DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Replacement of SAMHSA Appeals Policy With an Applicant Inquiry Process.

AGENCY: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Replacement of SAMHSA Appeals Policy with an Applicant Inquiry Process.

SUMMARY: The SAMHSA Appeals System Policy was published in the **Federal Register** on June 23, 1993. Essentially, that policy provided a two-level appeals process for unsuccessful grant/cooperative agreement applicants to bring to the attention of the Agency a possible error in the grant review process.

The purpose of this notification is to inform potential applicants that effective immediately, SAMHSA is replacing the appeals process as set forth in the June 23, 1993 **Federal Register** with an inquiry policy for grant or cooperative agreement applications. This notice clarifies the previous policy and provides for technical changes as to the process and to whom inquiries, referred to in the previous policy as ''appeals,'' are to be addressed as a result of the reorganization of the agency.

Discussion

SAMHSA is committed to the maintenance of a high quality review system that promotes fairness to applicants. Toward that end, SAMHSA believes applicants should be provided an opportunity to express concerns regarding the review of their applications. Under this policy, applicants will continue to be allowed to submit a written inquiry regarding possible errors in the review process. Inquiries will be taken seriously and SAMHSA will seek to provide a fair review of the inquiry.

The inquiry process allows applicants to communicate and discuss issues which arise from perceived shortcomings or errors in the substance or procedures of peer review. In general, inquiries under this policy may address such issues as the following: perceived factual errors, oversights, or bias in the peer review; or perceived conflict of interest on the part of one or more review members. The applicant should provide specific documentation to support the issues under inquiry.

Please note that applicants are expected to provide complete and clear applications and, accordingly, this inquiry process is not intended to permit applicants to supplement their applications, nor is it meant to be used to contest the judgment of the peer reviewers. Specifically, applicants should note that the written inquiry process under this policy is NOT intended to:

- Address differences of opinion between peer reviewers and the applicant;
- Provide a mechanism for allowing applicants to submit information that was not presented in the application;
- Provide a forum for pointing out information, requested in a particular section and deemed as missing by reviewers, that was included in the wrong section or in the Appendices of the application;
- Provide a forum for review of allegations that the documentation requested of applicants could be surmised from various pieces of information provided throughout the application; nor
- Provide a forum for disputing priority score determinations in the absence of specific and substantive evidence pointing to a flawed review.

Prior to the submission of a written inquiry, applicants are strongly urged to discuss via telephone the issues regarding their peer review results with designated staff in the review office and in the Center for Mental Health Services, Center for Substance Abuse Prevention, or Center for Substance Abuse Treatment, as appropriate. SAMHSA believes that most issues will be clarified best via a verbal discussion, during which both the applicant and SAMHSA staff may ask questions and further explain the comments provided by peer review. Nevertheless, if applicants still have concerns, a written inquiry may be sent to the Director of Grant Review, Office of Program Services, SAMHSA, Room 17–89, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

Any questions regarding the new inquiry policy may be directed to Ms. Sandra Stephens, Extramural Policy Team Leader, Office of Policy, Planning, and Budget, SAMHSA, Room 12–05, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

Dated: May 27, 2003.

Charles G. Curie,

Administrator, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

[FR Doc. 03–13832 Filed 6–2–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162–20–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR 4819–N–02]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Tracking Clearance Examination in Association With the Lead Safe Housing Rule

AGENCY: Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* August 4, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Number and should be sent to: Gail N. Ward, Reports Liaison Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room P3206, Washington, DC 20410.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joey Y. Zhou, (202) 755–1785 ext. 153 (this is not a toll-free number), for copies of the proposed forms and other available documents.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department is submitting the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, amended).

This Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to spend; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

Title of Proposal: Tracking Clearance Examinations in Association with the Lead Safe Housing Rule.

OMB Control Number: None.

Need for the Information and Proposed Use: The objective of the proposal survey is to determine the

number of units that pass a lead clearance examination as a result of the Lead Safe Housing Rule (Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Federally Owned Housing and Housing Receiving Federal Assistance; 24 CFR 35, subparts B–R). This information will aid the HUD in assessing its implementation of the Rule with the goal of eliminating assisted housing with lead-based paint hazards by 2010.

Agency Form Numbers: None.

Members of Affected Public: Recipients of HUD housing assistance funds.

Total Burden Estimate (First Year):

Task	Number of respondents	Frequency of responses	Total hours of responses
Respondents 17,000	1	2	34,000
Total Estimated Burden Hours			34,000

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: May 19, 2003.

David E. Jacobs,

Director, Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control.

[FR Doc. 03–13745 Filed 6–2–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–70–M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR 4819–N–03]

Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Survey of HUD Grantees To Assess Implementation of the Lead Safe Housing Rule

AGENCY: Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

DATES: *Comments Due Date:* August 4, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Gail N. Ward, Reports Liaison Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, SW., Room P3206, Washington, DC 20410.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joey Y. Zhou, (202) 755–1785 ext. 153 (this is not a toll-free number), for copies of the proposed forms and other available documents.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department is submitting the proposed information collection to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35, amended).

The notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (2) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

Title of Proposal: Survey of HUD Grantees to Assess Implementation of the Lead Safe Housing Rule.

OMB Control Number: None.

Need for the Information and Proposed Use: Lead is a highly toxic heavy metal that adversely affects virtually every organ system in the body. Young children are particularly susceptible to the effects of lead. Childhood lead poisoning is linked to reduced intelligence, low attention span, reading and learning disabilities, juvenile delinquency, behavioral problems, and other adverse health effects. Nearly 430,000 children have excessive levels of lead in their blood, making lead poisoning a leading childhood environmental disease. A larger body of evidence shows that the most common source of lead exposure for children today is lead-based paint (LBP) in older housing and the contaminated dust and soil it generates.

In an effort to alleviate the problem of lead poisoning, Congress passed the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992, often referred to as title X. It authorized EPA, HUD, and OSHA to develop LBP regulations and conduct extensive lead hazard control work. The Final New HUD Regulation on Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Federally Owned Housing and Housing Receiving Federal Assistance, 24 CFR 35, subparts B–R, *et al.* (the ''Lead Safe Housing Rule'') was published September 15, 1999, and was fully in effect January 10, 2002. This rule established performance standards for protecting children in federally assisted housing from lead poisoning, including clearance standards that must be met to ensure that dwellings are lead-safe for their occupants.

The objective of the proposed survey is to assess the level of compliance of the Rule by recipients of HUD housing assistance funds. The information is valuable for HUD to provide compliance assistance and enforcement functions regarding the Lead-Safe Housing Rule.

Agency Form Numbers: None.

Members of Affected Public: HUD Grantees.

Total Burden Estimate (First Year):

Task	Number of respondents	Frequency of responses	Total hours of responses
Respondents 1,000	1	2	2,000
Total Estimated Burden Hours			2,000