

**Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: <http://www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister>.

To use PDF, you must have the Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO) toll free at (888) 293-6498; or in the Washington, DC area at (202) 512-1530.

**Note:** The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index.html>

### Pilot Project for Electronic Submission of Applications

**Note:** Some of the procedures in these instructions for transmitting applications differ from those in the Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) (34 CFR 75.102). Under the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553) the Department generally offers interested parties the opportunity to comment on proposed regulations. However, these amendments make procedural changes only and do not establish new substantive policy. Therefore, under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(A), the Secretary has determined that proposed rulemaking is not required.

In FY 2002, the U.S. Department of Education is continuing to expand its pilot project of electronic submission of applications to include additional formula grant programs and additional discretionary grant competitions. The Mentoring Program is one of the programs included in the pilot project. If you are an applicant under this grant competition, you may submit your application to us in either electronic or paper format.

The pilot project involves the use of the Electronic Grant Application System (e-APPLICATION, formerly e-GAPS) portion of the Grant Administration and Payment System (GAPS). We request your participation in this pilot project. We will continue to evaluate its success and solicit suggestions for improvement.

If you participate in this e-APPLICATION pilot, please note the following:

- Your participation is voluntary.
- You will not receive any additional point value or penalty because you submit a grant application in electronic or paper format.
- You can submit all documents electronically, including the Application for Federal Assistance (ED 424), Budget Information—Non-Construction Programs, (ED 524), and all necessary assurances and certifications.

- Within three working days of submitting your electronic application, fax a signed copy of the Application for Federal Assistance (ED 424) to the Application Control Center after following these steps:

1. Print ED 424 from the e-APPLICATION system.
2. Make sure that the institution's Authorized Representative signs this form.
3. Before faxing this form, submit your electronic application via the e-APPLICATION system. You will receive an automatic acknowledgement, which will include a PR/Award number (an identifying number unique to your application).

4. Place the PR/Award number in the upper right corner of the ED 424.

5. Fax ED 424 to the Application Control Center at (202) 260-1349.

- We may request that you give us original signatures on all other forms at a later date.

You may access the electronic grant application for Mentoring Programs at <http://e-grants.ed.gov>.

We have included additional information on the e-APPLICATION pilot project (*see* Parity Guidelines between Paper and Electronic Applications) in the application package.

If you want to apply for a grant and be considered for funding, you must meet the deadline requirements listed above.

**Program Authority:** 20 U.S.C. 7140.

Dated: May 16, 2002.

**Susan B. Neuman,**

*Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.*

[FR Doc. 02-13161 Filed 5-23-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[CFDA No. 84.206A]

### Office of Elementary and Secondary Education; Jacob K. Javits Gifted and Talented Students Education Program; Notice Inviting Applications for New Awards for Fiscal Year (FY) 2002 and Establishing Two Absolute Priorities

*Purpose of Program:* The purpose of the Javits program is to carry out a coordinated program of scientifically based research, demonstration projects, innovative strategies, and similar activities designed to build and enhance the ability of elementary and secondary schools nationwide to meet the special educational needs of gifted and talented students.

*Eligible Applicants:* State educational agencies, local educational agencies,

institutions of higher education, other public agencies, and other private agencies and organizations (including Indian tribes and Indian organizations and Native Hawaiian organizations). Under the first priority in this competition, all of these entities are eligible to apply. Under the second priority, only State educational agencies in collaboration with one or more local educational agencies are eligible to apply.

*Applications Available:* May 24, 2002.

*Deadline for Transmittal of Applications:* July 8, 2002.

*Deadline for Intergovernmental Review:* June 24, 2002.

*Available Funds:* Priority 1—\$5,100,000, Priority 2—\$3,750,000.

*Estimated Number of Awards:* Priority 1—10, Priority 2—12.

*Estimated Size of Awards:* Priority 1—\$400,000–\$600,000, Priority 2—\$200,000–\$300,000.

*Estimated Average Size of Awards:* Priority 1—\$500,000, Priority 2—\$250,000.

**(Note:** These estimates are projections for the guidance of potential applicants. The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice.)

*Project Period:* Up to 60 months for the first priority and up to 36 months for the second priority.

*Applicable Regulations:* The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR parts 74, 75, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 97, 98, and 99.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education invites applications for new grant awards for FY 2002 for the Jacob K. Javits Gifted and Talented Students Education program (Javits program). The Javits program has been rewritten in its entirety by P.L. 107-110, the No Child Left Behind Act, and is now located in Title V, Part D, Subpart 6 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended (ESEA), under which these grants are authorized (20 U.S.C. 7253 *et seq.*). The Jacob K. Javits Gifted and Talented Students Education Act of 2001 supports a coordinated program of research, demonstration projects, and other activities to build and enhance the ability of schools nationwide to serve gifted and talented students.

The Assistant Secretary also announces two final absolute priorities and final selection criteria to govern this competition and the FY 2002 awards of these grants. In accordance with § 5465(a) and (b) of the statute, the Assistant Secretary intends to give

priority to projects designed to develop new information that improves the capability of schools to plan, conduct, and improve programs to identify and serve gifted and talented students and to projects that identify and serve students from underrepresented groups, including economically disadvantaged, limited English speaking, and disabled students. The Secretary also will implement § 5464(c) of the statute, requiring funding of certain projects when appropriation levels for the Javits program in a given year exceed the appropriation in FY 2001.

Accordingly, the Assistant Secretary will make awards under the following two absolute priorities to encourage activities that will contribute to an understanding of the most effective ways to educate gifted and talented students who are economically disadvantaged, limited English proficient, or who have disabilities. These priorities will help to target funds to high-needs populations within the general program purpose of assisting States and local school districts to better serve gifted and talented students.

The Assistant Secretary's first priority implements section 5465(a) of the statute and focuses on projects that propose to develop, conduct, scale up, and evaluate programs that identify and serve gifted and talented students who are economically disadvantaged or limited English proficient, or who have disabilities and who may not be identified and served through traditional assessment methods. According to a 2002 report by the National Research Council titled "Minority Students in Special and Gifted Education," these groups of students remain significantly underrepresented at the highest levels of performance. Over the past decade, small-scale model projects and intervention strategies have produced some evidence of effectiveness in raising student achievement to high levels. The goal of this first priority is to expand upon, field test, and evaluate research-based interventions that have existing evidence of success in increasing the proportion of economically disadvantaged, limited English proficient, or disabled students performing at high levels of achievement. Based on the experience of previous grant recipients, the Assistant Secretary believes that these projects will be most successful if they are carried out by applicants that can demonstrate an expertise in: education research and program evaluation, one or more of the core academic subject areas (English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages,

civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography), the needs of disadvantaged or other underrepresented students, and gifted and talented education. In order to meet the absolute priority, projects must: (1) Build on successful interventions and strategies that show evidence that they have increased student achievement, (2) draw on expertise in research and program evaluation, disciplinary knowledge in the core subject areas, the needs of underrepresented groups, and gifted and talented education, (3) expand upon the intervention as it is carried out in multiple sites, and (4) propose a careful research and evaluation plan.

The Assistant Secretary establishes this first priority after having reviewed the relevant research base and the evaluations of previously funded projects, holding discussions with project directors, and consulting with experts in the field.

The Assistant Secretary's second absolute priority implements the "Special Rule" in § 5464(c) of the authorizing legislation that requires any funds available in a fiscal year that exceed the amount that was available in FY 2001 to be awarded to State educational agencies or local educational agencies, or both, to carry out such activities as: research and development on gifted and talented education and how it may be used to improve the education of all students, program evaluations and information collection activities, model projects and innovative strategies, technical assistance and information dissemination, distance learning opportunities, and professional development. Because the FY 2001 appropriation was \$7.5 million and the FY 2002 appropriation is \$11.25 million, \$3.75 million is therefore available in FY 2002 for these purposes. To ensure the most effective use of funds for the above-stated purposes, under this second priority the Assistant Secretary will only fund projects submitted by State educational agencies that propose to collaborate with one or more local educational agencies to carry out a coordinated set of activities to build statewide capacity to serve gifted and talented students.

**Waiver of Proposed Rulemaking:** Under the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553), the Secretary generally offers interested parties the opportunity to comment on proposed regulations. However, to make timely awards in FY 2002, the Secretary has decided to issue these final priorities without first publishing them as proposals for public comment. These priorities will apply to

the FY 2002 grant competition only. The Secretary takes this action under section 437(d)(1) of the General Education Provisions Act.

**Absolute Priorities:** Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(3) and the Jacob K. Javits Gifted and Talented Students Education Act, the Assistant Secretary gives absolute priority to applications that meet one of the following priorities and funds only applications that meet one of the absolute priorities. Each application must address one of these two priorities. Applicants cannot address both priorities in the same application. Applicants eligible to apply under both priorities must submit separate applications to address each of the priorities and the applications will be reviewed separately.

**Absolute Priority 1—Javits Demonstration Programs:** Under this absolute priority, applicants must propose projects to plan, implement, scale up, and evaluate models designed to close the achievement gap for students in underrepresented groups, including economically disadvantaged, limited English proficient, or disabled students, performing at the highest levels.

To meet this priority each project must include all of the following:

- (1) Evidence from one or more scientifically based research and evaluation studies indicating the efficacy of the proposed approach in raising achievement of underrepresented groups to high levels of achievement in one or more core subject areas.
- (2) Evidence that the applicant has significant expertise in research and program evaluation, knowledge in one or more core academic subject areas, experience working with underrepresented groups, and knowledge about gifted and talented education.
- (3) A sound plan for implementing the model in multiple settings.
- (4) A research and evaluation plan that will yield both formative and summative information on the effectiveness of the model, including student achievement data.

**Absolute Priority 2—Javits State Capacity-Building Grants:** Under this absolute priority, State educational agencies (SEAs), in collaboration with one or more local educational agencies (LEAs), must propose projects to improve services to gifted and talented students and develop the capacity of the States and LEAs to serve these students more effectively. Under this priority, applications must propose to carry out one or more of the following activities:

(1) Conducting scientifically based research on methods and techniques for identifying and teaching gifted and talented students and for using gifted and talented programs and methods for serving all students, and conducting program evaluations, surveys, and the collection, analysis, and development of information needed to accomplish the proposed project.

(2) Conducting professional development (including fellowships) for personnel (including leadership personnel) involved in the education of gifted and talented students.

(3) Establishing and operating model projects and exemplary programs for serving gifted and talented students, including innovative methods for identifying and educating students who may not be served by traditional gifted and talented programs (such as summer programs, mentoring programs, service learning programs, and cooperative programs involving business, industry, and education).

(4) Implementing innovative strategies, such as cooperative learning, peer tutoring, and service learning.

(5) Providing programs of technical assistance and information dissemination, including assistance and information with respect to how gifted and talented programs and methods, where appropriate, may be adapted for use by all students.

(6) Making materials and services available through State regional educational service centers, institutions of higher education, or other entities.

(7) Providing challenging, high-level course work, disseminated through technologies (including distance learning), for individual students or groups of students in schools and local educational agencies that would not otherwise have the resources to provide such course work.

#### Other Requirements

The Assistant Secretary directs the applicants' attention to the requirements in section 5464(a)(2) of the statute, stating that each applicant requesting support under the Javits program must describe how:

(1) The proposed gifted and talented services, materials, and methods can be adapted, if appropriate, for use by all students, and

(2) The proposed programs can be evaluated.

*Definitions:* The definitions contained in the Jacob K. Javits Gifted and Talented Students Education Act of 2001, at Title IX, Part A of the ESEA, apply to the Javits program and this competition. In particular, the Assistant

Secretary directs applicants' attention to the following definition:

*Core Academic Subjects.* The term "core academic subjects" means English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography. (20 U.S.C. 7801(11)).

*Selection Criteria:* The Assistant Secretary uses the following selection criteria to evaluate applications for new grants under this competition. Each of the two absolute priorities in this competition has separate selection criteria tailored to the specific requirements of the priority. These selection criteria are drawn from EDGAR § 75.210. In both sets of selection criteria, the maximum score for all of these criteria is 100 points. The maximum score for each criterion is indicated in parentheses.

#### *Selection Criteria for Priority 1 (Javits Demonstration Programs)*

##### (1) *Significance.* (15 points)

In determining the significance of the proposed project, the following factors are considered:

(i) The potential contribution of the proposed project to the development and advancement of theory, knowledge, and practices in the field of study.

(ii) The potential for generalizing from the findings or results of the proposed project.

##### (2) *Quality of the project design.* (20 points)

In determining the quality of the project design of the proposed project, the following factors are considered:

(i) The extent to which the proposed activities constitute a coherent, sustained program of research and development in the field, including, as appropriate, a substantial addition to an ongoing line of inquiry.

(ii) The extent to which the proposed project represents an exceptional approach to the priority established for the competition.

(iii) The quality of the methodology to be employed in the proposed project.

##### (3) *Quality of project services.* (20 points)

In determining the quality of the services to be provided by the proposed project, the quality and sufficiency of strategies for ensuring equal access and treatment for eligible project participants who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability is considered. In addition, the following factors are considered:

(i) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project are

appropriate to the needs of the intended recipients or beneficiaries of those services.

(ii) The likelihood that the services to be provided will lead to improvements in the achievement of students as measured against rigorous academic standards.

(iii) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project involve the collaboration of appropriate partners for maximizing the effectiveness of project services.

##### (4) *Quality of project personnel.* (10 points)

In determining the quality of project personnel, the extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability is considered. In addition, the following factors are considered:

(i) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of the project director or principal investigator.

(ii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of key project personnel.

##### (5) *Adequacy of resources.* (10 points)

In determining the adequacy of resources for the proposed project, the following factors are considered:

(i) The adequacy of support, including facilities, equipment, supplies and other resources, from the applicant organization or the lead applicant organization.

(ii) The extent to which the costs are reasonable in relation to the objectives, design, and potential significance of the proposed project.

##### (6) *Quality of project evaluation* (25 points)

In determining the quality of the project evaluation, the following factors are considered:

(i) The extent to which the methods of evaluation are thorough, feasible, and appropriate to the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the proposed project.

(ii) The extent to which the evaluation will provide guidance about effective strategies suitable for replication or testing in other settings.

#### *Section Criteria for Priority 2 (Javits State Capacity—Building Grants)*

##### (1) *Need for the project.* (15 points)

In determining the need for the project, the extent to which specific gaps or weaknesses in services, infrastructure, or opportunities have been identified and will be addressed by the proposed project, including the nature and magnitude of those gaps or weaknesses, is considered.

(2) *Quality of the project design.* (20 points)

In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the following factors are considered:

(i) The extent to which the goals, objectives, and outcomes to be achieved by the proposed project are clearly specified and measurable.

(ii) The extent to which the design of the proposed project is appropriate to, and will successfully address, the needs of the target population or other identified needs.

(iii) The extent to which the proposed project represents an exceptional approach to the priority established for the competition.

(3) *Quality of project services.* (15 points) In determining the quality of the services to be provided by the proposed project, the quality and sufficiency of strategies for ensuring equal access and treatment for eligible project participants who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability is considered. In addition, the following factors are considered:

(i) The extent to which the services to be provided by the proposed project reflect up-to-date knowledge from research and effective practice.

(ii) The likely impact of the services to be provided by the proposed project on the intended recipients of those services.

(4) *Quality of project personnel.* (10 points)

In determining the quality of the project personnel, the extent to which the applicant encourages applications for employment from persons who are members of groups that have traditionally been underrepresented based on race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability, is considered. In addition, the following factors are considered:

(i) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of the project director or principal investigator.

(ii) The qualifications, including relevant training and experience, of the key project personnel.

(5) *Adequacy of resources.* (10 points) The adequacy of resources for the proposed project is considered.

(6) *Quality of the management plan.* (10 points)

In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the following factors are considered:

(i) The adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within

budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks.

(ii) The adequacy of the procedures for ensuring feedback and continuous improvement in the operation of the proposed project.

(7) *Quality of the project evaluation.* (20 points) In determining the quality of the evaluation, the following factors are considered:

(i) The extent to which the methods of evaluation are thorough, feasible, and appropriate to the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the proposed project.

(ii) The extent to which the evaluation will provide guidance about effective strategies suitable for replication or testing in other settings.

#### FOR APPLICATIONS AND FURTHER

**INFORMATION CONTACT:** Emily McAdams, U.S. Department of Education, Room 5W252, 400 Maryland Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20202. Telephone: (202) 260-8753 or the following email or Internet address: [emily.mcadams@ed.gov](mailto:emily.mcadams@ed.gov)

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document, or an application package in an alternative format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed. However, the Department is not able to reproduce in an alternative format the standard forms included in the application package.

*Electronic Access to this Document:* You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: [www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister](http://www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister)

To use PDF you must have the Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO) toll free, at 1-888-293-6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512-1530.

**Note:** The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index.html>

**Program Authority:** 20 U.S.C. 7253 *et seq.*

Dated: May 21, 2002.

**Susan B. Neuman,**

*Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.*

[FR Doc. 02-13160 Filed 5-23-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity, (National Advisory Committee); Notice of Meeting Changes

**AGENCY:** National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity, Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** This notice advises interested parties of changes concerning the upcoming meeting of the National Advisory Committee and amends information provided in the original meeting notice published in the March 21, 2002 **Federal Register** (67 FR 13131).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Bonnie LeBold, the Executive Director of the National Advisory Committee on Institutional Quality and Integrity, U.S. Department of Education, room 7007, MS 7592, 1990 K St., NW., Washington, DC 20006, telephone: (202) 219-7009, fax: (202) 219-7008, e-mail: [Bonnie.LeBold@ed.gov](mailto:Bonnie.LeBold@ed.gov).

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m. Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The changes to the agenda are as follows:

(1) The National Advisory Committee meeting originally scheduled from 8:30 a.m. until 3 p.m. on Wednesday, June 5, 2002, as indicated in the March 21, 2002 **Federal Register** (67 FR 13131), will conclude at approximately 12:30 p.m.

(2) The agency listed below, which was originally scheduled for review during the National Advisory Committee's June 2002 meeting, will be postponed for review until a future meeting.

- Teacher Education Accreditation Council (Requested scope of recognition: the accreditation of professional education programs in institutions offering baccalaureate and graduate degrees for the preparation of teachers K-12)

Any third-party written comments regarding this agency that were received by March 18, 2002, in accordance with the **Federal Register** notice published on February 1, 2002, will become part of the official record, and those