

APPENDIX—Continued

[Petitions instituted between 05/16/2005 and 05/20/2005]

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
57,191	Intradeco Apparel (NPS)	Medley, FL	05/17/2005	05/17/2005
57,192	Laser Tool Co. (Wkrs)	Saegertown, PA	05/17/2005	05/17/2005
57,193	DAP Technologies Corp. (Wkrs)	Plattsburgh, NY	05/17/2005	05/12/2005
57,194	Hampden Corp. (Comp)	Chicago, IL	05/17/2005	05/10/2005
57,195	True Value Company (Comp)	Cary, IL	05/17/2005	05/09/2005
57,196	K and T Jewelry Accessories, Inc. (Wkrs)	Providence, RI	05/17/2005	05/09/2005
57,197	Penn Ventilation, Inc. (Wkrs)	Tabor City, NC	05/17/2005	05/06/2005
57,198	Neasi-Weber International (Wkrs)	Houston, TX	05/17/2005	05/04/2005
57,199	AMETEK U.S. Gauge Division (Comp)	Sellerville, PA	05/17/2005	05/06/2005
57,200	Gillette Company (The) (Comp)	Lexington, NC	05/18/2005	05/16/2005
57,201	CDI Business Solutions (Wkrs)	Corvallis, OR	05/18/2005	05/17/2005
57,202	Frederick Cooper Lamps (Comp)	Chicago, IL	05/18/2005	05/17/2005
57,203	Assembly Services and Packaging, Inc. (Comp)	Hudson, WI	05/18/2005	05/17/2005
57,204	Intermark Fabric Corp. (State)	Plainfield, CT	05/18/2005	05/17/2005
57,205	Royal Oak Enterprises (Wkrs)	White City, OR	05/18/2005	05/17/2005
57,206	Motor Components, LLC (Comp)	Elmira, NY	05/18/2005	05/18/2005
57,207	Storage Tek (State)	Brooklyn Park, MN	05/18/2005	05/17/2005
57,208	Wiremold/Legrand (Comp)	Philadelphia, PA	05/19/2005	05/18/2005
57,209	General Dynamics (Wkrs)	Imperial, CA	05/19/2005	04/21/2005
57,210	Printonix, Inc. (State)	Irvine, CA	05/19/2005	05/18/2005
57,211	Aerotek (State)	Portland, OR	05/19/2005	05/18/2005
57,212	TRW Automotive (NPC)	El Paso, TX	05/19/2005	05/18/2005
57,213	Sandisk Corporation (State)	Sunnyvale, CA	05/19/2005	05/18/2005
57,214	Omnova Solutions, Inc. (USWA)	Jeannette, PA	05/19/2005	05/06/2005
57,215	Plastic Dress-up Co. (Comp)	S. Eli Monnet, CA	05/19/2005	04/26/2005
57,216	Gilmour Manufacturing (Comp)	Somerset, PA	05/19/2005	05/18/2005
57,217	Wade Manufacturing Co. (Comp)	Wadesboro, NC	05/19/2005	05/18/2005
57,218	Frank L. Wells Co. Wellsco Control Inc. (Wkrs)	Kenosha, WI	05/19/2005	05/19/2005
57,219	Riverside Paper Co. (PACE)	Appleton, WI	05/19/2005	05/19/2005
57,220	Geiger of Austria, Inc. (Comp)	Middlebury, VT	05/19/2005	05/12/2005
57,221	Texas Boot, Inc. (Comp)	Waynesboro, TN	05/19/2005	05/03/2005
57,222	Culp Finishing (Wkrs)	Burlington, NC	05/19/2005	05/12/2005
57,223	Ward Products, LLC (IBEW)	Amsterdam, NY	05/19/2005	05/11/2005
57,224	Meridan Automotive Systems (Wkrs)	Canandaigua, NY	05/19/2005	05/10/2005
57,225	Screen and Stitch, Inc. (Comp)	Park Falls, WI	05/20/2005	05/16/2005
57,226	Danly IEM (State)	Ionia, MI	05/20/2005	05/02/2005
57,227	Black Box Network Services (Wkrs)	Corvallis, OR	05/20/2005	05/18/2005
57,228	St. John Knits (State)	San Ysidro, CA	05/20/2005	05/19/2005
57,229	Renaissance Mart (Wkrs)	Bowling Green, KY	05/20/2005	05/16/2005
57,230	Lear Corporation (UAW)	Monroe, MI	05/20/2005	05/19/2005
57,231	AMI Doduco (Comp)	Reidsville, NC	05/20/2005	05/06/2005

[FR Doc. E5-3040 Filed 6-10-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training
Administration

[TA-W-56,633]

Syracuse China, Syracuse, NY; Notice
of Revised Determination on
Reconsideration of Alternative Trade
Adjustment Assistance

By letter dated May 19, 2005, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration regarding Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) applicable to workers of the subject firm. The negative determination was signed on March 31, 2005, and was

published in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 2005 (70 FR 22712).

The workers of Syracuse China, Syracuse, New York were certified eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) on March 31, 2005.

The initial ATAA investigation determined that the skills of the subject worker group are easily transferable to other positions in the local area.

Upon further contacts with the company official it was confirmed that the skills of the workers at the subject firm are not easily transferable in the local commuting area.

Additional investigation has determined that the workers possess skills that are not easily transferable. A significant number or proportion of the worker group are age fifty years or over. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that the requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, have been met for workers at the subject firm.

In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Syracuse China, Syracuse, New York, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 8, 2004 through March 31, 2007, are eligible to apply for trade adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 2nd day of June, 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-3029 Filed 6-10-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,701]

Twigs & Ivy Boutique, Potosi, MO; Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of April 14, 2005, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility for workers and former workers of the subject firm to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). The denial notice was signed on May 2, 2005, (70 FR 22710).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The TAA petition, which was filed on behalf of workers at Twigs & Ivy Boutique, Potosi, Missouri engaged in the production of floral arrangements, was denied based on the findings that during the relevant time period, the subject company did not separate or threaten to separate a significant number or proportion of workers, as required by Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner alleges that there was an additional employee of Twigs & Ivy Boutique who was mistakenly omitted from the employment list originally submitted to the Department by the company official.

This alleged employee was contacted by the Department to confirm the above statement. The employee stated that she worked for Twigs & Ivy Boutique, Potosi, Missouri in 2002.

When assessing eligibility for TAA, the Department exclusively considers the relevant employment data for the

facility where the petitioning worker group was employed. The relevant period represents four quarters back from the date of the petition, thus data from 2002 is irrelevant in this investigation. As fewer than three workers were impacted at the subject firm during the relevant time period, employment threshold requirement as outlined in Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 was not met.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 27th day of May, 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-3030 Filed 6-10-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice: 05-105]

Notice of Information Collection

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of information collection.

SUMMARY: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: All comments should be submitted within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Kathy Shaeffer, Mail Suite 6M70, Office of the Chief Information Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546-0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Kathy Shaeffer, Acting NASA Reports Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer, NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street SW., Mail

Suite 6M70, Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358-1230, *kathleen.shaeffer-1@nasa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

NASA Johnson Space Center is licensed to collect and maintain records of radioactive material used for space-related research and space vehicles at temporary job sites in the U.S. Information collected includes descriptions, transfer, location, and disposition of materials and records of accountability and responsibility. Respondents are NASA field centers and NASA contractors, subcontractors, and vendors.

II. Method of Collection

NASA collects this information electronically where feasible, but information may also be collected by mail or fax.

III. Data

Title: Radioactive Material Transfer Receipt.

OMB Number: 2700-0007.

Type of review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions; Federal Government; State, local or tribal government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 25.

Estimated Time Per Response: approximately 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 10.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NASA, including whether the information collected has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of NASA's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including automated collection techniques or the use of other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection. They will also become a matter of public record.