUMMARY: On March 21, 2001, the Department of Commerce (''Department'') published the preliminary results of its changed circumstances review examining whether Inchon Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. (''Inchon'') is the successor-in-interest to the merger of Inchon Iron & Steel Co. Ltd. and Kangwon Industries, Ltd. (''Kangwon''). *See Structural Steel Beams from Korea, Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 66 FR 15834 (March 21, 2001) (''Preliminary Results''). We gave interested parties 21 days to comment on our preliminary results. However, no interested parties have provided comments and no request for a hearing has been received by the Department. We have not changed our results from those presented in the preliminary results of the review.

As a result of this review, the Department finds that Inchon is the successor-in-interest to the merger of Inchon and Kangwon, and thus, Inchon should retain the deposit rate assigned to Inchon by the Department for all entries of subject merchandise produced or exported by the post-merger entity.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 29, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen Shin, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0413.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to

the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (''the Act'') by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (''URAA''). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations as set forth at 19 CFR 351 (2000).

Background

The Department published in the **Federal Register** on August 18, 2000 an antidumping duty order on structural steel beams from Korea. *See Structural Steel Beams from Korea: Notice of Antidumping Duty Order* 65 FR 50502 (August 18, 2000). In an August 30, 2000 letter to the Department, petitioners in the above case requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances review pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act to determine whether Inchon should properly be considered the successor firm to the pre-merger Inchon and Kangwon, and if, as such, Inchon should maintain the cash deposit rate assigned to Inchon in the investigation. *See Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Structural Steel Beams from Korea,* 65 FR 41437 (July 5, 2000) (as amended 65 FR 50501 (August 18, 2000)). We published a notice of initiation of a changed circumstances review on September 15, 2000 to determine whether Inchon is the successor to the merger of Inchon and Kangwon. *See Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Structural Steel Beams from Korea,* 65 FR 55944. The Department issued questionnaires on September 29, 2000 and December 1, 2000 and received responses on November 6, 2000 and December 15, 2000. As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, from January 17–19, 2001, the Department conducted an on-site verification of the information on the record. *See* January 29, 2001 Verification Report at 1. (A public version is located in Room B–099 of the main Department building.) On March 21, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its antidumping duty changed circumstance review. As noted above, the Department did not receive comments from interested parties.

The Department is conducting the changed circumstances review in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(f).

Scope of Review

The products covered by this review are doubly-symmetric shapes, whether hot-or cold-rolled, drawn, extruded, formed or finished, having at least one dimension of at least 80 mm (3.2 inches or more), whether of carbon or alloy (other than stainless) steel, and whether or not drilled, punched, notched, painted, coated or clad. These products include, but are not limited to, wide-flange beams (''W'' shapes), bearing piles (''HP'' shapes), standard beams (''S'' or ''I'' shapes), and M-shapes.

All products that meet the physical and metallurgical descriptions provided above are within the scope of this investigation unless otherwise excluded. The following products are outside and/or specifically excluded from the scope of this investigation: structural steel beams greater than 400 pounds per linear foot or with a web or section height (also known as depth) over 40 inches.

The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (''HTSUS'') at subheadings: 7216.32.0000, 7216.33.0030, 7216.33.0060, 7216.33.0090, 7216.50.0000, 7216.61.0000, 7216.69.0000, 7216.91.0000, 7216.99.0000, 7228.70.3040, 7228.70.6000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

Successorship

On the basis of the record developed in this proceeding, we determine that Inchon is the successor-in-interest to the merger of Inchon and Kangwon for the purposes of determining antidumping duty liability. For a complete discussion of the basis for this decision, see the ''Preliminary Results.''

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

The Department determines Inchon is the successor to the merger of Inchon and Kangwon, and thus, Inchon shall retain the antidumping duty deposit rate assigned to Inchon by the Department in the investigation. We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and section 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(i).

Dated: June 18, 2001.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–16452 Filed 6–28–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of application.

SUMMARY: The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs (''OETCA''), International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application for an Export Trade Certificate of Review. This notice summarizes the conduct for which certification is sought and requests comments relevant to whether the Certificate should be issued.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vanessa M. Bachman, Acting Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, by telephone at (202) 482–5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or by E-mail at oetca@ita.doc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. Sections 4001 *et seq.*) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. A Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from state and federal government antitrust actions and from private, treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. Section 302(b)(1) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.6(a) require the Secretary to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** identifying the applicant and summarizing its proposed export conduct.

Request for Public Comments

Interested parties may submit written comments relevant to the determination whether a Certificate should be issued. If the comments include any privileged or confidential business information, it must be clearly marked and a nonconfidential version of the comments (identified as such) should be included. Any comments not marked privileged or confidential business information will be deemed to be nonconfidential. An original and five copies, plus two copies of the nonconfidential version, should be submitted no later than 20 days after the date of this notice to: Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, Room 1104H, Washington, DC 20230, or transmit by E-mail at oetca@ita.doc.gov. Information submitted by any person is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of