Any such application must be made no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Authorized applicants must represent interested parties, as defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677(9), who are parties to this proceeding. Parties that are currently subject to the APO issued in Investigation No. 701–TA–431 by virtue of their participation in the litigation before the Court of International Trade in *Hynix Semiconductor Inc.* v. *United States*, Ct. No. 03–652, need not file a new APO application in this proceeding. The Secretary will maintain a separate service list for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Limitations on the scope of this proceeding. This proceeding is being conducted in order for the Commission to make a determination that would render its action in *DRAMs and DRAM Modules from Korea*, Investigation No. 701–TA–431, not inconsistent with the findings of the WTO dispute settlement panel. Thus, this proceeding only involves issues related to the WTO dispute settlement findings and does not involve issues that were not in dispute in the WTO proceeding or on which the WTO dispute settlement panel found the United States in conformity with its obligations under the WTO. As discussed above, the only issue on which the WTO dispute settlement panel found the Commission's injury determination inconsistent with the ASCM pertained to the question of whether the Commission attributed to the subject imports any injury that may have been caused by declines in demand. Any material in the parties' submissions that contains new factual information or that addresses any issue beyond the scope of this proceeding will be disregarded.

Written Submissions. The Commission is not reopening the record in this proceeding for submission of new factual information. The Commission will, however, permit the parties to file comments and rebuttal comments pertaining to the issue that is within the scope of this proceeding. The deadline for filing comments is December 5, 2005. Comments shall be limited to no more than forty (40) double-spaced and single-sided pages of textual material. The deadline for filing rebuttal comments is December 19, 2005. Rebuttal comments shall be limited to no more than twenty (20) double-spaced and single sided pages of textual material.

Any material in the parties' submissions that contains new factual information or that addresses any issue beyond the scope of this proceeding will be disregarded.

All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

The Commission has concluded that, because it is not reopening the record, conducting a hearing is inappropriate in this proceeding.

Issued: October 31, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05–21949 Filed 11–2–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–528]

In the Matter of Certain Foam Masking Tape; Notice of Issuance of General Exclusion Order and Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Having found a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has issued a general exclusion order and terminated the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–3095. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may be obtained by accessing its Internet server (*http://www.usitc.gov*). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov*. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This patent-based section 337 investigation was instituted by the Commission based on a complaint filed by 3M Company, 3M Innovative Properties Company, and Mr. Jean Silvestre (collectively, ''3M''), which was subsequently amended. 70 FR 386 (Jan. 4, 2005). The complaint, as amended, alleged a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation and/or sale within the United States after importation, of certain foam masking tape by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patents Nos. 4,996,092 (''the '092 patent'') and 5,260,097 (''the '097 patent''). The notice of investigation named 13 respondents.

On February 10, 2005, 3M filed a motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add two respondents. On March 1, 2005, the administrative law judge (''ALJ'') issued an initial determination (''ID'') (Order No. 14) granting the motion. No party petitioned for review. On March 29, 2005, the Commission issued a notice of its determination not to review the ID.

Between February and June of 2005, the investigation was terminated as to 14 of the 15 respondents on the basis of settlement agreements and consent orders, or based on consent orders alone. With respect to Jevtec, Ltd.—the sole respondent as to which the investigation was not terminated—3M moved on May 17, 2005, for an order directing Jevtec to show cause why it should not be found in default for failure to respond to the amended complaint and notice of investigation. 3M also requested the issuance of an ID finding Jevtec in default if Jevtec failed to show such cause.

On May 26, 2005, 3M moved for a summary determination of a violation of section 337. On June 6, 2005, the Commission investigative attorney (''IA''), filed a response in support of the motion for summary determination.

On June 7, 2005, the ALJ issued Order No. 36, ordering Jevtec to show cause why it should not be held in default no

later than June 14, 2005. Jevtec did not file a response to the order, an answer to the complaint, or a notice of appearance within the time permitted.

On June 15, 2005, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 39) finding Jevtec in default. No party petitioned for review of the ID. On July 11, 2005, the Commission determined not to review that initial determination.

On June 21, 2005, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 41), granting 3M's motion for a summary determination of a violation of section 337. The ID notes that only the ''097 patent is at issue in the summary determination, because the investigation has been terminated with respect to all respondents charged with infringement of the ''092 patent. No party petitioned for review of the ID.

In Order No. 41, the ALJ recommended the issuance of a general exclusion order. He also recommended that the bond permitting temporary importation during the Presidential review period be set at 100 percent of the value of the infringing imported product.

On July 15, 2005, the Commission determined not to review the ALJ's summary determination that 3M has shown that there is a violation of section 337. It also issued a **Federal Register** notice, inviting written submissions on the ALJ's recommended determination on remedy and bonding as well as submissions on the public interest.

On July 25, 2005, the Commission received comments from complainant 3M and the IA. No reply submissions were received.

Having examined the relevant portions of the record, including ALJ Order No. 41, and the written submissions on remedy, the public interest and bonding, the Commission has determined to issue a general exclusion order prohibiting unlicensed entry for consumption of foam masking tape that is covered by claims 1, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14 or 16 of the '097 patent. In so doing the Commission determined that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(d) do not preclude the issuance of the aforementioned remedial order and the bond during the Presidential review period shall be 100 percent of the entered value of the article in question. The Commission's order was delivered to the President and the United States Trade Representative on the day of its issuance.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and §§ 210.42 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.42 and 210.50.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 31, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05–21950 Filed 11–2–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–718 (Second Review)]

Glycine From China

Determination

On the basis of the record [1] developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on glycine from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on June 1, 2005 (70 FR 31534) and determined on September 7, 2005 that it would conduct an expedited review (70 FR 55625, September 22, 2005).

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on October 31, 2005. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3810 (October 2005), entitled Glycine from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–718 (Second Review).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 31, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 05–21951 Filed 11–2–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

[1] The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-day notice of information collection under review: Identification Markings Placed on Firearms.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 70, Number 146, page 44117 on August 1, 2005, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until December 5, 2005. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.