

Advisory Council, which was established to provide guidance to the Secretary on long-term planning for Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve.

DATES: The meeting date is:

1. July 28, 2006, 9 a.m.–12 p.m., Mosca, Colorado.

ADDRESSES: The meeting location is:

1. Mosca, Colorado—Great Sand Dunes National Park and Preserve Visitor Center, 11999 Highway 150, Mosca, CO 81146.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steve Chaney, 719–378–6312.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: At the July 28 meeting, the National Park Service will share a summary and discuss the comments received during the review period for the draft General Management Plan, Wilderness Study and EIS. A public comment period will be held from 11:30 a.m. to 12 p.m.

Michael D. Snyder,

Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 06–6076 Filed 7–7–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–CL–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail Advisory Council Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, that a meeting of the Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail Advisory Council will be held Tuesday, July 18, 2006 at 9 a.m. until 3:30 p.m., at the Lowndes County Interpretive Center located at 7001 Highway 80 West, Hayneville, Alabama. The Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail Advisory Council was established pursuant to Public Law 100–192 establishing the Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail. This Council was established to advise the National Park Service on such issues as preservation of trail routes and features, public use, standards for posting and maintaining trail markers, and administrative matters.

The matters to be discussed include:

(A) Welcome New Members.

(B) Update on Lowndes County IC.

(C) Update on other Interpretive Sites.

The meeting will be open to the public. However, facilities and space accommodating members of the public are limited and persons will be accommodated for first come, first serve basis. Anyone may file a written statement with Catherine F. Light, Trail

Superintendent concerning the matters to be discussed.

Persons wishing further information concerning this meeting may contact Catherine F. Light, Trail Superintendent, Selma to Montgomery National Historic Trail, at 334–727–6390 (phone), 334–727–4597 (fax) or mail 1212 Old Montgomery Road, Tuskegee Institute, Alabama 36088.

Dated: June 21, 2006.

Shirley T. Streeter,

Administrative Officer, Selma to Montgomery NHT.

[FR Doc. 06–6075 Filed 7–7–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–04–M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of Certain Power Supply Controllers and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review a Final Initial Determination of Violation of Section 337; Schedule for Filing Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the Administrative Law Judge's ("ALJ") final Initial Determination ("ID") finding a violation of section 337. Notice is further given that the Commission is requesting briefing on remedy, public interest, and bonding with respect to the respondent found in violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle Walters, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/edis.htm>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be

obtained by contacting the Commission's ADD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 13, 2005, the Commission instituted this investigation, based on a complaint filed by Power Integrations, Inc. ("PI") of San Jose, California. The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain power supply controllers and products containing the same by reason of infringement of claims 1–3, 6, 9, and 17–19 of United States Patent No. 6,212,079; claims 1–3, 5, 6, 24, 28, and 29 of United States Patent No. 6,351,398 ("the '398 patent"; claims 8 and 12 of United States Patent No. 6,366,481; and claims 1, 4, 9–11, 12, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 26, 27, 30, 31, and 34 of United States Patent No. 6,538,908 ("the '908 patent"). During the investigation, the Commission allowed PI to terminate the investigation with regard to several claims, leaving only claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 of the '398 patent and claims 26 and 27 of the '908 patent in this investigation. The complaint named a single respondent, System General Corporation ("SG").

On May 15, 2006, the ALJ issued a final ID, including his recommended determination on remedy and bonding. In his ID, the ALJ found that SG's accused products infringe claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 of the '398 patent and claims 26 and 27 of the '908 patent. Moreover, he concluded that these claims are not invalid for anticipation under 35 U.S.C. 102 and that the '398 patent and the '908 patent are not unenforceable due to inequitable conduct. Finally, the ALJ concluded that PI proved that there is a domestic industry in the United States with respect to both patents. As a result, the ALJ recommended issuing a limited exclusion order directed to infringing power supply controllers produced by SG, as well as certain downstream products containing these controllers.

On May 26, 2006, respondent SG filed a petition for review, challenging various aspects of the ALL's final ID. On June 5, 2006, PI and the Commission investigative attorney separately filed responses to SG's petition for review, asserting that the ALJ properly determined that there was a violation of section 337 with regard to the asserted claims.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALL's final ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has

determined not to review the ALL's ID. To the extent SG attempts to challenge PI's satisfaction of the importation requirement of 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(1)(B) in its petition for review, we decline to reconsider the issue. SG failed to file a petition for review challenging the ALL's December 12, 2005 ID granting PI's motion for summary determination that it satisfied the importation requirement, and therefore, SG waived the issue. 19 CFR 210.43(b)(2).

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may (1) issue an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) issue one or more cease and desist orders that could result in the respondent being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see *Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360, USITC Pub; No. 2843 (December 1994) (Commission Opinion).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. See Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005, 70 FR 43251 (July 2, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore

interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation are requested to file written submissions on the issues identified in this notice. Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding. Complainant and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. Complainant is also requested to state the dates that the patents expire and the HTSUS numbers under which the accused products are imported. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on July 10, 2006. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on July 17, 2006. No further submission on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submission must file the original document and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above with the Office of the Secretary. Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 210.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42-46 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42-46 and 210.50).

Issued: June 30, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 06-6081 Filed 7-7-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-06-045]

Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: July 18, 2006 at 11 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Inv. No. 731-TA-539-C (Second Review) (Uranium from Russia)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before August 1, 2006.)

5. Outstanding action jackets: None. In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

Issued: July 6, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 06-6124 Filed 7-6-06; 12:54 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

Section 110(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977; Interpretation

AGENCY: Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), Department of Labor.

ACTION: Interpretive rule.

SUMMARY: The Interpretive Bulletin reproduced below sets forth a statement of the Secretary of Labor's interpretation of Section 110(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (Mine Act), 30 U.S.C. 820(c), as it relates to agents of Limited Liability Companies (LLCs). The Interpretive Bulletin is considered an interpretive rule and provides an explanation of the Secretary's interpretation of Section 110(c) and the rationale supporting that interpretation. For the reasons set forth below, the Secretary's interpretation is that Section 110(c) of the Mine Act is applicable to agents of LLCs.