that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon* v. *Hodel,* 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc.* v. *Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: August 3, 2005.

Arthur J. Currier,

District Ranger.

[FR Doc. 05–16123 Filed 8–12–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Notice of Lincoln County Resource Advisory Committee Meeting

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the authorities in the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463) and under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106–393) the Kootenai National Forest's Lincoln County Resource Advisory Committee will meet on Tuesday, September 6, 2005 at 6 p.m. at the Forest Supervisor's Office in Libby, Montana for a business meeting. The meeting is open to the public.

DATES: September 6, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Forest Supervisor's Office, 1101 U.S. Hwy. 2 West, Libby, Montana.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara Edgmon, Committee Coordinator, Kootenai National Forest at (406) 293–6211, or e-mail *bedgmon@fs.fed.us*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Agenda topics include review and selection of submitted proposals for funding in fiscal year 2006, and receiving public comment. If the meeting date or location is changed, notice will be posted in the local newspapers, including the Daily Interlake based in Kalispell, Montana.

Dated: August 5, 2005.

Bob Castaneda,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 05–16106 Filed 8–12–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A–588–824)

Certain Corrosion–Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Japan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Determination Not to Revoke, In Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 7, 2004, the Department of Commerce (''the Department'') published a notice of initiation of a changed circumstances review regarding certain corrosion–resistant carbon steel flat products from Japan in response to a request for partial revocation received from Metal One Corporation (''Metal One''), and invited interested parties to submit comments. On December 27, 2004, United States Steel (''U.S. Steel'') submitted a letter opposing the request for revocation. *See Letter from U.S. Steel*. On June 21, 2005, the Department published the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review and preliminarily determined that, as the domestic industry is interested in maintaining the order, revocation is not warranted. *See Certain Corrosion–Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Japan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Intent Not to Revoke, In Part*. 70 FR 35618 (June 21, 2005). Subsequent to the preliminary results, the Department received no case or rebuttal briefs regarding this changed circumstances review. Therefore, for the final results we continue to find that pursuant to section 751(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''), and 19 CFR 351.222(g)(1)(I), changed circumstances do not exist to warrant revocation of the order in part.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 15, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher Hargett, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–4161.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 13, 2004, Metal One filed a request for a changed circumstances review on diffusion–annealed nickel plate, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(b). *See Letter from Metal One*. On December 7, 2004, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of a changed circumstances review on certain corrosion–resistant carbon steel flat products from Japan with respect to diffusion–annealed nickel plate. *See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 69 FR 70633 (December 7, 2004).

On December 27, 2004, U.S. Steel submitted comments on the Department's initiation of a changed circumstances review. Specifically, U.S. Steel asserted that the domestic producers maintain interest in the products included in the changed circumstances review. U.S. Steel stated that its production of the domestic like product is well in excess of 15 percent of total domestic production. *See Letter from U.S. Steel*, December 27, 2004.

On June 21, 2005, the Department published the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review and preliminarily determined that Metal One had not shown that substantially all producers of domestic like products have expressed a lack of interest in the order, and that Metal One has not met the regulatory requirements to warrant revocation, in part, through a changed circumstances review. *See Ceratin Corrosion–Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Japan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Intent Not to Revoke, In Part*. 70 FR 35618 (June 21, 2005). We did not receive any comments on our preliminary results.

Scope of Order

The products subject to this order include flat–rolled carbon steel products, of rectangular shape, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion–resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron–based alloys, whether or not corrugated