A 10-minute reinterview of 3,100 people is conducted at each wave to ensure the accuracy of responses. Reinterviews will require an additional 1,553 burden hours in FY 2006.

II. Method of Collection

The SIPP is designed as a continuing series of national panels of interviewed households that are introduced every few years with each panel having durations of 1 to 5 years. All household members 15 years old or over are interviewed using regular proxy-respondent rules. During the 2004 Panel, respondents are interviewed a total of 15 times (15 waves) at 4-month intervals making the SIPP a longitudinal survey. Sample people (all household members present at the time of the first interview) who move within the country and reasonably close to a SIPP primary sampling unit will be followed and interviewed at their new address. Individuals 15 years old or over who enter the household after Wave 1 will be interviewed; however, if these individuals move, they are not followed unless they happen to move along with a Wave 1 sample individual.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0607–0905.
Form Number: SIPP/CAPI Automated Instrument.
Type of Review: Regular.
Affected Public: Individuals or households.
Estimated Number of Respondents: 97,650 people per wave.
Estimated Time Per Response: 30 minutes per person on average.
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 148,028.
Estimated Total Annual Cost: The only cost to respondents is their time.
Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.
Legal Authority: Title 13, United States Code, Section 182.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for the Office of Management and Budget approval of this information collection. They also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: October 31, 2005.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 05–21983 Filed 11–3–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A–533–809, A–583–821)

Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India and Taiwan; Expedited Five-year (Sunset) Reviews of Antidumping Duty Orders; Final Results

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on forged stainless steel flanges (flanges) from India and Taiwan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On the basis of the notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and no responses from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted expedited sunset reviews. As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on flanges from India and Taiwan would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled ''Final Results of Reviews.''

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 4, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dana Mermelstein, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230, telephone (202) 482–1391.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2005, the Department initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on flanges from India and Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. *See Initiation of Five-year (''Sunset'') Reviews*, 70 FR 38101 (July 1, 2005). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from two domestic interested parties, Gerlin, Inc. and Maass Flange Corporation (collectively, petitioners), within the deadline specified in 19 C.F.R. § 351.218(d)(1)(i). Petitioners claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as U.S. producers of a domestic like product. We received a complete substantive response from petitioners within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 C.F.R. § 351.218(d)(3)(i). However, we did not receive responses from any respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 C.F.R. § 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted expedited sunset reviews of the orders.

Scope of the Orders

The products covered by these orders are certain forged stainless steel flanges, both finished and not finished, generally manufactured to specification ASTM A–182, and made in alloys such as 304, 304L, 316, and 316L. The scope includes five general types of flanges. They are weld–neck, used for butt–weld line connections; threaded, used for threaded line connections; slip–on and lap joint, used with stub–ends/ butt–weld line connections; socket weld, used to fit pipe into a machined recession; and blind, used to seal off a line. The sizes of the flanges within the scope range generally from one to six inches; however, all sizes of the above–described merchandise are included in the scope. Specifically excluded from the scope of these orders are cast stainless steel flanges. Cast stainless steel flanges generally are manufactured to specification ASTM A–351. The flanges subject to these orders are currently classifiable under subheadings 7307.21.1000 and 7307.21.5000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive of whether or not the merchandise is covered by the scope of the orders.

These sunset reviews cover imports from all manufacturers and exporters of flanges from India and Taiwan except Viraj Forgings, Ltd., for which the order on flanges from India was revoked.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this case are addressed in the ''Issues and Decision Memorandum'' from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 31, 2005 (Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision

Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these sunset reviews and the corresponding recommendation in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B–099 of the main Department building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://ia.ita.doc.gov, under the heading ''November 2005.'' The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on flanges from India and Taiwan would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted–average margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted–Average Margin (Percent)
India.	
Mukand, Ltd.	210.00
Sunstar Metals Ltd.	210.00
Bombay Forgings Pvt. Ltd.	210.00
Dynaforge Forgings India, Ltd.	210.00
Akai Impex Pvt., Ltd.	18.56
All Others	162.14
Taiwan.	
Enlin Steel Corporation	48.00
Ta Chen Stainless Pipe Co., Ltd.	48.00
Tay Precision Industries Co., Ltd.	48.00
All Others	48.00

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 C.F.R. § 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 31, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–6127 Filed 11–3–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A–570–848)

Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (''Department'') has received timely requests to conduct new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China (''PRC''). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating reviews for Xuzhou Jinjiang Foodstuffs Co., Ltd. (''Xuzhou Jinjiang'') and Xiping Opeck Food Co., Ltd. (''Xiping Opeck'').[1]

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 4, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen Berlinguette or Scott Fullerton, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3740 or (202) 482–1386, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department received timely requests from Xuzhou Jinjiang (September 30, 2005) and Xiping Opeck (September 21, 2005), pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''), and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c), for new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC. *See Notice of Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China*, 62 FR 48218 (September 15, 1997).

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i) and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A), in their requests for review, Xuzhou Jinjiang and Xiping Opeck certified that they did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (POI) and that since the initiation of the investigation they have never been affiliated with any company which exported subject merchandise to the United States during the POI. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B), Xuzhou Jinjiang and Xiping Opeck further certified that their export activities are not controlled by the central government of the PRC.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv), both Xuzhou Jinjiang and Xiping Opeck, respectively, submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) the date on which it first shipped subject merchandise for export to the United States and the date on which the subject merchandise was first entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption; (2) the volume of its first shipment, and in the case of Xuzhou Jinjiang, documentation of one subsequent shipment; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

In addition, the Department conducted customs database queries to confirm that both Xuzhou Jinjiang's and Xiping Opeck's shipments of subject merchandise had entered the United States for consumption and had been suspended for antidumping duties.

Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), and based on information on the record, we are initiating new shipper reviews for Xuzhou Jinjiang and Xiping Opeck. *See* Memoranda to the File through James C. Doyle, New Shipper Initiation Checklists, dated October 31, 2005. We intend to issue the preliminary results of this review not later than 180 days after the date on which this review was initiated, and the final results of this review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(A), the period of review (''POR'') for a new shipper review, initiated in the month immediately following the annual anniversary month, will be the one year period immediately preceding the annual anniversary month. Therefore, the POR for the new shipper reviews of Xuzhou Jinjiang and Xiping Opeck will be September 1, 2004, through August 31, 2005.

It is the Department's usual practice in cases involving non–market economies to require that a company seeking to establish eligibility for an antidumping duty rate separate from the country–wide rate provide evidence of

[1] The Department received a timely request for an administrative review from Xuzhou Jinjiang on September 30, 2005. The Department notes that the periods of review for both this new shipper review and the above-referenced administrative review are identical. Because both of these requested reviews cover the same period of time (i.e., September 1, 2004, through August 31, 2005), the Department intends to revisit whether both reviews are statutorily required after the initiation of this new shipper review.