oral, dental and craniofacial diseases. In this context it is important for the Institute to ensure that the future configuration of the IRP will maximize progress toward the goals that have been set for the IRP in the new era of biomedical research.

**Charge to the Panel**

Since the Panel is charged with assessing the future opportunities and challenges for the IRP within broad goals that have already been set, the focus of the BRP will be to provide recommendations for an efficient and effective alignment of the IRP with directions and opportunities and resources available within the broader framework of NIH intramural research programs and the interest of the Institute complementing, rather than duplicating research already supported through the extramural research program of the Institute. To accomplish this, the BRP will address challenges to the IRP in the context of its mission, its relationship with the overall mission of the Institute, its position within the larger NIH intramural environment, and its relationship to the extramural program supported by the NIDCR. The Panel will be asked to provide advice about how to best position the IRP, using both current and to-be-developed resources, in a way that will maximize its contributions to current, emerging and future research questions about prevention, diagnosis and treatment of dental, oral and craniofacial diseases.

**Questions to the Panel**

The specific questions to be addressed by the Panel and written public comment are drawn from the list of topics suggested by the EAC:

- Innovation/impact of research on the broader field including the extent to which research conducted in NIDCR IRP laboratories influences research conducted throughout the world
- Basic organization of the intramural program (laboratory and branch structure) including the optimal use of resources and opportunities unique to NIH-based scientists as well as the extent to which the organization facilitates partnerships and collaborations within the IRP and with other research entities (e.g., those supported by NIDCR extramural research grants, other NIH IRP scientists, industry) conducting research
- Effectiveness of the BSC review process in identifying world-class innovative research and in providing guidance for future research directions
- Optimal balance and connection between clinical and lab-based research
- Balance between intramural and extramural research programs including funding levels and scientific topics covered by each
- Quality of post-doctoral training (career development) including preparation for independent research funded by government and non-government sources
- Recruitment issues (under-represented individuals and missing areas of expertise) including specific areas to redress gaps
- Interactions with the Office of the Director, other Institutes, central services (Office of Research Services, Clinical Center, Center for Information Technology) including special problems or opportunities that may affect the mission and directions of the IRP
- Members of the public interested in providing their views to the Panel are asked to restrict their comments to the specific areas outlined above.

**Further Information**

For further information, please contact: Dr. Norman S. Braveman, Assistant to the Director, NIDCR, Building 31, Room 5B55, 31 Center Drive, Bethesda, Maryland 20892; telephone (301) 594–2089; fax (301) 480–0964.

**Dated:** September 12, 2005

**Anthony M. Coelho, Jr.,**
Acting Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 05–18602 Filed 9–16–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4140–01–M**

---

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**National Institutes of Health**

**Potential Privatization of the Journal “Environmental Health Perspectives;” Request for Comment**

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Institutes of Health (NIH), Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS).

**ACTION:** Request for Comment.

**SUMMARY:** For several decades, NIEHS has published Environmental Health Perspectives (EHP), a leading biomedical publication in the field of environmental health science, to provide a forum for research in environmental health science. EHP has well fulfilled this purpose, but NIEHS is now considering new channels to inform scientists, clinicians, patients, families, and the general public about environmental health research findings.

NIEHS is exploring web-based and other methods to disseminate such information and anticipates development of a new system to communicate important recent findings in a timely and efficient manner.

NIEHS conducts ongoing review of all its research, training, and communications programs and has recently determined that it is now appropriate to consider phasing out Institute sponsorship of this journal. NIEHS has not reached a final decision about potential privatization of EHP nor has an implementation plan for carrying out such a decision been developed.

Should such a decision be reached, it is our goal to implement it in a manner that will be least disruptive to the field and to authors, reviewers, editorial board, staff, and subscribers. The current request for comment poses a series of questions around core elements that may comprise an implementation plan for privatization of EHP. These elements include: (1) Feasibility of privatizing EHP, (2) a business plan for continuation of the journal, (3) a timeline and plan for transfer of responsibility, (4) an editorial policy plan, and (5) continued online access.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by October 28, 2005.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be submitted at http://www.niehs.nih.gov/external/ehp/home.htm.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** EHPfeedback@niehs.nih.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background Information on EHP**

EHP’s mission is to disseminate credible environmental and occupational health information around the world. An overarching goal is to raise global awareness of the connectivity between the environment and human health. EHP is read in nearly every country of the world. EHP has an impact factor of 3.93 and an Immediacy Index of 1.20, ranking the journal second of 132 environmental sciences journals and fifth of 90 public, environmental, and occupational health journals. As a full open-access journal, EHP provides XML-formatted content to the digital archive Pubmed Central. EHP also has partnerships with Medscape and JSTOR.org (an organization that maintains an archive of scholarly journal) to facilitate access and distribution of EHP’s content.

Electronic submission and review are the norm for the 1,200 manuscripts that EHP receives annually, and the rejection rate is about 80%. EHP publishes all articles within 24 hours of acceptance on its Web site (http://...
The NIEHS invites public input on the issue of potential privatization of EHP. Please note that the NIEHS has not reached a final decision about potential privatization of EHP. If the Institute decides to move forward with privatization, then an implementation plan would need to be developed. This implementation plan will need to address several core topics listed below. The NIEHS seeks public input on the questions identified for each topic to facilitate reaching a decision on potential privatization and, if appropriate, development of an implementation plan. The NIEHS is interested in maintaining and enhancing the capacity of EHP to be a significant resource for researchers, clinicians, patients, family members, and the general public. We similarly seek to maintain and enhance EHP’s reputation, credibility, accessibility, and value to the scientific and lay communities interested in environmental health and disease.

1. Feasibility of privatizing EHP.
   Q1a. Are there likely to be private sector commercial or noncommercial entities interested in publishing EHP?
   Q1b. Are there any difficulties that would be created by transferring publication of EHP from a government agency to the private sector? If so, please elaborate.
2. A business plan for continuation of the journal.
   Q2. What issues should NIEHS consider in developing an implementation plan for privatizing EHP?
3. A timeline and plan for transfer of responsibility.
   Q3. Are there ways to ensure an efficient transfer of functions such as editorial oversight, subscriber lists, archives, and digital content?
4. An editorial policy plan.
   Q4. How should the scope of EHP’s coverage, from news to peer-reviewed research, be considered in executing a potential privatization?
5. Online access.

Q5. How should EHP’s current open access policy and commitments to provide content to public archives be addressed in a potential privatization?
6. Other considerations.

Q6. Overall, how would a privatization of EHP be an advantage or disadvantage to the NIEHS and to environmental health science?

Q7. What other suggestions do you have for facilitating communication activities at NIEHS?

Dated: September 8, 2005.

Samuel Wilson,
Deputy Director, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.

[FR Doc. 05–18596 Filed 9–16–05; 8:45 am]

BILINGUE CODE 4140-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Office for Women’s Services; Notice of a Meeting

Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of a SAMHSA Advisory Committee for Women’s Services meeting to be held in September 2005.

The meeting will be open and include discussions around the activities of SAMHSA’s Matrix and women involving women and trauma/violence, and developing short reports as they relate to mental health and substance abuse. Also, there will be updates on SAMHSA’s reauthorization and legislation to reauthorize the Violence Against Women Act, as well as, a discussion on SAMHSA’s National Registry of Evidenced-based Programs and Practices.

Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. Public comments are welcome. Please communicate with the individual listed as contact below to make arrangements to comment or to request special accommodations for persons with disabilities.

Substantive program information and a roster of Committee members may be obtained by accessing the SAMHSA Advisory Councils’ Web site (http://www.samhsa.gov) as soon as possible after the meeting or by communicating with the contact whose name and telephone number are listed below. The transcript for the session will also be available on the SAMHSA Advisory Councils’ website as soon as possible after the meeting.