

- DEIS Comment Period—March–April, 2006.
- FEIS and ROD—June 2006.

### Comment Requested

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. Comments are due by January 9, 2006.

A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for comment. The comment period on the draft EIS is expected to be from mid-March through April of 2006. This date will be established when the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions.

*Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the [enter correct time period] comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points. Comments received, including the names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposal and will be available for public inspection.

(Authority: 40 CFR 1501.7 and 1508.22; Forest Service Handbook 1909.15, Section 21)

Dated: November 29, 2005.

**Kevin Riordan**,

*Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 05–23605 Filed 12–5–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–11–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Rural Business-Cooperative Service

#### Notice of Request for Extension of a Currently Approved Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Rural Business-Cooperative Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comments requested.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Rural Business-Cooperative Service's intention to request an extension for a currently approved information collection in support of the program for the 1890 Land Grant Institutions Rural Entrepreneurial Program Outreach Initiative.

**DATES:** Comments on this notice must be received by February 6, 2006 to be considered.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Edgar L. Lewis, Program Manager, Rural Development, USDA, STOP 3252, Room 4221, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250–3252. Telephone: (202) 690–3407, E-mail: [edgar.lewis@wdc.usda.gov](mailto:edgar.lewis@wdc.usda.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* 1890 Land Grant Institutions Rural Entrepreneurial Program Outreach Initiative.

*OMB Number:* 0570–0041.

*Expiration Date of Approval:* March 31, 2006.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved information collection.

*Abstract:* The purpose of the 1890 Land Grant Institutions Rural Entrepreneurial Program Outreach Initiative is to provide technical

assistance for business creation in economically challenged rural communities, for educational programs to develop and improve upon the professional skills of rural entrepreneurs, and for outreach and promotion of USDA Rural Development's programs in small rural communities with the greatest economic need. This initiative seeks to create a working partnership between USDA Rural Development and the 1890 Institutions through cooperative agreements.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection is estimated to average 15 minutes to 15 hours per response.

*Respondents:* Only 1890 Land Grant Institutions of Higher Education and Tuskegee University.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 18.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 17.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 297.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 762 hours.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Cheryl Thompson, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch (202) 692–0043.

### Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of Rural Development, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of Rural Development's estimate of the burden to collect the required information, including the validity of the strategy used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments on the paperwork burden may be sent to Cheryl Thompson, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, Rural Development, U.S. Department of Agriculture, STOP 0742, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250–0742. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated: November 30, 2005.

**Roberta D. Purcell,**

*Acting Administrator, Rural Business-Cooperative Service.*

[FR Doc. E5-6886 Filed 12-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XY-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-533-817, C-533-818, A-560-805, C-560-806, A-475-826, C-475-827, A-588-847, A-580-836, C-580-837)

### Continuation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and Korea

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce ("the Department") and the International Trade Commission ("ITC") that revocation of the antidumping ("AD") orders on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate ("CTL Plate") from India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and Korea would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping; that revocation of the countervailing duty ("CVD") orders on CTL Plate from India, Indonesia, Italy, and Korea would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy; and that revocation of these AD and CVD orders would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing this notice of continuation of these AD and CVD orders.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 6, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Roberto Facundus (AD orders), Darla Brown (CVD orders), or David Goldberger, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3464, (202) 482-2849, or (202) 482-4136, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On January 3, 2005, the Department initiated and the ITC instituted sunset reviews of the AD orders on CTL Plate from India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and Korea and CVD orders on CTL Plate from India, Indonesia, Italy and Korea, pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the

Act"), respectively. See *Notice of Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 75 (January 3, 2005). As a result of its reviews, the Department found that revocation of the AD orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and that revocation of the CVD orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of subsidization, and notified the ITC of the margins of dumping and the subsidy rates likely to prevail were the orders to be revoked. See *Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from France, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and the Republic of Korea; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 70 FR 45655 (August 8, 2005); *Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Countervailing Duty Order: Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From India*, 70 FR 45691 (August 8, 2005); *Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Countervailing Duty Order: Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From Indonesia*, 70 FR 45692 (August 8, 2005); *Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Countervailing Duty Order: Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From Italy*, 70 FR 45694 (August 8, 2005), *Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Countervailing Duty Order: Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From Korea*, 70 FR 45689 (August 8, 2005), (collectively, "*Final Results*").

On November 28, 2005, the ITC determined that revocation of the AD orders on CTL Plate from India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and Korea and CVD orders on CTL Plate from India, Indonesia, Italy and Korea, would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. See *Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From France, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and Korea*, 70 FR 71331 (November 28, 2005) ("ITC Determination") and USITC Publication 3816 (October 2005), entitled *Cut-To-Length Carbon Steel Plate from France, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, and Korea* (Investigation Nos. 701-TA-388-391 and 731-TA-816-821 (Review)).

#### Scope of the Orders

The merchandise covered by the AD and CVD orders is certain hot-rolled carbon-quality steel: (1) Universal mill plates (*i.e.*, flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 mm but not exceeding 1250 mm, and of a nominal or actual thickness of not less than 4 mm, which are cut-to-length (not in coils) and without patterns in relief), of

iron or non-alloy-quality steel; and (2) flat-rolled products, hot-rolled, of a nominal or actual thickness of 4.75 mm or more and of a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness, and which are cut-to-length (not in coils). Steel products included in the scope of these orders are of rectangular, square, circular or other shape and of rectangular or non-rectangular cross-section where such non-rectangular cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (*i.e.*, products which have been "worked after rolling") – for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Steel products that meet the noted physical characteristics that are painted, varnished or coated with plastic or other non-metallic substances are included within the scope of these orders. Also, specifically included in the scope of these orders are high strength, low alloy ("HSLA") steels. HSLA steels are recognized as steels with micro-alloying levels of elements such as chromium, copper, niobium, titanium, vanadium, and molybdenum. Steel products included in this scope, regardless of Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") definitions, are products in which: (1) Iron predominates, by weight, over each of the other contained elements; (2) the carbon content is two percent or less, by weight; and (3) none of the elements listed below is equal to or exceeds the quantity, by weight, respectively indicated: 1.80 percent of manganese, or 1.50 percent of silicon, or 1.00 percent of copper, or 0.50 percent of aluminum, or 1.25 percent of chromium, or 0.30 percent of cobalt, or 0.40 percent of lead, or 1.25 percent of nickel, or 0.30 percent of tungsten, or 0.10 percent of molybdenum, or 0.10 percent of niobium, or 0.41 percent of titanium, or 0.15 percent of vanadium, or 0.15 percent zirconium. All products that meet the written physical description, and in which the chemistry quantities do not equal or exceed any one of the levels listed above, are within the scope of these orders unless otherwise specifically excluded. The following products are specifically excluded from these orders: (1) Products clad, plated, or coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished or coated with plastic or other non-metallic substances; (2) SAE grades (formerly AISI grades) of series 2300 and above; (3) products made to ASTM A710 and A736 or their proprietary equivalents; (4) abrasion-resistant steels (*i.e.*, USS AR 400, USS AR 500); (5) products made to ASTM A202, A225, A514 grade