paperboard, or paper mache. The scope of the order also excludes those gift boxes for which no side of the box, when assembled, is at least nine inches in length.

Certain folding gift boxes are typically decorated with a holiday motif using various processes, including printing, embossing, debossing, and foil stamping, but may also be plain white or printed with a single color. The subject merchandise includes certain folding gift boxes, with or without handles, whether finished or unfinished, and whether in one-piece or multi-piece configuration. One-piece gift boxes are die-cut or otherwise formed so that the top, bottom, and sides form a single, contiguous unit. Two-piece gift boxes are those with a folded bottom and a folded top as separate pieces. Certain folding gift boxes are generally packaged in shrink-wrap, cellophane, or other packaging materials, in single or multi-box packs for sale to the retail customer. The scope of the order excludes folding gift boxes that have a retailer's name, logo, trademark or similar company information printed prominently on the box's top exterior (such folding gift boxes are often known as ''not-for-resale'' gift boxes or ''give-away'' gift boxes and may be provided by department and specialty stores at no charge to their retail customers). The scope of the order also excludes folding gift boxes where both the outside of the box is a single color and the box is not packaged in shrink-wrap, cellophane, other resin-based packaging films, or paperboard.

Imports of the subject merchandise are classified under *Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States* (HTSUS) subheadings 4819.20.00.40 and 4819.50.40.60. These subheadings also cover products that are outside the scope of the order. Furthermore, although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of the Comments Received

No parties submitted comments on the preliminary results of review. Accordingly, there is no concurrent issues and decision memorandum or analysis memorandum issued with these final results of review. Further, we have made no changes in the calculations since the preliminary results of review.

Final Results of Review

We determine the following percentage weighted-average dumping margins exist for folding gift boxes for the period August 6, 2001, through December 31, 2002:

Exporter/manufacturer	Margin (percent)
Red Point Paper Products Co., Ltd	0.00
PRC-wide rate (including Yun Choy, Ltd.)	164.75

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we have calculated, whenever possible, an exporter/importer (or customer)-specific assessment value for subject merchandise. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review. We will direct CBP to assess the resulting assessment rates against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise on each of the importer's entries during the review period.

Cash-Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of folding gift boxes entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash-deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates established above; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not listed above, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) the cash deposit rate for all other PRC exporters (except for Max Fortune, which was excluded from the antidumping duty order) will be the ''PRC-wide'' rate; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other non-PRC exporters will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that exporter.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: December 17, 2003.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E3–00614 Filed 12–22–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–201–802]

Gray Portland Cement and Clinker From Mexico; Notice of Extraordinary Challenge Committee's Final Decision and Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Extraordinary Challenge Committee's final decision and amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On October 30, 2003, the Extraordinary Challenge Committee issued its decision to deny the Department of Commerce's April 13, 2000, extraordinary challenge petition with respect to a determination made by the Binational Panel in the final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and clinker from Mexico covering the period August 1, 1994, through July 31, 1995. As there is now a final and conclusive decision in this case, we are amending the amended final results of review and we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to liquidate entries subject to this review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 23, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hermes Pinilla or Mark Ross, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3477 or (202) 482–4794, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 9, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and clinker from Mexico (62 FR 17148) (amended May 5, 1997) [1] (*Fifth Review Final Results*).

CEMEX, S.A. de C.V. (CEMEX), GCC Cemento, S.A. de C.V. (GCCC) [2], and the Southern Tier Cement Committee (the petitioner) contested various aspects of the Department's *Fifth Review Final Results*. On June 18, 1999, the Binational Panel (the Panel) issued an order remanding to the Department the *Fifth Review Final Results*. Specifically, the Panel instructed the Department to implement the following: (1) Exclude the respondents' home-market sales of bagged Type I cement from the foreign like product in the calculation of normal value; (2) re-examine the record evidence to determine whether a constructed-export-price offset should be granted; (3) recalculate the difference-in-merchandise adjustment to reflect the exclusion of home-market sales of bagged cement; (4) correct certain ministerial errors.

On November 15, 1999, the Department issued the final results of redetermination on remand, and on February 10, 2000, the Panel affirmed these results and dismissed the case. *See* Secretariat File No. USA–97–1904–01. On April 30, 2000, the Department filed an extraordinary challenge petition with the Extraordinary Challenge Committee (ECC). On October 30, 2003, the ECC determined that the Department's petition did not meet the criteria required for an extraordinary challenge review and thus denied the Department's petition. Therefore, as there is now a final and conclusive ECC decision in this action, we are amending our amended final results of review and we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (Customs) to liquidate entries subject to this review.

Amendment to Amended Final Results

Pursuant to section 516A(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), we are now amending the amended final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and clinker from Mexico for the period August 1, 1994, through July 31, 1995. Based on the final results of redetermination on remand, the weighted-average antidumping margin for CEMEX and GCCC changes from 73.69 percent to 44.89 percent.

The Department will determine and Customs will assess appropriate antidumping duties on entries of the subject merchandise exported by firms covered by this review.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with section 516A(g) of the Act.

Dated: December 17, 2003.

James J. Jochum,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E3–00615 Filed 12–22–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

[1] *See Gray Portland Cement and Clinker from Mexico: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,* 62 FR 24414 (May 5, 1997).

[2] Cementos de Chihuahua, S.A. de C.V., was GCCC's formal name during this segment of the proceeding.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–820]

Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India: Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: In response to requests from Indian producers/exporters of the subject merchandise, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products (HRS) from India. The review covers one producer/exporter of subject merchandise during the period of review (POR), May 3, 2001, through November 30, 2002. The Department has preliminarily determined that no dumping margin exists for the manufacturer/exporter during the POR. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties as appropriate. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 23, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Timothy P. Finn or Kevin Williams, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office IV, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0065 or (202) 482–2371, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 3, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on HRS from India. *See Notice of Amended Final Antidumping Duty Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from India*, 66 FR 60194 (December 3, 2001) (*Amended Final Determination*). On December 2, 2002, the Department published a notice of ''Opportunity to Request Administrative Review'' of the antidumping duty order on HRS from India. *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 67 FR 71533 (December 2, 2002). On December 30 and 31, 2002, Essar Steel Ltd. (Essar) and Tata Iron and Steel Company Ltd. (Tata), Indian producers/exporters of subject merchandise, requested administrative reviews of their entries during the POR. On January 15, 2003, the Department initiated an administrative review of Essar and Tata. National Steel Corporation, Nucor Corporation, and United States Steel Corporation, petitioners in this proceeding, did not request an administrative review. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 68 FR 3009 (January 22, 2003).

On January 3, 2003, the Department issued an antidumping questionnaire to Essar and Tata. The Department received Essar's responses to the questionnaire in January and February 2003. On January 15, 2003, Essar requested that it be allowed to report cost and home market sales information for periods other than the POR. On February 25, 2003, the Department allowed Essar to limit the reporting period for its home market sales to the period May 1, 2002, through January 31, 2003. On March 5, 2003, Tata withdrew its request for an administrative review.