

TABLE 165.921(f).—INFORMATION TO BE REPORTED TO THE INLAND RIVER VESSEL MOVEMENT CENTER (IRVMC) BY TOWING VESSEL OPERATORS—Continued

	24 hr contact No.	Name of vessel moving the barge(s)	Barge(s) name and official number	Type, name and amount of CDC on-board	Estimated time of departure from the fleeting area or facility	Planned route, name and location of destination of CDC barge (fleeting area or facility), including estimated time of arrival	Reporting point	Estimated time of arrival (ETA) to next reporting point (If applicable)
(2) Four hours before originating a voyage within the RNA with one or more CDC barges.	X	X	X	X	X	X	.....	X
(3) Upon dropping off one or more CDC barges at a fleeting area or facility.	.....	X	X	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
(4) Upon picking up one or more additional CDC barges from a fleeting area or facility.	.....	X	X	X	.....	.....	.....	.....
(5) At designated reporting points in table 165.921(e).	.....	X	X	If changed	.....	If changed	X	X
(6) When ETA to a reporting point varies by 6 hours from previously reported ETA.	.....	X	If changed	If changed	.....	.....	.....	X
(7) Any significant deviation from previously reported information (all that apply).	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
(8) Upon departing the RNA with a CDC barge(s).	.....	X	X	.....	.....	.....	X	.....
(9) When directed by the IRVMC .....	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

(g) *Information to be reported to the Inland River Vessel Movement Center by fleeting area managers.* Fleeting area

managers responsible for one or more CDC barges in the RNA must report the information required by this section, as

set out in table 165.921(g) to this paragraph.

TABLE 165.921(g).—INFORMATION TO BE REPORTED TO THE INLAND RIVER VESSEL MOVEMENT CENTER (IRVMC) BY FLEETING AREA MANAGERS

	24 hr contact No.	Barge(s) name and official No.	Type, name and amount of CDC on-board	Location of CDC barge (fleeting area or facility)
(1) Once daily, all CDC barges in a fleeting area .....	X	X	X	X
(2) Upon moving one or more CDC barges from one fleeting area to another fleeting area or facility, by a fleet tow boat.	.....	X	X	X
(3) Any significant deviation from previously reported information (all that apply)	X	X	X	X
(4) When directed by the IRVMC .....	X	X	X	X

(h) *Alternative reporting.* The Ninth Coast Guard District Commander may consider and approve alternative methods to be used by a reporting party to meet any reporting requirements if:

(1) The request is submitted in writing to Commander, Ninth Coast Guard District (m), 1240 E. 9th Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44199; and

(2) The alternative provides an equivalent level of the reporting that which would be achieved by the Coast Guard with the required check-in points.

(i) Deviation from this section is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Commander, Ninth Coast Guard District or the IRVMC.

Dated: July 21, 2003.

**Ronald F. Silva,**

*Rear Admiral, Coast Guard, Commander, Ninth Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 03-19362 Filed 7-25-03; 3:55 pm]

**BILLING CODE 4910-15-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[TX-164-1-7602b; FRL-7536-7]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Texas; Control of Emission of Oxides of Nitrogen From Cement Kilns

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to take direct final action on revisions to the Texas State Implementation Plan. These revisions concern Control of Air Pollution from Nitrogen Compounds, Cement Kilns. The EPA is approving these SIP revisions for cement kilns as they will contribute to attainment of the 1-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards. The EPA is approving emissions of Oxides of Nitrogen for cement kilns in accordance with the requirements of the Federal Clean Air Act.

In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comment. The EPA has explained its reasons for this approval in the preamble to the direct

final rule. If EPA receives no relevant adverse comments, the EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comment, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. The EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. Electronic comments should be sent either to [Diggs.Thomas@epa.gov](mailto:Diggs.Thomas@epa.gov) or to <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is an alternative method for submitting electronic comments to EPA. To submit comments, please follow the detailed instructions described in our direct final rulemaking document published in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**. Our Technical Support Document for this rule revision contains more information about this action.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by August 29, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to Mr. Thomas H. Diggs, Chief, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), at the EPA Region 6 Office listed below. Copies of documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. Anyone wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least two working days in advance.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), Office of Air Quality, 12124 Park 35 Circle, Austin, Texas 78753.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Alan Shar, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, telephone (214) 665-6691, and [shar.alan@epa.gov](mailto:shar.alan@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns Control of Air Pollution from nitrogen compounds, Cement kiln, Environmental protection, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: July 17, 2003.

**Lawrence Starfield,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6.*

[FR Doc. 03-19278 Filed 7-29-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[ID-02-003; FRL -7537-8]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes: Ada County/Boise, ID Area

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA, Agency, or we) proposes to rescind its earlier finding that the PM<sub>10</sub> standards promulgated on July 1, 1987 and the accompanying nonattainment designation and classification are no longer applicable in the Ada County/Boise, Idaho area, and simultaneously, to approve a PM<sub>10</sub> SIP maintenance plan for the Ada County/Boise Idaho area and to redesignate the area from nonattainment to attainment. PM<sub>10</sub> air pollution is suspended particulate matter with a diameter less than or equal to a nominal ten micrometers.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before August 29, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed and mailed to Donna Deneen, Office of Air Quality, (OAQ-107), EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington, 98101. Copies of documents relevant to this action are available for public review during normal business hours (8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.) at this same address. Comments may also be submitted electronically, or through hand delivery/courier. Detailed instructions for submitting comments are described in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section, under "How can comments be made on this rulemaking?"

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Donna Deneen, Office of Air Quality (OAQ-107), EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington, 98101, (206) 553-6706.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Table of Contents

##### I. Background

1. What is the purpose of this rulemaking?
2. What is a State Implementation Plan (SIP)?

3. What National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) are considered in today's rulemaking?
  4. What is the background information for this action?
  5. What are the air quality characteristics of the area?
  6. What criteria did EPA use to review the redesignation request and maintenance plan?
  7. How does the State show that the area has attained the PM<sub>10</sub> NAAQS?
  8. Does the area have a fully approved nonattainment SIP?
  9. Are the improvements in air quality which warrant this redesignation permanent and enforceable?
  10. Has the State met all the planning requirements applicable to this area?
  11. How does the State meet Section 110 requirements?
  12. How does the State meet Part D requirements?
  13. How does the State meet the Section 172(c) plan provisions requirements?
  14. How does the State meet Subpart 4 requirements?
  15. Has the State submitted a fully approvable maintenance plan for the area?
  16. How has the State met the attainment year emission inventory requirement?
  17. How does the State demonstrate maintenance of the PM<sub>10</sub> standards in the future?
  18. How will the State monitor air quality to verify continued attainment?
  19. What contingency measure will the State rely upon to correct any future violation of the NAAQS?
  20. How does this action affect Transportation Conformity?
- II. How Can Comments Be Made on This Rulemaking?
- III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

## I. Background

### 1. What Is the Purpose of This Rulemaking?

This rulemaking proposes to take certain actions related to the PM<sub>10</sub> designation and classification of the Ada County/Boise, Idaho area.<sup>1</sup> First, EPA is proposing to rescind the March 12, 1999 finding (64 FR 12257) that the PM<sub>10</sub> standards promulgated on July 1, 1987 (52 FR 24634) and the accompanying designation and classification for PM<sub>10</sub> no longer apply in the Ada County/Boise, Idaho area. The intended effect of this proposal is to restore the applicability of the current PM<sub>10</sub> standards in the Ada County/Boise, Idaho area as well as the nonattainment designation and moderate classification associated with those standards. Secondly, EPA is proposing to approve the PM<sub>10</sub>

<sup>1</sup> Although the State's maintenance plan and redesignation request refers to "Northern Ada County," we are using the term "Ada County/Boise, Idaho" or "Ada County/Boise, Idaho area" for consistency with 40 CFR 81.313.