view by their competitors without recourse to service contracts or a broad-ranging tariff exemption and to ameliorate the marketplace dysfunction caused by the transparent/ opaque rate dichotomy that exists in the trade today.

In order for the Commission to make a thorough evaluation of the Petition, interested persons are requested to submit views or arguments in reply to the petition no later than October 10, 2003. Replies shall consist of an original and 15 copies, be directed to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Washington, DC 20573-0001, and be served on Petitioner's counsel Leonard L. Fleisig, Esq., Troutman Sanders LLP, 401 Ninth Street, NW., Suite 1000, Washington, DC 20004. It is also requested that a copy of the reply be submitted in electronic form (WordPerfect, Word or ASCII) on diskette or e-mailed to secretary@fmc.gov. The Petition will be posted on the Commission's Home page at http://www.fmc.gov/Docket%20Log/ Docket%20Log%20Index.htm. All replies filed in response to the Petition will also be posted on the Commission's Home page at this location.¹ Copies of the Petition also may be obtained by sending a request to the Office of the Secretary, Room 1046, or by calling (202) 523-5725. Parties participating in this proceeding may elect to receive service of the Commission's issuances in this proceeding through e-mail in lieu of service by U.S. mail. A party opting for electronic service shall advise the Office of the Secretary in writing and provide an e-mail address where service can be made. Such request should be directed to secretary@fmc.gov.

Bryant L. VanBrakle,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–24183 Filed 9–22–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6730–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Petition P3-03]

Petition of United Parcel Service, Inc. for Exemption Pursuant to Section 16 of the Shipping Act of 1984 To Permit Negotiation, Entry and Performance of Service Contracts; Extension of Time

Notice is hereby given that the Commission has determined to extend the due date for comments in reply to Petition No. P3–03 until October 10, 2003.

Bryant L. VanBrakle,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–24188 Filed 9–22–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6730–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

Notices of Approval of New Animal Drug Applications; Correction

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is correcting two documents that provided notice of the approval of new animal drug applications (NADAs) and abbreviated new animal drug applications (ANADAs). FDA is correcting the chemical entities listed in the subject lines of both documents that were transposed during document preparation. The address for one of the drug sponsors is also being corrected. These corrections are being made to improve the accuracy of the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George K. Haibel, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV–6), Food and Drug Administration, 7519 Standish Pl., Rockville, MD 20855, 301–827–4567, email: ghaibel@cvm.fda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 03–17262, published on July 9, 2003 (68 FR 40984), the following correction is made:

1. On page 40984, in the first column, in the title, "Clindamycin" is corrected to read "Bacitracin; Lasalocid; Narasin; Roxarsone".

In FR Doc. 03–17438, published on July 10, 2003 (68 FR 41161), the following corrections are made:

1. On page 41161, in the third column, in the title, "Bacitracin; Lasalocid; Narasin; Roxarsone" is corrected to read "Clindamycin"; and

2. On page 41161, in the third column, in the second paragraph of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section, the address for Delmarva Laboratories, Inc., is corrected to read "1500 Huguenot Rd., suite 106, Midlothian, VA 23113".

Dated: September 15, 2003.

Linda Tollefson,

Deputy Director, Center for Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc. 03–24158 Filed 9–22–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–S

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Agreement Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, Clean Air Act, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, and Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code

Notice is hereby given that on September 17, 2003, a proposed Settlement Agreement was lodged with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York in In re Bethlehem Steel Corporation, et al., Chapter 11 Case Nos. 01–15288 (BRL) through 01-15302, 01-15308. The proposed Settlement Agreement would resolve civil claims alleged in two proofs of claim filed by the United States against Bethlehem Steel Corporation and its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, Debtors) in the Bankruptcy cases: A September 25, 2002, Proof of Claim filed on behalf of the U.S. Army, U.S. Navy, U.S. Air Force, and General Service Administration (GSA) relating to the Consent Decree judgment entered in Duffy Brothers Construction Co., Inc. v. American Airlines, Inc. (D. Mass. 1997); and a September 30, 2002, Proof of Claim filed on behalf of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Department of Commerce (on behalf of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)), and the Department of Agriculture (on behalf of the USDA Forest Service), asserting various liabilities against Debtors under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), the Clean Air Act, and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA).

Under the proposed settlement, the United States would resolve the following claims: Claims of the United States pertaining to Bethlehem Steel's liability under CERCLA relating to six EPA CERCLA sites in Regions 3,5,7, and 9 and one USDA Forest Service CERCLA site in Montana; a claim of the United States filed on behalf of the U.S. Army, U.S. Navy, U.S. Air Force, and GSA for Bethelehem's liability relating to a CERCLA consent decree; and claims of the United States on behalf of EPA asserting liability to Bethlehem Steel for civil penalties under the Clean Air Act and the RCRA.

The United States would receive the following claims in the Bankruptcy under the proposed Settlement Agreement: (1) Allowed secured claims totaling \$200,000 and allowed general unsecured claims totaling \$2,492,163.10

¹Copies of replies to Petition Nos. P3–03, P5–03, P8–03, and P9–03 are also available on the Commission's homepage at the address listed above.

for Debtors' liability under CERCLA for response costs incurred and to be incurred by EPA in connection with the following Superfund sites: the Breslube-Penn Superfund Site in Coraopolis, Pennsylvania; the Spectron Superfund *Site* in Elkton, Maryland; the Conservation Chemical Company of Illinois, Inc. Site in Gary, Indiana; the PCB Treatment, Inc. Superfund Site, including one facility in Kansas City, Kansas and another facility in Kansas City, Missouri; the Operating Industries, Inc. Superfund Site in Monterey Park, California; and the Waste Disposal, Inc. Superfund Site in Santa Fe Springs, California; (2) an allowed secured claim of \$125,000 and an allowed general unsecured claim of \$250,000 for Debtors; liability under CERCLA for response costs incurred and to be incurred by the USDA Forest Service in connection with the Elkhorn Mine and Mill Site near Wise River, Montana; (3) an allowed general unsecured claim for \$30,000 for Debtors' liability for civil penalties for prepetition RCRA violations at the Bethlehem Lukens Plate Division in Coatesville, Pennsylvania; (4) an allowed administrative expense claim for \$165,000 and an allowed general unsecured claim for \$500,000 for Debtors' liability for civil penalties for prepetition and postpetition violations of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq. (CAA), and regulations promulgated thereunder, at Bethlehem's Burns Harbor facility in Porter County, Indiana; and (5) an allowed general unsecured claim for \$137,191.11 resolving Debtors' liability relating to the Consent Decree judgment in *Duffy* Brothers Construction Co., Inc. v. American Airlines, Inc. (D. Mass 1997).

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the Settlement Agreement for a period of twenty (20) days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044, and should refer to In re Bethlehem Steel Corporation, et al. (Case Nos. 01-15288 (BRL) through 01-15308)(D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-07678). Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with Section 7003(d) of RCRA 42 U.S.C. 6973(d).

The Settlement Agreement may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, 33 Whitehall Street (8th Floor) New York, New York 10004, and at the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. During the public comment period, the Agreement may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http:// www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html. A copy of the Settlement Agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax number (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$8.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Benjamin Fisherow,

Deputy Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 03–24190 Filed 9–18–03; 9:46 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

U.S. Marshals Service

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: U.S. Marshals Service, Department of Justice. **ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

SUMMARY: Notice of Intent to Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for development of a contract detention facility to house persons in the custody of the U.S. Department of Justice. The contract detention facility is proposed to be located within a 50-mile radius of the U.S. Courthouse located at 1300 Victoria in Laredo, Texas.

Background

The United States Marshals Service (USMS) is the nation's oldest and most versatile federal law enforcement agency. Created by the Judiciary Act of 1789, the same legislation that established the federal judicial system, the USMS has served the nation through a variety of vital law enforcement activities. The Director, Deputy Director and 94 U.S. Marshals (appointed by the President or the Attorney General) direct the activities of 95 district offices and personnel stationed at more than 350 locations throughout the 50 states and U.S. territories. The USMS occupies a uniquely central position in the federal justice system and is involved in

virtually every federal law enforcement initiative. Approximately 4,000 Deputy Marshals and career employees perform a variety of nationwide, day-to-day missions.

During the past decade, the federal detainee population has experienced unprecedented growth as a result of expanded federal law enforcement initiatives and resources. The detainee population has increased by almost 1,000 percent, from approximately 4,000 in 1981 to over 45,000 today. These prisoners are being housed in a combination of local, state, federal and private facilities around the country. However, the growth in the detainee population is occurring at the same time that available space in local jails is decreasing. Local jail space is increasingly needed to house local offenders, leaving less space available for the contractual accommodation of federal detainees. These trends are projected to continue for the foreseeable future and present a major challenge for federal agencies such as the USMS to house detainees.

Faced with severe shortages in state and local bed space, especially in major metropolitan areas (federal court cities), as well as court-ordered caps on prisoner populations, the USMS is finding it increasingly difficulty to local bedspace in state and local jails that have traditionally been used to house federal prisoners. Consequently, the USMS periodically contracts with the private sector for detention services or must house detainees farther and farther from their respective federal court cities. The resultant long-distance movement of federal detainees requires substantial amounts of USMS time and resources, and strains the Justice Prisoner and Alien Transportation System to its limit.

Proposed Action

The USMS has determined that there is a need to house up to 2,800 federal detainees within the Laredo, Texas area. The high level of USMS and U.S. Department of Homeland Security activity in the southwestern United States in general and Texas in particular requires more beds than are readily available in local or state facilities. The USMS has a particular need for detention facilities to be located near federal courthouses because of its responsibility to detain those individuals accused of violating federal laws.

In response to this need, the USMS is seeking to contract with a private detention contractor to provide a contractor-owned and operated facility capable of housing 2,800 detained individuals charged with federal