calendar year 2005 (report quantity data in pounds and value data in U.S. dollars, f.o.b. plant). If you are a union/worker group or trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms in which your workers are employed/which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. production of the *Domestic Like Product* accounted for by your firm's(s') production;

(b) the quantity and value of U.S. commercial shipments of the *Domestic Like Product* produced in your U.S. plant(s); and

(c) the quantity and value of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of the *Domestic Like Product* produced in your U.S. plant(s).

(8) If you are a U.S. importer or a trade/business association of U.S. importers of the *Subject Merchandise* from the *Subject Countries*, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 2005 (report quantity data in pounds and value data in U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of *Subject Merchandise* from each *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') imports;

(b) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of *Subject Merchandise* imported from each *Subject Country*; and

(c) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping duties) of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of *Subject Merchandise* imported from each *Subject Country*.

(9) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the *Subject Merchandise* in the *Subject Countries*, provide the following information on your firm's(s') operations on that product during calendar year 2005 (report quantity data in pounds and value data in U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping duties). If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of *Subject Merchandise* in each *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') production; and

(b) the quantity and value of your firm's(s') exports to the United States of *Subject Merchandise* and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of *Subject Merchandise* from each *Subject Country* accounted for by your firm's(s') exports.

(10) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the *Domestic Like Product* that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the *Subject Merchandise* in the *Subject Countries* since the *Order Date*, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the *Domestic Like Product* produced in the United States, *Subject Merchandise* produced in the *Subject Countries*, and such merchandise from other countries.

(11) (Optional) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the *Domestic Like Product* and *Domestic Industry*; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.61 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 27, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6–4641 Filed 3–31–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

[USITC SE–06–022]

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: April 6, 2006 at 11 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meetings: none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Inv. Nos. 731–TA–846–850 (Review) (Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from the Czech Republic, Japan, Mexico, Romania, and South Africa)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before April 26, 2006.)
5. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 29, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 06–3214 Filed 3–30–06; 1:39 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Civil Rights Division; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-Day notice of information collection under review; procedures for the Administration of Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division (CFT) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 70, Number 226, page 71171 on November 25, 2005, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until May 3, 2006. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public

burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
—Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.,* permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Procedures for the Administration of Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965.

(3) *None.* Civil Rights Division.

(4) *Affected Public:* State, Local, or Tribal Governments

Brief Abstract: Jurisdictions covered under the Voting Rights Act may request preclearance from the Attorney General (AG) before instituting changes affecting voting. They must convince the Attorney General that voting changes are not racially discriminatory.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that it will take 10,103 respondents under the Procedures for the Administration of Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965 approximately 47,365 burden hours to complete the submission of voting changes.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The total estimated annual burden hours to complete the submission of voting changes is 47,365 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: February 27, 2006.

Robert B. Briggs,

Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 06–1937 Filed 3–31–06 8:45am]

BILLING CODE 4410–18–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 04–8]

Wedgewood Village Pharmacy; Revocation of Registration

On September 8, 2003, the Deputy Assistant Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) issued an Order to Show Cause to Wedgewood Village Pharmacy in Sewell, New Jersey. The Order to Show Cause proposed to revoke the DEA Certificate of Registration AW1289126, issued to Wedgewood Village Pharmacy as a retail pharmacy and deny any pending applications for renewal of such registration. The Order alleged that the continued registration of the pharmacy would be inconsistent with the public interest as that term is defined in 21 U.S.C. 823(f).

The Order to Show Cause specifically alleged that Wedgewood Village Pharmacy was not acting as a traditional retail pharmacy but, was holding itself out as a compounding pharmacy that manufactured controlled substances without a DEA registration, in violation of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA) and provisions of the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act (FD&C). It also alleged that the pharmacy was distributing controlled substances and listed chemicals without being registered with DEA to conduct those activities. The Order to Show Cause further alleged that a DEA investigation of the pharmacy determined that the pharmacy was not maintaining complete and accurate records and inventories of the controlled substances that it handled, and was unable to accurately account for the bulk controlled substances and listed chemicals it had received.

By letter dated October 16, 2003, the pharmacy, through counsel, requested a hearing in the matter. On October 21, 2003, the pharmacy submitted a written request to the DEA requesting a modification of its registration to a new location in Swedesboro, New Jersey. DEA responded to the pharmacy's request via letter dated October 27, 2003, informing the pharmacy that their requested address change constituted a modification of the registration and would be considered as part of the matters considered at the hearing on the Order to Show Cause.

On November 5, 2003, Wedgewood Village Pharmacy filed a motion for a temporary restraining order (TRO) in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey seeking to enjoin DEA from denying its request to change location pending the hearing on the Order to Show Cause. The District Court denied the TRO on November 7, 2003, and further denied a Motion for Preliminary Injunction on December 15, 2003, concluding that Wedgewood did not meet its burden of proving all the elements required for a preliminary injunction including that, ''Wedgewood is unlikely to succeed on the merits of the case.'' *Wedgewood Village Pharmacy* v. *Ashcroft*, 293 F.Supp.2d 462, 474 (D.N.J. 2003).

The hearing on the Order to Show Cause was held at the DEA Headquarters in Arlington, Virginia, on January 26–28, 2004, before a DEA Administrative Law Judge (ALJ). No witnesses were called to testify at the hearing by either party. However, documentary evidence was submitted by both the Agency and Respondent and admitted into the record by the ALJ.

The ALJ issued her Recommended Rulings, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision of the Administrative Law Judge on March 4, 2005. The Respondent filed exceptions to the ALJ's Recommended Rulings on April 29, 2005. The record was transmitted by the ALJ to the DEA Deputy Administrator on May 18, 2005.

The Deputy Administrator has reviewed the Recommended Rulings, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision of the Administrative Law Judge, the Respondent's Exceptions to the Recommended Rulings, and the record in this matter. The Deputy Administrator hereby adopts the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law of the Administrative Law Judge. The ALJ concluded that the agency had clearly met its burden of proof, demonstrating that Respondent's continued registration with DEA is inconsistent with the public interest. The Deputy Administrator concurs with