Department of Environmental Management to Kerr-McGee Chemicals, LLC, Mobile County, Alabama. Pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act (Act), petitioners may seek judicial review of the petition in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days of this decision under section 307 of the Act.

ADDRESSES: You may review copies of the final order, the petition, and other supporting information at EPA Region 4, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, 61 Forsyth Street, S.W., Atlanta, Georgia, 30303–8960. If you wish to examine these documents, you should make an appointment at least 24 hours before visiting day. The final order is also available electronically at the following address: *http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/kerrmcgee_decision2000.pdf.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joel Huey, Air Permits Section, EPA Region 4, at (404) 562–9104 or *huey.joel@epa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Act affords EPA a 45-day period to review, and object to as appropriate, operating permits proposed by state permitting authorities. Section 505(b)(2) of the Act authorizes any person to petition the EPA Administrator within 60 days after the expiration of this review period to object to state operating permits if EPA has not done so. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the state, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or the grounds for the issues arose after this period. Mobile Bay Watch, Inc., submitted a petition to the Administrator on May 22, 2000, seeking EPA's objection to the operating permit issued to Kerr-McGee Chemicals, LLC. The petitioner maintains that the Kerr-McGee Chemicals operating permit is inconsistent with the Act because the permit fails to: (1) Require adequate periodic monitoring of facility emissions; (2) require the facility to prepare a Risk Management Plan as well as Worst Case Scenario and Planning Case Scenario; and (3) reflect the comments submitted by Mobile Bay Watch during the 30-day draft permit period. Mobile Bay Watch also bases its petition on the following statements: (1) Kerr-McGee requested in its permit application that the number of federally enforceable limitations in the operating permit be minimized; (2) Kerr-McGee requested in its permit application that the permit include a permit shield; (3) the period between the date of the permit application and the issuance of the draft permit was excessive; and (4) EPA failed to fully review the Kerr-McGee Chemicals permit. On February 1, 2002, the Administrator issued an order denying the petition. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the petitioner has failed to demonstrate that the Kerr-McGee Chemicals permit does not assure compliance with the Act on the grounds raised.

Dated: March 18, 2002.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 02–8063 Filed 4–2–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 02–711, MB Docket No. 02–66, RM–10252]

Digital Television Broadcast Service; Rutland, VT

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Vermont ETV, Inc., licensee of noncommercial educational station WVER(TV), NTSC channel *28, Rutland, Vermont, requesting the substitution of DTV channel *9 for station WVER(TV)'s assigned DTV channel *56. DTV Channel *9 can be allotted to Rutland, Vermont, in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of Section 73.625(a) at reference coordinates (43–39–32 N. and 73–06–25 W.). However, since the community of Rutland is located 400 kilometers from the U.S.-Canadian border, concurrence from the Canadian must be obtained for this allotment. As requested, we propose to allot DTV Channel *9 to Rutland with a power of 30 and a height above average terrain (HAAT) of 411 meters.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before May 23, 2002, and reply comments on or before June 7, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room TW–A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Jonathan D. Blake, Covington & Burling, 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, P.O. Box 7566, Washington, DC 20044–7566 (Counsel for Vermont ETV, Inc.).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Media Bureau, (202) 418–1600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MB Docket No. 02–66, adopted March 25, 2002, and released April 1, 2002. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–A257, Washington, DC, 20554. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202–863–2893, facsimile 202–863–2898, or via-e-mail *qualexint@aol.com.*

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television, Digital television broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—TELEVISION BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, and 336.

§ 73.622 [Amended]

2. Section 73.622(b), the Table of Digital Television Allotments under Vermont is amended by removing DTV Channel *56 and adding DTV Channel *9 at Rutland.

Federal Communications Commission.

Barbara A. Kreisman,

Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 02–7977 Filed 4–2–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 02–710, MB Docket No. 02–65, RM–10370]

Digital Television Broadcast Service and Television Broadcast Service; Georgetown, SC

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Community Television, Inc., an applicant for a construction permit for a new noncommercial educational television station to operate on NTSC channel *41 at Georgetown. Community Television requests the replacement of DTV channel *38 for NTSC channel *41 at Georgetown. DTV channel *38 can be allotted to Georgetown, South Carolina, in compliance with Sections 73.622(a) and 73.623(c) of the Commission's criteria as set forth in the Public Notice, released November 22, 1999, DA 99–2605. DTV channel *38 can be allotted at reference coordinates 33–25–58 N. and 79–16–16 W. with a power of 500, a height above average terrain HAAT of 144 meters.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before May 23, 2002, and reply comments on or before June 7, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room TW–A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Gene A. Bechtel, Bechtel & Cole, Chartered, 1901 L Street, NW., Suite 250, Washington, DC 20036 (Counsel for Community Television, Inc.).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Media Bureau, (202) 418–1600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MB Docket No. 02–65, adopted March 25, 2002, and released April 1, 2002. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–A257, Washington, DC, 20554. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202–863–2893, facsimile 202–863–2898, or via-e-mail *qualexint@aol.com.*

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television, Digital television broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—TELEVISION BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, and 336.

§ 73.606 [Amended]

2. Section 73.606(b), the Table of Television Allotments under South Carolina, is amended by removing Channel *41 at Georgetown.

3. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, and 336.

§ 73.622 [Amended]

4. Section 73.622(b), the Table of Digital Television Allotments under South Carolina, is amended by adding Georgetown, DTV channel *38.

Federal Communications Commission.

Barbara A. Kreisman,

Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 02–7976 Filed 4–2–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Parts 567, 571, 574 and 575

[Docket No. NHTSA–00–8011]

RIN 2127–AI54

Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Tires

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Correction to notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document contains corrections to the proposal which was published on Tuesday, March 5, 2002 (67 FR 10050).

DATES: Written comments may be submitted to this agency and must be received by May 6, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For technical and policy issues: Mr. George Soodoo or Mr. Joseph Scott, Office of Crash Avoidance Standards, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC, 20590. Telephone: (202) 366–2720. Fax: (202) 366–4329.

For legal issues: Nancy Bell, Attorney Advisor, Office of the Chief Counsel, NCC–20, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC, 20590. Telephone: (202) 366–2992. Fax: (202) 366–3820.

Background

The proposal that is the subject of this correction was published in response to the Transportation Recall Enhancement, Accountability, and Documentation (TREAD) Act of 2000. It proposed to establish new and more stringent tire performance requirements in a new Federal motor vehicle safety standard that would apply to all new tires for use on vehicles with a gross vehicle weight rating of 10,000 pounds or less. The proposal sought comment on the proposed new standard, including its applicability and test procedures, modifications to related existing standards, and lead time provided for manufacturers to achieve compliance.

Need for Correction

As published, the proposal inadvertently omits items which are in need of addition.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication on March 5, 2002 (67 FR 10050) is corrected as follows: