

(c) Shortage: The Secretary shall determine from time to time when insufficient mainstream water is available to satisfy annual consumptive use requirements of 7,500,000 acre-feet after consideration of all relevant factors, including, but not limited to, the following:

(i) The requirements stated in Article III(1) of these Operating Criteria;

(ii) Actual and forecast quantities of active storage in Lake Mead;

(iii) Estimate of net inflow to Lake Mead for the current year;

(iv) Historic streamflows, including the most critical period of record;

(v) Priorities set forth in Article II(A) of the decree in *Arizona v. California*; and

(vi) The purposes stated in Article I(2) of these Operating Criteria.

The shortage provisions of Article II(B)(3) of the decree in *Arizona v. California* shall thereupon become effective and consumptive uses from the mainstream shall be restricted to the extent determined by the Secretary to be required by Section 301(b) of Public Law 90-537.

#### IV. Definitions

(1) In addition to the definitions in Section 606 of Public Law 90-537, the following shall also apply:

(a) "Spills," as used in Article II(3)(c) herein, means water released from Lake Powell which cannot be utilized for project purposes, including, but not limited to, the generation of power and energy.

(b) "Surplus," as used in Article III(3)(b) herein, is water which can be used to meet consumptive use demands in the three Lower Division States in excess of 7,500,000 acre-feet annually. The term "surplus" as used in these Operating Criteria is not to be construed as applied to, being interpretive of, or in any manner having reference to the term "surplus" in the Colorado River Compact.

(c) "Net inflow to Lake Mead," as used in Article III(3) (b)(iv) and (c)(iii) herein, represents the annual inflow to Lake Mead in excess of losses from Lake Mead.

(d) "Available capability," used in Article II(4) herein, means that portion of the total capacity of the powerplant that is physically available for generation.

[FR Doc. 02-5322 Filed 3-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-988 (Preliminary)]

### Pneumatic Directional Control Valves From Japan

#### Determination

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission determines,<sup>2</sup> pursuant to section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)) (the Act), that there is no reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury, or that the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded, by reason of imports from Japan of pneumatic directional control valves, provided for in subheading 8481.20.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

#### Background

On January 14, 2002, a petition was filed with the Commission and the U.S. Department of Commerce by the Pneumatics Group, a trade association of pneumatic directional control valve producers and wholesalers consisting of Festo Corp., of Hauppauge, NY; IMI Norgren, Inc., of Littleton, CO; Numatics, Inc., of Highland, MI; and Parker Hannifin Corp. of Cleveland, OH, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV imports of pneumatic directional control valves from Japan. Accordingly, effective January 14, 2002, the Commission instituted antidumping duty investigation No. 731-TA-988 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigation and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of January 23, 2002 (67 FR 3230). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on February 4, 2002, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> Commissioner Lynn M. Bragg dissenting.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on February 28, 2002. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3491 (March 2002), entitled Pneumatic Directional Control Valves from Japan: Investigation No. 731-TA-988 (Preliminary).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 28, 2002.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-5333 Filed 3-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-432]

### Certain Semiconductor Chips with Minimized Chip Package Size and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination To Terminate Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

**AGENCY:** International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to terminate the above-captioned investigation based on a settlement agreement between the parties.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Diehl, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3095. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server, <http://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at <http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On May 3, 2000, the Commission instituted this investigation of allegations of unfair acts in violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation and sale

of certain semiconductor chips with minimized chip package size and products containing same. 65 FR 25758 (May 3, 2000). The complaint alleged that three firms had infringed at least claims 6 and 22 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,679,977 (the '977 patent) and claims 1, 3, and 11 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,852,326 (the '326 patent) held by complainant Tessera, Inc. of San Jose, California. The notice of investigation named the following respondents: Texas Instruments of Dallas, Texas ("TI"); Sharp Corporation of Osaka, Japan; and Sharp Electronics Corporation of Mahwah, New Jersey (collectively, "Sharp"). On March 2, 2001, the Commission determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting Tessera's motion to withdraw the complaint allegations as to TI, and to terminate the investigation as to TI. An evidentiary hearing commenced April 5, 2001 and concluded on April 19, 2001. On June 1, 2001, the ALJ issued Order No. 33, denying Sharp's motion to reopen the hearing record.

On September 25, 2001, the presiding ALJ issued his final ID, finding that the Sharp respondents violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), by infringing the asserted claims of the '977 and '326 patents. On October 1, 2001, the ALJ issued a recommended determination in which he recommended that, if the Commission finds a violation of section 337, it issue a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

On October 9, 2001, Sharp appealed Order No. 33 and petitioned for review of the final ID. The Commission investigative attorney ("IA") did not file a petition for review. On October 16, 2001, complainant and the IA filed responses opposing Sharp's petition for review and its appeal of Order No. 33. On November 15, 2001, the Commission determined to affirm Order No. 33 and not to review the ALJ's final ID, and issued a notice to that effect. 66 FR 58524 (Nov. 21, 2001).

Having determined that a violation of section 337 has occurred in this investigation, the Commission sought comments on and considered the issues of the appropriate form of relief, whether the public interest precludes issuance of such relief, and the bond during the 60-day Presidential review period.

On January 25, 2002, Tessera and Sharp filed a joint motion with the Commission to extend the target date by 33 days, until February 27, 2002. The parties represented in the motion that they had settled their dispute, and

would file with the Commission a joint motion to terminate the investigation on that basis.

On January 30, 2002, Tessera and Sharp filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation by settlement, and attached copies of a Settlement and Release Agreement and an Immunity Agreement, dated January 24, 2002, between Tessera and Sharp. On February 8, 2002, the IA filed a response to the motion, stating that the motion and agreements meet the procedural requirements relating to termination by settlement under Commission rules.

Having considered the joint motion and the IA's response, the Commission determined to terminate the investigation on the basis of the settlement agreement.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) and section 210.21(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, (19 CFR 210.21(b)).

By Order of the Commission.

Issued: February 27, 2002.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 02-5334 Filed 3-5-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Ethical Nutritional, L.L.C.; Denial of Application

On or about March 21, 2000, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause (OTSC) by certified mail to Ethical Nutritional, L.L.C. (Ethical), located in Pomona, California, notifying it of an opportunity to show cause as to why the DEA should not deny its application, dated October 28, 1998, for a DEA Certificate of Registration as an importer of Schedule I controlled substances pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a), proposing to import marijuana and peyote to manufacture and distribute homeopathic substances containing the Schedule I controlled substances for human consumption, a purpose not in conformity with the provisions of the Controlled Substances Act, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 812(b)(1), 822(b), 823(f)(4), and 841(a)(1). The order also notified Ethical that, should no request for hearing be filed within 30 days the right to a hearing would be waived.

The OTSC was received on or about March 29, 2000, as indicated by the

signed postal return receipt. On or about April 25, 2000, Ethical, through counsel, filed with the Office of Administrative Law Judges (ALJ) a request for extension of time to respond to the OTSC; an extension was granted until May 25, 2000. On May 21, 2000, the Government filed a Motion for Summary Disposition. On May 26, 2000, Ethical, through counsel, filed a Memorandum stating that Ethical "no longer intends to pursue the importation of Peyote and Marijuana. Accordingly, no response to the Order to Show Cause \* \* \* will be submitted." On June 8, 2000, the ALJ issued a Termination Order finding that Ethical had waived its right to a hearing. Since that time, no further response has been received from the applicant nor any person purporting to represent the applicant. Therefore, the Administrator of the DEA, finding that (1) thirty days having passed since receipt of the Order to Show Cause, and (2) no further request for a hearing having been received, concludes that Ethical is deemed to have waived its right to a hearing. After considering relevant material from the investigative file in this matter, the Administrator now enters his final order without a hearing pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.43(e) and 1301.46.

The Administrator finds that on or about May 28, 1998, Ethical was initially registered and issued DEA Certificate of Registration RE0235083, as a manufacturer of controlled substances in Schedules I-V. Ethical submitted an application, dated May 20, 1998, to be registered as an importer of *inter alia* the Schedule I controlled substances marijuana and peyote, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(a). Ethical proposed to import these substances for the production of homeopathic remedies for human consumption. Ethical did not assert that the proposed importation of these substances was for any purpose authorized pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a)(2).

The Administrator finds that Ethical's application is fundamentally incompatible with the Controlled Substances Act (CSA). Pursuant to the CSA, Schedule I controlled substances by definition have "a high potential for abuse," "no currently accepted medical use in treatment in the United States," and "a lack of accepted safety for use \* \* \* under medical supervision." 21 U.S.C. 812(b). Accordingly, the CSA prohibits the use of Schedule I controlled substances for human consumption outside of research that has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and registered with DEA. 21 U.S.C. 822(b), 823(f), 841(a)(1); 21 CFR 5.10(a)(9),