tugboats (HTSUS 8901.90), double–hulled liquid barges (HTSUS 8901.20) and articulating tug barges for domestic and international customers. Foreign components that would be used at the shipyard (up to 30% of total purchases) include: diesel engines (HTSUS 8408.10), sterntubes (8483.30), reduction gears (8483.40), shaft grounding systems and seals (8483.90), generators (8501.62 , 8501.63), overfill alarms (8531.90), tank washing machines (8537.10), valve remote operators (8537.10), tank gauging systems (8537.10), and ACCU automation/steering systems (8537.10) (duty rates: 1.3 4.5%). The request indicates that Senesco will not admit any foreign–origin steel mill products to the zone for use in FTZ manufacturing activity.

FTZ procedures would exempt Senesco from Customs duty payments on the foreign components used in export activity. On its domestic sales, the company would be able to choose the duty rate that applies to finished oceangoing vessels (duty free) for the foreign–origin components noted above. Duties would be deferred or reduced on foreign production equipment admitted by Senesco to the zone until which time it becomes operational. The manufacturing activity conducted under FTZ procedures would be subject to the ''standard shipyard restriction'' applicable to foreign–origin steel mill products (e.g., angles, pipe, plate), which requires that full Customs duties be paid on such items.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at one of the following addresses:

1. Submissions via Express/Package Delivery Services: Foreign–Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building 4100W, 1099 14th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005; or,

2. Submissions via the U.S. Postal Service: Foreign–Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, FCB 4100W, 1401 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230.

The closing period for their receipt is May 4, 2005.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Foreign–Trade Zones Board's Executive Secretary at address No. 1 listed above.

Dated: March 25, 2005.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05–6649 Filed 4–1–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A–427–801, A–428–801, A–475–801, A–588–804, A–559–801, A–412–801

Antifriction Bearings and Parts Thereof From France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, and the United Kingdom: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 4, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janis Kalnins or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1392 or (202) 482–4477, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

At the request of interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on antifriction bearings and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, and the United Kingdom for the period May 1, 2003, through April 30, 2004. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 69 FR 39409 (June 30, 2004). The

preliminary results of reviews are currently due no later than April 1, 2005. See *Antifriction Bearings and Parts Thereof From France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, and the United Kingdom: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews*, 70 FR 3676 (January 26, 2005).

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Reviews

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of these reviews within the original time limit because of the number of companies involved in these reviews, the complex issues surrounding the model–match methodology, and the additional time we need to conduct verifications. Therefore, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of these reviews by an additional 26 days, until April 27, 2005, which is 331 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the order.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: March 29, 2005.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–1491 Filed 4–1–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A–583–008)

Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Taiwan: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstance Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received information sufficient to warrant initiation of a changed circumstance review of the antidumping order of certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Taiwan. *See Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Taiwan: Antidumping Duty Order*, 49 FR 19369 (May 7, 1984). In response to this request made by Yieh Phui Enterprise Co., Ltd. (Yieh Phui), the Department is initiating a changed circumstance review to determine whether Yieh Phui is the successor–in-interest to Yieh Hsing Enterprise Co, Ltd (Yieh Hsing).

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 4, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angela Strom or Robert James at (202) 482–2704 or (202) 482–0649, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave. NW, Washington DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In the context of the 2002–2003 administrative review of circular welded carbon steel pipe and tubes from Taiwan, the respondent,Yieh Hsing, had requested the Department to initiate a changed circumstance review to determine whether Yieh Phui is the successor–in-interest to Yieh Hsing. *See* Yieh Hsing Section A Questionnaire response dated September 11, 2003 (which will be made available upon publication of this notice in the Central Records Unit (CRU) at the Department of Commerce). At that time, the Department did not find the information in the request sufficient to warrant initiation of a changed circumstance review. *See* Letter from the Department to Yieh Hsing dated November 14, 2003 (also available upon the publication of this notice in CRU). On September 30, 2004, the Department published the final results of the administrative review and assigned a cash deposit rate of 1.61 percent to Yieh Hsing for sales of subject merchandise to the United States. *See Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 58390 (September 30, 2004).

On February 15, 2005, Yieh Phui requested the Department to conduct an expedited changed circumstances review of the order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Taiwan with respect to Yieh Phui. In the request, Yieh Phui included information relating to current and former operations of Yieh Phui and Yieh Hsing and provided documentation relating to Yieh Phui's acquisition of Yieh Hsing's steel pipe production facilities. Accordingly, Yieh Phui asked the Department to find Yieh Phui as the successor–in-interest to Yieh Hsing and to accord Yieh Phui the same antidumping duty treatment as its predecessor with respect to subject merchandise.

Scope of the Order

Imports covered by the order are shipments of certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes. The Department defines such merchandise as welded carbon steel pipes and tubes of circular cross section, with walls not thinner than 0.065 inch and 0.375 inch or more but not over 4.5 inches in outside diameter. These products are commonly referred to in the industry as ''standard pipe'' and are produced to various American Society for Testing Materials specifications, most notably A–53, A–120 and A–135. Standard pipe is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item subheadings 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, and 7306.30.5055. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.

Initiation of Changed Circumstance Review

Pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, the Department will conduct a changed circumstance review upon request from an interested party or receipt of information concerning an antidumping duty order, which shows changed circumstances exist to warrant a review of the order. Pursuant to Yieh Phui's request dated February 15, 2005, the Department is initiating a changed circumstance review to determine whether Yieh Phui is the successor–in-interest to Yieh Hsing for purposes of determining antidumping liability with respect to imports of subject merchandise from Taiwan produced and exported by Yieh Phui.

In making a successor–in-interest determination, the Department examines several factors, including, but not limited to, changes in: 1) management; 2) production facilities; 3) supplier relationships; and 4) customer base. *See Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review: Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan*, 69 FR 67890 (November 22, 2004) citing, *Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 57 FR 20460 (May 13, 1992) (*Brass Sheet*). While no single factor or a combination of these factors will necessarily provide a dispositive indication, the Department will generally consider the new company to be the successor to the previous company if its resulting operation is not materially dissimilar to that of its predecessor. *See e.g., Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 59 FR 6944 (February 14, 1994), *Canadian Brass*, and *Certain Preserved Mushrooms from India: Final Results of Changed–Circumstances Review*, 68 FR 6884 (February 11, 2003). If evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same entity as the former company, the Department will treat the successor company the same as the predecessor for antidumping purposes. *See Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon from Norway: Final Results of Changed Circumstance Antidumping Administrative Review*, 64 FR 9979 (March 1, 1999).

While Yieh Phui claims it has been operating the steel pipe operations as the same entity as Yieh Hsing, the Department determines that Yieh Phui has not provided *prima facie* evidence that Yieh Phui is the successor–in-interest to Yieh Hsing. Because we find deficiencies in the information provided by Yieh Phui, we will collect additional information in the context of this review.

Section 351.211 (c)(3)(ii) of the Department's regulations permits the Department to combine the notice of initiation of a changed circumstance review and the notice of preliminary results in a single notice if the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted. As noted, although the Department finds the information submitted by Yieh Phui sufficient to warrant the initiation of a changed circumstance review, we do not find the information sufficient to make a preliminary finding. Because the record supporting Yieh Phui's claim is deficient, we find that expedited action is impracticable. Thus, the Department is not issuing the preliminary results of this antidumping duty changed circumstances review at this time.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty changed circumstance review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4) and 19 CFR 351.221 (c)(3)(i). This notice will set forth the factual and legal conclusions upon which our preliminary results are based and a description of any action proposed based on those results. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4)(ii), interested parties will have an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of this review. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216 (e), the Department will issue the final results of its antidumping duty changed circumstance review not later than 270 days after the date on which the review is initiated.

During the course of this antidumping duty changed circumstance review, we will not change the cash deposit requirements for the merchandise subject to review. The cash deposit will only be altered, if warranted, pursuant to the final results of this review.

This notice of initiation is in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(1).

Dated: March 24, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–1489 Filed 4–1–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–351–828]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Certain Hot–Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Brazil

AGENCY: AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 4, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Helen Kramer or Kristin Najdi at (202) 482–0405 or (202) 482–8221, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 27, 2004, Companhia Siderúrgica de Tubarão (CST) requested that the Department conduct a new shipper review of its exports to the United States during the period March 1, 2004, through August 31, 2004. On October 28, 2004, the Department published the notice initiating a new shipper review of CSN. *See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 69 FR 62866 (October 28, 2004). The preliminary results are currently due not later than April 20, 2005.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1) require the Department to issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated and final results of a review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. The Department may, however, extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a new shipper review to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. *See* 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2). The Department has determined that additional time is necessary to complete the preliminary results because issues raised in the cost investigation and the scheduling of sales and cost verifications make this case extraordinarily complicated. Therefore, the preliminary results of this new shipper review cannot be completed within the statutory time limit of 180 days.

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 315.214(i)(2) allow the Department to extend the deadline for the preliminary results of a new shipper review to 300 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated. For the reasons noted above, we are extending the time for the completion of preliminary results until no later than August 18, 2005. The deadline for the final results will continue to be 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued.

Dated: March 29, 2005.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–1488 Filed 4–1–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE: 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Applications for Duty–Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 05–014.

Applicant: Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030.

Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM–2100.

Manufacturer: JEOL, Ltd., Japan.

Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to study 3–dimensional structures, with 3 to 6 angstrom resolution, of materials to include proteins, viruses and receptors which are involved in a variety of biological processes including catalytic reactions, viral morphogenesis, signal transduction and molecular transport. Properties of materials to be studied have a tendency to form higher–order aggregates, which are radiation sensitive to the incident electrons. Specimens will be kept hydrated at 25–50 degrees K which is optimal for reducing microscope radiation.

Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 7, 2005.

Docket Number: 05–015.

Applicant: Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030.

Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM–3200FSC.

Manufacturer: JEOL, Ltd., Japan.

Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to study 3–dimensional structures, with 3 to 6 angstrom resolution, of materials to include proteins, viruses and receptors which are involved in a variety of biological processes including catalytic reactions, viral morphogenesis, signal transduction and molecular transport. Properties of materials to be studied have a tendency to form higher–order aggregates, which are radiation sensitive to the incident electrons. Specimens will be kept hydrated at 25–50 degrees K which is optimal for reducing microscope radiation.

Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: March 7,2005.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. E5–1493 Filed 4–1–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Vermont; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty–Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce,