DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–41,716]

Motorola, Inc., Global Telecom Solutions Sector (GTSS), Cellular Infrastructure Group, Including Temporary Workers of Adecco, North American, LLC, Fort Worth, TX; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on September 30, 2002, applicable to workers of Motorola, Inc., Global Telecom Solutions Sector (GTSS), Cellular Infrastructure Group, Fort Worth, Texas. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on October 22, 2002 (67 FR 64923).

At the request of the petitioners, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. Information provided by the company shows that temporary workers of Adecco, North American, LLC worked at Motorola, Inc., Global Telecom Solutions Sector (GTSS), Cellular Infrastructure Group to produce base stations at the Fort Worth, Texas location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include temporary workers of Adecco, North American, LLC working at Motorola, Inc., Global Telecom Solutions Sector (GTSS), Cellular Infrastructure Group, Fort Worth, Texas.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Motorola, Inc., Global Telecom Solutions Sector (GTSS), Cellular Infrastructure Group who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–41,716 is hereby issued as follows:

''All workers of Motorola, Inc., Global Telecom Solutions Sector, Cellular Infrastructure Group, Fort Worth, Texas, engaged in employment related to the production of base stations, and temporary workers of Adecco, North American, LLC, Fort Worth, Texas, producing base stations at Motorola, Inc., Global Telecom Solutions Sector, Cellular Infrastructure Group, Fort Worth, Texas, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 21, 2001, through September 30, 2004, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.''

Signed at Washington, DC, this 9th day of April 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–10131 Filed 4–23–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–51,332]

National Refractories and Minerals Corporation, Mexico, Missouri; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on March 27, 2003 in response to a worker petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at National Refractories and Minerals Corporation, Mexico, Missouri.

The petitioning worker group is included in an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 4th day of April, 2003.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–10149 Filed 4–23–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–51,023, TA–W–51,023A, TA–W–51,023B, TA–W–51,023C, TA–W–51,023D, TA–W–51,023E, TA–W–51,023F, TA–W–51,023G, TA–W–51,023H, and TA–W–51,023I]

National Steel Corporation, Mishawaka, IN; Granite City Division, Granite City, IL; Great Lakes Division, Ecorse, MI; Midwest Division, Portage, MI; ProCoil, Canton, MI; Techinical Research Center, Trenton, MI; National Steel Pellet Company, Keewatin, MI; NSL, Inc., Portage, IN; TMH, Portage, IN; Delray Connecting Railroad, Detroit, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on February 28, 2003 in response to a worker petition dated February 26, 2003 filed by a company official on behalf of workers at ten facilities of National Steel Corporation: Headquarters, Mishawaka, Indiana; Granite City Division, Granite City, Illinois; Great lakes Division, Ecorse, Michigan; Midwest Division, Portage, Michigan; ProCoil, Canton, Michigan; Technical Research Center, Trenton, Michigan; National Steel Pellet Company, Keewatin, Minnesota; NSL, Inc., Portage, Indiana; TMH, Portage, Indiana; and Delray Connecting Railroad, Detroit, Michigan.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 9th day of April 2003.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 03–10143 Filed 4–23–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–50,239]

Nestle Purina Petcare, St. Joseph, Missouri; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application February 19, 2003 the Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union (RWDSU), Local 125 requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on January 21, 2003, and published in the **Federal Register** on February 24, 2003 (68 FR 8622).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petition for the workers of Nestle Purina Petcare, St. Joseph, Missouri, was denied because the ''contributed importantly'' group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not