

suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed transfer of jurisdiction may present their views in writing to the Jackson Field Office of the Bureau of Land Management at the above address.

The application will be processed in accordance with the regulations set forth in 43 CFR 2300.

**Bruce Dawson,**

*Field Manager.*

[FR Doc. 02-6777 Filed 3-20-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-GJ-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Minerals Management Service

#### Workshop on Transferring Responsibility for Inspection and Enforcement of U.S. Coast Guard Regulations for Fixed Facilities on the Outer Continental Shelf to the Minerals Management Service

**AGENCY:** Minerals Management Service (MMS), Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of workshop.

**SUMMARY:** The MMS and the U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) will jointly hold a workshop to discuss the transfer of responsibility to MMS for inspection and enforcement of USCG regulations for fixed facilities on the Outer Continental Shelf.

**DATES:** The workshop will be held on Friday, April 12, 2002, from 8:30 a.m. to 12 noon. Written questions you wish to discuss at the workshop must reach MMS by close of business on March 22, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** The workshop will be held at the Sheraton North Houston Hotel's Amphitheater at George Bush International Airport, 15700 John F. Kennedy Boulevard, Houston, Texas; telephone (281) 442-5100. Please submit your written questions by mail or fax to the following:

(1) By mail to Staci Atkins, Minerals Management Service, 381 Elen Street, MS 4023, Herndon, Virginia 20170; or,

(2) By Fax to Staci Atkins at (703)

787-1575.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Staci Atkins, telephone (703) 787-1620.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On February 7, 2002, the USCG published a final rule in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 5912) authorizing MMS to perform fixed facility inspections on behalf of the USCG. Based on comments we received on the proposed rulemaking, we are aware that industry has questions on how the agreement between the two agencies will be

implemented. This workshop will inform industry and the public of the implementation of the MMS inspection program.

The agenda for the meeting on April 12, 2002, is as follows:

- General welcome and overview;
- Presentation of the rulemaking history;
- Overviews of the current MMS and USCG inspection programs;
- Presentation of the integrated inspection program; and
- Question and answer session.

The MMS and USCG encourage you to submit questions and attend the workshop. We will consider your questions submitted in advance in preparing our presentations so that the workshop can focus on key topics. You may also pose questions during the question and answer session at the workshop.

To obtain information on facilities or services for individuals with disabilities or to request that we provide special assistance at the meeting, please contact Staci Atkins as soon as possible.

Dated: February 20, 2002.

**E.P. Danenberger,**

*Chief, Engineering and Operations Division.*  
[FR Doc. 02-6797 Filed 3-20-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### General Management Plan, Final Environmental Impact Statement, Devils Tower National Monument, Crook County, Wyoming

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Availability of Final Environmental Impact Statement and General Management Plan for Devils Tower National Monument.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102 (2) (c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the National Park Service announces the availability of a final Environmental Impact Statement and General Management Plan (FEIS/GMP) for Devils Tower National Monument, Wyoming.

**DATES:** The Draft EIS/GMP was on public review from July 2, through September 30, 2001. Responses to public comment are addressed in the FEIS/GMP. A 30-day no-action period will follow the Environmental Protection Agency's Notice of Availability of the FEIS/GMP.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the FEIS/GMP are available from the Superintendent,

Devils Tower National Monument, P.O. Box 10, Devils Tower, WY 82714. Public reading copies of the FEIS/GMP will be available for review at the following locations:

Office of the Superintendent, Devils Tower National Monument, P.O. Box 10, Devils Tower, WY 82714, Telephone: (307) 467-5283 x 14.

Planning and Environmental Quality, Intermountain Support Office—Denver, National Park Service, P.O. Box 25287, Denver, CO 80225-0287, Telephone: (303) 969-2851 or (303) 969-2377.

Office of Public Affairs, National Park Service, Department of the Interior, 18th and C Streets NW, Washington, DC 20240, Telephone: (202) 208-6843.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Superintendent, Devils Tower National Monument, (307) 467-5282 x 14.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FEIS/GMP analyzes five alternatives to manage natural and cultural resources, visitor use and access, general development and park operations. The alternatives address issues including the following. The national monument is subject to visitor congestion, including vehicular circulation and parking, and crowded visitor facilities. None of the four buildings where park staff works has adequate space for office requirements, storage, meetings, or breaks. The space available for the cooperating association's offices and bookstore is inadequate. Congestion and inadequate facilities limit the staff's ability to offer orientation and interpretation that would ensure visitor understanding of the monument's significance and allow visitors to make the best use of their time. Flood control structures on the Belle Fourche River inside and outside the monument have severely damaged the riparian woodland system. Modern recreational use, developments, and climbing on the Tower are sometimes in conflict with American Indian traditional cultural values. High levels of development, visitor use, and crowding at the base of the Tower are not consistent with the spiritual nature of the area. Alternative 1, the no action alternative represents the continuation of existing conditions and management at the monument. Alternative 2 would reduce overall development to improve the monument's natural setting, institute a reservation system during periods of peak visitation, and convert the parking area at the base of the Tower to a pedestrian plaza. Alternative 3, the NPS preferred alternative, would institute a shuttle system for use during peak