

Program involves the following three elements:

a. Product Certification for Software Developers. The National Customer Support Center (NCSC) evaluates the accuracy of the calculations of PPP software by processing a test publication file either at the NCSC or at the developer's location (on-site visit).

b. User Certification for PPP Software. The NCSC provides test packages to the users and evaluates the results.

c. PAGE Program Authorization. Publishers who want to use PAGE-certified software and PAGE-certified users to submit per-copy weight and calculated advertising percentages must apply for authorization to the Manager, New York Rates and Classification Service Center (RCSC). See G042 for address.

#### 4.3 Participation

For information about the PAGE certification program, publishers may request a program technical guide (including order forms) by calling 800-238-3150.

\* \* \* \* \*

If this proposal is adopted, an appropriate amendment to 39 CFR 111.3 will be published to reflect this change.

**Stanley F. Mires,**

*Chief Counsel, Legislative.*

[FR Doc. 01-25433 Filed 10-9-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 242-0292b; FRL-7067-1]

#### Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Ventura County Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This revision concerns nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions from boilers, steam generators, and process heaters. We are proposing to approve a local rule that regulates these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** Any comments on this proposal must arrive by November 9, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-

4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

You can inspect copies of the submitted rule revision and EPA's technical support document (TSD) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted rule revision and TSD at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20460

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Ventura County Air Pollution Control District, 669 County Square Drive, Ventura, CA 93003

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX; (415) 744-1135.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposal addresses the approval of the local VCAPCD Rule 74.15.1. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving this local rule in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe this SIP revision is not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: September 17, 2001.

**Jane Diamond,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 01-25256 Filed 10-9-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 242-0297b; FRL-7075-9]

#### Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, El Dorado County Air Pollution Control District and Imperial County Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the El Dorado County Air Pollution Control District (EDCAPCD) and Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD) portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern Oxides of Nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions from industrial, institutional, and commercial boilers, steam generators, and process heaters as well as administrative matters. We are proposing to approve local rules and proposing to approve the rescission of local rules that regulate emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** Any comments on this proposal must arrive by November 9, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

You can inspect copies of the submitted rule revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted rule revisions at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

El Dorado County Air Pollution Control District, 2850 Fairlane Court, Building C, Placerville, CA 95667.

Imperial County Air Pollution Control District, 150 South 9th Street, El Centro, CA 92243.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Al Petersen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX; (415) 744-1135.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposal addresses the approval of the local EDCAPCD Rules 101 and 229, the rescission of local EDCAPCD Rules 101 and 102, and the approval of local ICAPCD Rules 100 and 113. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving and rescinding these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting