

The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45-days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the

alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

**Alternatives:** The Forest Service will consider a range of alternatives in the EIS including a no action alternative and modifications to the proponent's Plan that would minimize risk of impacts to the environment, improve public safety and mitigate potential effects to water quality, wetlands, wildlife and other resources. Analysis of alternatives will evaluate alternative facility locations, facility design components, operational procedures and technologies. For example alternatives to the location for the tailings disposal facility, to operational and post closure water management and to water treatment technologies will be evaluated. Mitigation measures that would improve access road safety, increase monitoring requirements and modify reclamation methods will also be considered. The range of alternatives would result in surface disturbance of up to approximately 328 acres.

**Responsible Official:** I am the responsible official for this Environmental Impact Statement. My address is Salmon-Challis National Forest, 1206 S. Challis Street, Salmon, Idaho 83467.

Dated: October 24, 2006.

**William A. Wood,**

*Forest Supervisor, Salmon-Challis National.*  
[FR Doc. E6-18362 Filed 10-31-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sheila E. Forbes, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4697.

**Background**

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspension of investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, may request, in accordance with section 351.213 (2002) of the Department of Commerce (the Department) Regulations, that the Department conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

**Opportunity to Request a Review:** Not later than the last day of November 2006,<sup>1</sup> interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in November for the following periods:

	Periods
<b>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</b>	
<i>Argentina:</i> Barbed Wire & Barbless Fencing Wire, A-357-405 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
<i>Brazil:</i> Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe, A-351-809 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
<i>Hungary:</i> Sulfanilic Acid, A-437-804 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
<i>Kazakhstan:</i> Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, A-834-806 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
<i>Mexico:</i> Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe, A-201-805 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
<i>Netherlands:</i> Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, A-421-807 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
<i>Portugal:</i> Sulfanilic Acid, A-471-806 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
<i>Republic of Korea:</i> Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe, A-580-809 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
<i>Romania:</i> Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, A-485-806 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
<i>Taiwan:</i> Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, A-583-835 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
<i>Taiwan:</i> Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe, A-583-814 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
<i>Thailand:</i> Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, A-549-817 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
<i>The People's Republic of China:</i>	

<sup>1</sup> Or the next business day, if the deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday or any other day when the Department is closed.

	Periods
Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, A-570-865 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel, A-570-849 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
Fresh Garlic, A-570-831 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
Paper Clips, A-570-826 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
Pure Magnesium in Granular Form, A-570-864 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
Refined Brown Aluminum Oxide, A-570-882 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
<i>Ukraine</i> : Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, A-823-811 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06

#### Countervailing Duty Proceedings

<i>Hungary</i> : Sulfanilic Acid, C-437-805 .....	1/1/05-12/31/05
---	-----------------

#### Suspension Agreements

<i>Ukraine</i> : Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel, A-823-808 .....	11/1/05-10/31/06
--	------------------

In accordance with § 351.213(b) of the regulations, an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters.<sup>2</sup> If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

As explained in *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), the Department has clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders. See also the Import Administration Web site at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>.

<sup>2</sup> If the review request involves a non-market economy and the parties subject to the review request do not qualify for separate rates, all other exporters of subject merchandise from the non-market economy country who do not have a separate rate will be covered by the review as part of the single entity of which the named firms are a part.

Six copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Operations, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with § 351.303(f)(1)(i) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of November 2006. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of November 2006, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: October 26, 2006.

**Thomas F. Futtner**,

*Acting Office Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-18414 Filed 10-31-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

##### Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of upcoming Sunset Reviews.

##### Background

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

##### Upcoming Sunset Reviews for December 2006

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in December 2006 and will appear in that month's Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Reviews.

##### *Antidumping Duty Proceedings*

##### *Department Contact*

Folding Gift Boxes from the People's Republic of China (A-570-866).

Juanita Chen, (202) 482-1904.

##### *Countervailing Duty Proceedings*

No countervailing duty proceedings are scheduled for initiation in December 2006.